arXiv:1104.0486v6 [math-ph] 3 Aug 2012

Tunneling for spatially cut-off $P(\phi)_2$-Hamiltonians

Shigeki Aida*
Mathematical Institute
Tohoku University, Sendai, 980-8578, JAPAN
e-mail: aida@math.tohoku.ac.jp

Abstract

We study the asymptotic behavior of low-lying eigenvalues of spatially cut-off $P(\phi)_2$-Hamiltonian under semi-classical limit. The corresponding classical equation of the $P(\phi)_2$-field is a nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation which is an infinite dimensional Newton's equation. We determine the semi-classical limit of the lowest eigenvalue of the spatially cut-off $P(\phi)_2$-Hamiltonian in terms of the Hessian of the potential function of the Klein-Gordon equation. Moreover, we prove that the gap of the lowest two eigenvalues goes to 0 exponentially fast under semi-classical limit when the potential function is double well type. In fact, we prove that the exponential decay rate is greater than or equal to the Agmon distance between two zero points of the symmetric double well potential function. The Agmon distance is a Riemannian distance on the Sobolev space $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ defined by a Riemannian metric which is formally conformal to L^2-metric. Also we study basic properties of the Agmon distance and instanton.

1 Introduction

Spatially cut-off $P(\phi)_2$-Hamiltonian is used to construct non-trivial quantum scalar fields in space time dimension two and studied from various points of view, *e.g.*, [9, 13, 34, 17, 18, 35, 36, 37, 38, 41]. Hamiltonians in quantum systems contain a small physical parameter, Planck constant $\hbar$, and it is called semi-classical analysis to study properties of quantum systems under $\hbar \to 0$. There are many studies on spectral properties of Schrödinger operators under semi-classical limit. See, *e.g.*, [15, 25, 27, 28, 39, 40]. Classical mechanics corresponding to $P(\phi)_2$-quantum field is given by a nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation. Therefore it is natural to conjecture that the low-lying spectrum of the spatially cut-off $P(\phi)_2$-Hamiltonian under semi-classical limit is related with the potential function U of the classical dynamics given by the Klein-Gordon equation. One of the aim of this paper is to study the asymptotic behavior of the lowest eigenvalue of spatially cut-off $P(\phi)_2$-Hamiltonian under semi-classical limit. We already studied the same problem for $P(\phi)_2$-Hamiltonian in the case where the space is a finite interval in [4]. In that case, one particle Hamiltonian has compact resolvent and it makes analysis in [4] simple. However, in the case of spatially cut-off $P(\phi)_2$-Hamiltonian, such a property does not hold and it cause some difficulties. In addition to the asymptotics of the lowest eigenvalue, we study the semi-classical tunneling of the spatially cut-off $P(\phi)_2$-Hamiltonian with symmetric

---

*This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) No. 21244009 and Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) No. 24340023.

double well potential function. That is, we show that the gap between lowest two eigenvalues is exponentially small under semi-classical limit. It is still an open problem to obtain the precise asymptotics of the gap of spectrum.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we state our main results. Let μ be the Gaussian measure on the space of tempered distributions $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ whose covariance operator is $(m^2-\Delta)^{-1/2}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, where m is a positive number and Δ is the Laplace operator. Let $A=(m^2-\Delta)^{1/4}$ be the self-adjoint operator on $H(=H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}))$. One can define a Dirichlet form on $L^2(\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}),\mu)$ using A as a coefficient operator. Let $-L_A$ be the non-negative generator of the Dirichlet form. This operator is so-called a free Hamiltonian and naturally unitarily equivalent to the second quantization operator $d\Gamma((m^2-\Delta)^{1/2})$, where $(m^2-\Delta)^{1/2}$ is a self-adjoint operator on $H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\lambda=\frac{1}{\hbar}$, that is λ is a large positive parameter. We consider spatially cut-off $P(\phi)_2$-Hamiltonian $-L_A+V_\lambda$, where $V_\lambda(w)=\lambda V(w/\sqrt{\lambda})$ is an interaction potential function. The potential function $V(w)$ is defined by $V(w)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} :P(w(x)): g(x)dx$, where $P(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{2M}a_kx^k$ is a polynomial with $a_{2M}>0$. Also $:P(w(x)):$ stands for the Wick polynomial and g is a non-negative smooth function with compact support. The operator $-L_A+V_\lambda$ is formally unitarily equivalent to an infinite dimensional Schrödinger operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with the fictitious infinite dimensional Lebesgue measure and its formal potential function U is given by $U(h)=\frac{1}{4}\|Ah\|_H^2+V(h)$, where $V(h)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}P(h(x))g(x)dx$ and $h\in H^1(\mathbb{R})$. This potential function U is the potential function for the classical dynamics which is defined by the corresponding nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation. In the first main theorem (Theorem 2.3), we determine the semi-classical limit of the lowest eigenvalue $E_1(\lambda)$ of $-L_A+V_\lambda$ under the assumptions that U is non-negative, has a finitely many zero points and the Hessians of U at zero points are nondegenerate. When U is a symmetric double well potential function, one may expect that the gap between second lowest eigenvalue $E_2(\lambda)$ and the lowest eigenvalue $E_1(\lambda)$ of $-L_A+V_\lambda$ is exponentially small under the limit $\lambda\to\infty$. In the case of Schrödinger operators, the exponential decay rate is equal to the Agmon distance between two zero points of the potential function. Second main result (Theorem 2.6) is concerned with this estimate (tunneling estimate). Actually, we prove that the exponential decay rate is greater than or equal to the Agmon distance $d_U^{Ag}(h_0,-h_0)$ between zero points $h_0,-h_0$ of the symmetric double well potential function U. What is the infinite dimensional analogue of the Agmon distance in this case ? Formally, it is the Riemannian distance on $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ determined by a Riemannian metric $U(w)ds^2$ which is "conformal" to the L^2-metric ds^2. In this section, we define the Agmon distance on $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. We prove that the distance can be extended to a continuous distance function on $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ in Section 7. Also, the Agmon distance is related with an instanton which is a minimizing path of the Euclidean (imaginary time) action integral. We summarize basic properties of Agmon distance and instanton in our model in Section 7. For instance, we prove existence of a minimal geodesic between zero points of U and an instanton solution. In this paper, we do not use any properties of instanton. However, we think the subjects are interesting by themselves.

In Section 3, first, we recall the definition of the spatially cut-off $P(\phi)_2$-Hamiltonian based on Dirichlet forms on $L^2(\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}),\mu)$. Next, we prepare necessary tools for the proof of main theorems. Actually we need a stronger theorem (Theorem 3.12) than Theorem 2.3 to prove tunneling estimate. In the proof of the lower bound of the limit of $E_1(\lambda)$, we use large deviation results of Wiener chaos and a lower bound estimate of the generator of hyperbounded semigroups. To apply these results, we need to approximate the operator A by operators of the form $\sqrt{m}I+T$, where I is the identity operator and T is a trace class operator on H. Comparing the case where the space is a finite interval in [4], this step is not so simple, since the operator A has a continuous spectrum. These approximations are constructed by using the Fourier transform. After these preliminaries, we prove Theorem 3.12 in Section 4. We give the proofs of some lemmas in Section 7. In Section 5, we introduce an approximate Agmon distance $d_U^W(h_0,-h_0)$ between h_0 and $-h_0$ and prove that the exponential decay rate of the gap of the spectrum is greater than or equal to $d_U^W(h_0,-h_0)$. This kind of decay estimate follows from the estimate for the ground state function (ground state measure). It is important that the Agmon distance function belongs to an H^1-Sobolev space in the classical proof. However, the Agmon distance d_U^{Ag} is a distance function on $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ and it seems that the distance function cannot be extended to a function on W on which H^1-Sobolev space is defined. To overcome this difficulty, we introduce a family of non-negative bounded Lipschitz continuous functions u on W which approximate U and using u we define a distance function $\rho_u^W(O,\cdot)$ from an open subset O. This $\rho_u^W(O,\cdot)$ does belong to H^1-Sobolev space. Using $\rho_u^W(O,\cdot)$ and Theorem 3.12, we can give an exponential decay estimate for the ground state measure in a similar way to finite dimensional cases. By optimizing u and so ρ_u^W, we define $d_U^W(h_0,-h_0)$. In the last step, we prove that $d_U^{Ag}(h_0,-h_0)=d_U^W(h_0,-h_0)$ which implies the second main theorem. In Section 6, we give an example.

2 Statement of main results

Let $L^2(\mathbb{R})=L^2(\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R},dx)$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the complexification of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\Delta=\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ be the Laplace operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{C}}$ with the domain $\mathrm{D}(\Delta)$. The subspace $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is invariant under the operator Δ and $\Delta|_{D(\Delta)\cap L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ is also a self-adjoint operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. We denote it also by Δ. Let $m>0$ and we set $\tilde{A}=(m^2-\Delta)^{1/4}$. Let $H^s(\mathbb{R})=H^s(\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R})$ $(=\mathrm{D}(\tilde{A}^{2s}))$ $(s\ge 0)$ be the Hilbert space with the norm $\|\ \|_{H^s}$ defined by

$$\|\varphi\|_{H^s}=\|\tilde{A}^{2s}\varphi\|_{L^2} \tag{2.1}$$

where, we identify $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ as a subset of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. We may denote $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ by H^s simply. Let $H^s(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the complexification of $H^s(\mathbb{R})$. There exists a unique Gaussian measure μ on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})}\exp\left(\sqrt{-1}\langle\varphi,w\rangle\right)d\mu(w)=\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\varphi,\tilde{A}^{-2}\varphi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\right), \tag{2.2}$$

where $\langle\varphi,w\rangle$ is a natural coupling of $\varphi\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and $w\in\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$. The Hilbert space $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ is nothing but the Cameron-Martin subspace of μ. Below, we write $H=H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$. Let us define a self-adjoint operator A on H by setting $\mathrm{D}(A)=H^1$ and $Af=(m^2-\Delta)^{1/4}f$. Let $\Phi=\tilde{A}^{-1}:L^2\to H$. Then Φ is a unitary operator and A and $\tilde{A}$ are unitarily equivalent to each other by this unitary map. That is $A=\Phi\circ\tilde{A}\circ\Phi^{-1}$ holds. Let us consider a second quantization operator $d\Gamma((m^2-\Delta)^{1/2})$, where $(m^2-\Delta)^{1/2}$ is a self-adjoint on $H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ with the domain $\mathrm{D}((m^2-\Delta)^{1/2})=H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$. There exists a unitarily equivalent operator $-L_A$ on $L^2(\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}),\mu)$ to $d\Gamma((m^2-\Delta)^{1/2})$. These operators are free Hamiltonians and we use the version $-L_A$ in this paper. We give the precise definition of $-L_A$ based on Dirichlet forms in the next section. Spatially cut-off $P(\phi)_2$-Hamiltonian is a perturbation of $-L_A$ by an interaction potential function. Now we define the interaction potential in $L^2(\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}),\mu)$. We refer the reader for basic results of spatially cut-off $P(\phi)_2$- Hamiltonian to [38, 41].

Definition 2.1. *For* $w \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$*, define* $w_n(x) = \langle p_n(x-\cdot), w\rangle$*, where*

$$p_n(x) = \left(\frac{n}{4\pi}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{nx^2}{4}\right).$$

Let $\lambda > 0$*.*
(1) *Let us define*

$$:\left(\frac{w_n(x)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)^k := \left(\frac{w_n(x)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)^k + \sum_{j=1}^{[k/2]} c_{k,j} \left(\frac{w_n(x)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)^{k-2j} \left(\frac{c_n}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)^{2j}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $c_{k,j} = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^j \frac{k!}{j!(k-2j)!}$ *and* $c_n^2 = \int_{\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})} w_n(x)^2 d\mu(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-m^2 t}}{\sqrt{t(t+\frac{2}{n})}}dt$*.*

(2) *Let* $P(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2M} a_k x^k$ *be a polynomial function with* $M \geq 2$ *and* $a_{2M} > 0$*. Let* g *be a non-negative* C^∞ *function on* $\mathbb{R}$ *with compact support. Define*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}} :P\left(\frac{w(x)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right): g(x)dx &= \sum_{k=0}^{2M} a_k \int_{\mathbb{R}} :\left(\frac{w(x)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)^k : g(x)dx \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2M} a_k \int_{\mathbb{R}} :\left(\frac{w_n(x)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)^k : g(x)dx \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

as a limit in $L^2(\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}), d\mu)$*. We define*

$$:V\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right): \;=\; \int_{\mathbb{R}} :P\left(\frac{w(x)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right): g(x)dx \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$V_\lambda(w) = \lambda :V\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right):. \tag{2.6}$$

(3) *Let* $\mathfrak{F}C_b^\infty$ *be the set of all functions of the form*

$$f\left(\langle \varphi_1, w\rangle, \ldots, \langle \varphi_n, w\rangle\right),$$

where f *is a smooth bounded function on* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *whose all derivatives are also bounded. It is known that* $(-L_A + V_\lambda, \mathfrak{F}C_b^\infty)$ *is essentially self-adjoint. We use the same notation* $-L_A + V_\lambda$ *for the self-adjoint extension which is called a spatially cut-off* $P(\phi)_2$*-Hamiltonian. Also it is known that the operator* $-L_A + V_\lambda$ *is bounded from below. Let* $E_1(\lambda) = \inf \sigma(-L_A + V_\lambda)$*, where* $\sigma(-L_A + V_\lambda)$ *denotes the spectral set of* $-L_A + V_\lambda$*.*

Formally, $-L_A + V_\lambda$ is unitarily equivalent to the infinite dimensional Schrödinger operator on $L^2(L^2(\mathbb{R}), dw)$:

$$-\Delta_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \lambda : U(w/\sqrt{\lambda}) : -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(m^2 - \Delta)^{1/2}, \tag{2.7}$$

where dw is an infinite dimensional Lebesgue measure,

$$:U(w): \;=\; \frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}} w'(x)^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{m^2}{4} w(x)^2 + :P(w(x)): g(x)\right) dx$$

and $\Delta_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ denotes the "Laplacian"on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$. That is the $P(\phi)_2$-Hamiltonian is related with the quantization of the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(t,x) = -2(\nabla U)(u(t,x)), \tag{2.8}$$

where ∇ denotes the L^2-gradient. Hence, it is natural to put assumptions on U to study asymptotic behavior of spectrum of $-L_A + V_\lambda$ under semi-classical limit $\lambda \to \infty$. Here let us recall standard assumptions on potential function U on $\mathbb{R}^d$ in the case of Schrödinger operators $-H_{\lambda,U} = -\Delta + \lambda U(x/\sqrt{\lambda})$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, dx)$. Under the assumptions

(H1) U is sufficiently smooth, $\min U = 0$ and the zero point is a finite set,

(H2) The Hessians of U at zero points are strictly positive,

(H3) $\liminf_{|x|\to\infty} U(x) > 0$.

It is well-known ([15, 27, 28, 40, 26]) that $\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \inf \sigma(-H_{\lambda,U})$ is determined by the spectral bottom of the harmonic oscillators which are obtained by replacing U by quadratic approximate functions near zero points of U. By the analogy, we consider the following assumptions on our potential functions.

Assumption 2.2. *Let P be the polynomial in Definition* 2.1 *and U be the function on H^1 which is given by*

$$U(h) = \frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}} h'(x)^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{m^2}{4}h(x)^2 + P(h(x))g(x)\right) dx \qquad \text{for all } h \in H^1. \tag{2.9}$$

(A1) *The function U is non-negative and the zero point set*

$$\mathcal{Z} := \{h \in H^1 \mid U(h) = 0\} = \{h_1, \ldots, h_{n_0}\} \tag{2.10}$$

is a finite set.
(A2) *For all $1 \le i \le n_0$, the Hessian $\nabla^2 U(h_i)$ is non-degenerate. That is, there exists $\delta_i > 0$ for each i such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 U(h_i)(h,h) \quad &:= \quad \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} h'(x)^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{m^2}{2}h(x)^2 + P''(h_i(x))g(x)h(x)^2\right) dx \\ &\ge \quad \delta_i \|h\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \qquad \text{for all } h \in H^1(\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Clearly, the nondegeneracy of the Hessian is equivalent to the strictly positivity of the Schrödinger operator $m^2 - \Delta + 4v_i$, where

$$v_i(x) = \frac{1}{2}P''(h_i(x))g(x). \tag{2.12}$$

Using the Taylor expansion of U at h_i, we can obtain the approximate operator $-L_A + Q_{v_i}$. Hence it is natural to expect the following theorem which is our first main result.

Theorem 2.3. *Assume that* (A1) *and* (A2) *hold. Let* $E_1(\lambda) = \inf \sigma(-L_A + V_\lambda)$. *Then*

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} E_1(\lambda) = \min_{1\le i\le n_0} E_i, \tag{2.13}$$

where

$$E_i = \inf \sigma(-L_A + Q_{v_i}) \tag{2.14}$$

and Q_{v_i} *is given by*

$$Q_{v_i}(w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} : w(x)^2 : v_i(x)dx. \tag{2.15}$$

In the theorem above, the function v_i is a C^∞ function but may take negative values. However, the Wick polynomial Q_{v_i} can be defined in the same way as in Definition 2.1. Actually, $E_i > -\infty$ for all i and we can give the explicit form of the number E_i using the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of a certain operator. See Lemma 3.6. By analogy with Schrödinger operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, one may expect that there exist eigenvalues near the values $E_1, \ldots, E_{n_0}$ for large λ. By the Simon and Hoegh-Krohn's result, if $E_j - \min_i E_i < m$, then there exist eigenvalues near E_j for large λ. However, if $E_j - \min_i E_i \ge m$, then embedded eigenvalues in the essential spectrum of $-L_A + V_\lambda$ may appear. Of course, there are some constraints on the numbers $E_1, \ldots, E_{n_0}$ because they are related with some variational problems. At the moment, the author has no answer to this problem. Simon [37] gave examples of embedded eigenvalues in the essential spectrum of spatially cut-off $P(\phi)_2$-Hamiltonian in a different situation.

Next we state our second main result. Let $E_2(\lambda) = \inf \{\sigma(-L_A + V_\lambda) \setminus \{E_1(\lambda)\}\}$. In the second main theorem, we prove that $E_2(\lambda) - E_1(\lambda)$ is exponentially small when U is a symmetric double well type potential function under semi-classical limit. This kind of estimate is related with tunneling in quantum mechanical system. We refer the reader to [15, 27, 28, 40, 26] for tunneling estimates in the case of Schrödinger operators. See [16] also for large dimension cases. To state our estimate, we introduce infinite dimensional analogue of Agmon distance in quantum mechanics.

Definition 2.4. *Let* $0 < T < \infty$ *and* $h, k \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$. *Let* $AC_{T,h,k}(H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ *be the all absolutely continuous functions* $c : [0, T] \to H^1(\mathbb{R})$ *satisfying* $c(0) = h, c(T) = k$. *We omit the subscript* T *when* $T = 1$ *and omit denoting* h, k *if there are no constraint. Let* U *be the potential function in* (2.9). *Assume* U *is non-negative. We define the Agmon distance between* h, k *by*

$$d_U^{Ag}(h,k) \quad = \quad \inf \left\{ \ell_U(c) \mid c \in AC_{T,h,k}(H^1(\mathbb{R})) \right\}, \tag{2.16}$$

where

$$\ell_U(c) = \int_0^T \sqrt{U(c(t))} \|c'(t)\|_{L^2} dt. \tag{2.17}$$

In this paper, we consider separable Hilbert space valued functions defined on intervals of $\mathbb{R}$. In that case, the notion of absolute continuity of the functions is equivalent to that the functions are equal to indefinite integrals of Bochner integrable functions and the same property (a.e.-differentiability, etc) as finite dimensions hold. See [14]. Note that the definition of Agmon distance above does not depend on T. We give another definition of the Agmon distance in Section 7 so that the distance function can be extended to a continuous distance function on $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$. Next we introduce symmetric double well type potential functions.

Assumption 2.5. *Let $P = P(x)$ be the polynomial function in the definition of U. We consider the following assumption.*
(A3) *For all x, $P(x) = P(-x)$. and $\mathcal{Z} = \{h_0, -h_0\}$, where $h_0 \neq 0$.*

The following is our second main theorem.

Theorem 2.6. *Assume that U satisfies* (A1),(A2),(A3)*. Then it holds that*

$$\limsup_{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{\log\left(E_2(\lambda) - E_1(\lambda)\right)}{\lambda} \le -d_U^{Ag}(h_0, -h_0). \tag{2.18}$$

In [4], we determine the semi-classical limit of the lowest eigenvalue of $P(\phi)_2$-Hamiltonian in the case where the space is a finite interval. By a similar kind of proof, we can prove that a similar estimate to Theorem 2.6 holds true in such a case too. Finally, we make remarks on researches on semi-classical limit of $-L_A + V_\lambda$. Arai [9] studied a semi-classical limit of partition functions for $P(\phi)_2$-Hamiltonians in the case where the space is a finite interval. The semi-classical properties of spectrum of Schrödinger operators in large dimension are studied in [24, 25, 42, 43, 33, 16].

3 Preliminaries

The probability measure μ whose covariance operator $(m^2 - \Delta)^{-1/2}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ exists on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$. However, we can choose a proper subset W of $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ on which μ exists. Let S be a non-negative self-adjoint trace class operator on H such that $Sh \neq 0$ for any $h \neq 0$. Let $\|h\|_S = (Sh, h)_H$. Let H_S be the completion of H with respect to the Hilbert norm $\| \ \|_S$. Then $\mu(H_S) = 1$. Of course, there are no significance in a particular choice of H_S. However, the following choice H_{S_0} is useful in some estimate. See Lemma 3.18. Let $-\Delta_H = 1 + x^2 - \Delta$ be the Schrödinger operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Clearly $-\Delta_H^{-1}$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on L^2. Let us consider a trace class self-adjoint operator on H:

$$S_0 = \tilde{A}^{-2}\left(-\Delta_H\right)^{-2}.$$

Then H_{S_0} can be identified with a subset of $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\|w\|_{S_0}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(1 + x^2 - \Delta)^{-1} w(x)|^2 dx.$$

Throughout this paper, we set $W = H_{S_0}$. Now, we recall the definition of the free Hamiltonian.

Definition 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{E}_A$ be the Dirichlet form defined by*

$$\mathcal{E}_A(f, f) = \int_W \|ADf(w)\|_H^2 d\mu(w), \quad f \in \mathrm{D}(\mathcal{E}_A), \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\mathrm{D}(\mathcal{E}_A) = \left\{ f \in \mathrm{D}(\mathcal{E}_I) \mid Df(w) \in \mathrm{D}(A)\ \mu - a.s.\ w \text{ and } \textstyle\int_W \|ADf(w)\|_H^2 d\mu(w) < \infty \right\} \tag{3.2}$$

and D is an H-derivative and $\mathcal{E}_I$ stands for the Dirichlet form which is obtained by replacing $ADf(w)$ by $Df(w)$ in (3.1). We denote the non-negative generator of $\mathcal{E}_A$ by $-L_A$ and write $D_Af(w) = ADf(w)$ for $f \in \mathrm{D}(\mathcal{E}_A)$.

In the above definition, I stands for the identity operator on H and the generator $-L_I$ of the Dirichlet form $\mathcal{E}_I$ is the number operator (Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator). We refer the reader for H-derivative and analysis on (abstract) Wiener spaces to [10, 22, 29]. Here is a remark on the derivative D_A.

Remark 3.2. *Let f be a smooth function on W in the sense of Fréchet. Let $(\nabla f)(w)$ be the unique element in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that for any $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{f(w+\varepsilon\varphi) - f(w)}{\varepsilon} = ((\nabla f)(w), \varphi)_{L^2}\,. \tag{3.3}$$

Then $\|D_A f(w)\|_H^2 = \|\nabla f(w)\|_{L^2}^2$ holds. Also by the analogy of finite dimensional cases, the Riemannian metric on H corresponding to the Dirichlet form $\mathcal{E}_A$ is L^2-Riemannian metric.

Also we note that the potential function U in Assumption 2.2 can be rewritten in the following form:

$$U(h) = \frac{1}{4}\|Ah\|_H^2 + V(h) \quad \text{for all } h \in \mathrm{D}(A), \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$V(h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} P(h(x))g(x)dx. \tag{3.5}$$

The function $V = V(h)$ is well-defined on H by the following lemma. We refer the reader for basic results of Sobolev spaces H^s to [1].

Lemma 3.3. *Let $p \geq 2$ and $s > \frac{p-2}{2p}$. Then there exists a constant $C_{p,s}$ such that*

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^p} \leq C_{p,s}\|\varphi\|_{H^s}. \tag{3.6}$$

The constant $C_{p,s}$ actually depends on m because our Sobolev spaces are defined by $m^2 - \Delta$. Concerning the zero point function h_i of U, we have the following result.

Lemma 3.4. *The minimizer h_i of U belongs to $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ and satisfies the equation*

$$(m^2 - \Delta)h_i(x) + 2P'(h_i(x))g(x) = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Let v be a continuous function with compact support. We use the notation

$$Q_v(w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} : w(x)^2 : v(x)dx. \tag{3.8}$$

On the other hand, for any Hilbert-Schmidt operator K on H, we can define a quadratic Wiener functional $: \langle Kw, w\rangle :$ as the limit

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left\{(P_nKP_nw, w)_H - \mathrm{tr}P_nKP_n\right\}, \tag{3.9}$$

where $\{P_n\}$ is a family of projection operators onto finite dimensional subspaces on H such that $\mathrm{Im}\,P_n \subset \mathrm{Im}\,P_{n+1}$ for any n and $\lim_{n\to\infty} P_n = I$ strongly. When K is a trace class operator, we denote the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty}(P_nKP_nw, w)_H$ by $(Kw, w)_H$. Now we recall another characterization of the Wick polynomial $Q_v(w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} : w(x)^2 : v(x)dx$ using the corresponding Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Recall that $\Phi = \tilde{A}^{-1} : L^2 \to H$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let v be a C^1 function with compact support on $\mathbb{R}$. Let M_v be the multiplication operator by v in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Let us define a bounded linear operator on H by*

$$K_v = \Phi \circ \left(\tilde{A}^{-1} M_v \tilde{A}^{-1}\right) \circ \Phi^{-1}. \tag{3.10}$$

(1) *It holds that $K_v h = \tilde{A}^{-2} M_v h$ for all $h \in H$. The operator $\tilde{A}^{-1} M_v \tilde{A}^{-1}$ belongs to Hilbert-Schmidt class. Consequently, AK_vA is a bounded linear operator and K_v is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on H.*
(2) *It holds that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} : w(x)^2 : v(x)dx =: \langle K_v w, w\rangle_H : . \tag{3.11}$$

Since the function v may be negative in the lemma below, we cannot apply the results in Definition 2.1 (3) directly to prove the lower boundedness of $-L_A + Q_v$. However, it is not difficult to show such a result because the ground state function is explicitly known. We summarize the results.

Lemma 3.6. *Let v be a C^1 function with compact support.*
(1) *It holds that*

$$\Phi^{-1}\left(A^4 + 4AK_vA\right)\Phi = m^2 - \Delta + 4v. \tag{3.12}$$

In particular, the strict positivity of $m^2 - \Delta + 4v$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is equivalent to the strict positivity of $A^4 + 4AK_vA$.
(2) *Assume that $m^2 - \Delta + 4v$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is strictly positive and we define $\tilde{A}_v = (m^2 - \Delta + 4v)^{1/4}$.*

- (i) *$\tilde{A}_v^2 - \tilde{A}^2$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.*
- (ii) *Let $A_v = (A^4 + 4AK_vA)^{1/4}$ and $T_v = A^{-1}(A_v^2 - A^2)A^{-1}$. Then T_v is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on H with $\inf\sigma(T_v) > -1$.*
- (iii) *The densely defined linear operator $(-L_A+Q_v, \mathfrak{F}C_b^\infty)$ is bounded from below. We denote by the same notation $-L_A+Q_v$ the Friedrichs extension. The spectral bottom $\inf\sigma(-L_A+Q_v)$ is a simple eigenvalue of $-L_A + Q_v$ and the eigenvalue and the associated normalized positive eigenfunction Ω_v are given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\inf\sigma(-L_A + Q_v) &= -\frac{1}{4}\| \left(A_v^2 - A^2\right) A^{-1}\|^2_{L_{(2)}(H)}, &(3.13)\\ \Omega_v(w) &= \det{}_{(2)}(I + T_v)^{1/4} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{4} : \langle T_v w, w\rangle_H :\right], &(3.14)\end{aligned}$$

  where $\| \ \|_{L_{(2)}(H)}$ denotes the Hilbert-Schmidt norm.
- (iv) *The weighted measure $\Omega_v(w)^2 d\mu$ is the Gaussian probability measure whose covariance operator is $(m^2 + 4v - \Delta)^{-1/2}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$.*

Clearly, $\| \left(A_v^2 - A^2\right) A^{-1}\|^2_{L_{(2)}(H)}$ is equal to $\| \left(\tilde{A}_v^2 - \tilde{A}^2\right) \tilde{A}^{-1}\|^2_{L_{(2)}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))}$. The following is an extension of the above lemma. We need this lemma to study tunneling.

Lemma 3.7. *Let v be the same function as in Lemma* 3.6 (2)*. Also we use the same notation as in Lemma* 3.6*. Let J be a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on H. Assume that AJA is also a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Moreover we assume that $A^4 + 4AK_vA + 4AJA$ is strictly positive operator. Let $A_{v,J} = \left(A^4 + 4AK_vA + 4AJA\right)^{1/4}$.*
(1) *$A_{v,J}^2 - A^2$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on H.*
(2) *Let $T_{v,J} = A^{-1}(A_{v,J}^2 - A^2)A^{-1}$. $T_{v,J}$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator with* $\inf \sigma(T_{v,J}) > -1$*. Let $Q_{v,J} = Q_v + :\langle Jw, w\rangle_H:$. Then $(-L_A + Q_{v,J}, \mathfrak{F}C_b^\infty)$ is bounded from below. We use the same notation to indicate the Friedrichs extension. $E_{v,J} = \inf \sigma(-L_A + Q_{v,J})$ is a simple eigenvalue. The lowest eigenvalue and the corresponding normalized positive eigenfunction $\Omega_{v,J}$ is given by*

$$E_{v,J} = -\frac{1}{4}\|\left(A_{v,J}^2 - A^2\right)A^{-1}\|_{L_{(2)}(H)}^2. \tag{3.15}$$

$$\Omega_{v,J}(w) = \det{}_{(2)}(I + T_{v,J})^{1/4} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{4} :\langle T_{v,J}w, w\rangle_H:\right]. \tag{3.16}$$

We will give the proof of the above three lemmas in Section 7. The operator J in Lemma 3.7 will appear as a second derivative of the squared norm on W.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $F(w) = \frac{1}{2}\|w\|_W^2$.*
(1) *We have $DF(w) = \tilde{A}^{-2}\Delta_H^{-2}w$ and $D^2F(w) = \tilde{A}^{-2}\Delta_H^{-2}$. That is $D^2F(w)$ is equal to S_0. In particular $D^2F(w)$ is a trace class operator on H. Also it holds that*

$$:\langle S_0 w, w\rangle := \|w\|_W^2 - \operatorname{tr} S_0. \tag{3.17}$$

(2) *It holds that $F \in \mathrm{D}(\mathcal{E}_A)$ and $\|D_AF(w)\|_H^2 = \|(1 + x^2 - \Delta)^{-2}(w)\|_{L^2}^2 \le C\|w\|_W^2$.*
(3) *The operator AS_0A is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.*

Proof. We denote by Δ_H the operator $1 + x^2 - \Delta$ acting on tempered distribution. Then $F(w) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}(\Delta_H^{-1}w)^2(x)dx$. Hence for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_\varphi F(w) &= \left(\Delta_H^{-1}w, \Delta_H^{-1}\varphi\right)_{L^2} \\ &= \left(\tilde{A}^{-2}\Delta_H^{-2}w, \varphi\right)_{H^{1/2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Hence $DF(w) = \tilde{A}^{-2}\Delta_H^{-2}w$, $DF(w) \in \mathrm{D}(A) = \mathrm{D}(\tilde{A}^2)$ and $\|D_AF(w)\|_H^2 = \|\Delta_H^{-2}w\|_{L^2}^2$. A similar calculation shows also that the second derivative of F is equal to $\tilde{A}^{-2}\Delta_H^{-2}$ and it belongs to trace class. Finally we prove the identity (3.17). Let $\{P_n\}$ be projection operators onto the finite dimensional subspace spanned by the eigenfunctions of S_0 such that P_n converges to the identity operator strongly on H. By the definition of the norm of $\|\ \|_W$, we have $\|P_nw\|_W^2 = (S_0P_nw, P_nw)_H$. Since $\operatorname{tr}(P_nS_0P_n) \to \operatorname{tr} S_0$ and $\|P_nw\|_W^2 \to \|w\|_W^2$ for any $w \in W$ by the definition, the proof of (1) is completed. Since AS_0A is unitarily equivalent to $\tilde{A}^{-1}(-\Delta_H)^{-2}\tilde{A}^{-1}$, the proof of (3) is evident. ☐

We introduce a set of functions dominated by U to state a theorem which is an extension of Theorem 2.3.

Definition 3.9. *Let $\mathcal{F}_U^W$ be the set of non-negative bounded globally Lipschitz continuous functions u on W which satisfy the following conditions.*

(1) *It holds that* $0 \leq u(h) \leq U(h)$ *for all* $h \in H^1$ *and*

$$\{h \in H \mid U(h) - u(h) = 0\} = \{h_1, \dots, h_{n_0}\} = \mathcal{Z}, \tag{3.19}$$

where $\mathcal{Z}$ *is the zero point set of* U.
(2) *There exists a non-negative number* ε_i *for each* h_i $(1 \leq i \leq n_0)$ *such that*

$$u(w) = \varepsilon_i \|w - h_i\|_W^2 \qquad \text{in a neighborhood of } h_i \text{ in the topology of } W. \tag{3.20}$$

(3) *Let* $J_i = -\frac{1}{2}D^2 u(h_i)$. *Then the self-adjoint operators*

$$A^4 + 4AK_{v_i}A + 4AJ_iA \qquad (1 \leq i \leq n_0)$$

are strictly positive.

Remark 3.10. (1) *In the definition above, we assume* u *is equal to the squared norm of* W. *Actually Theorem* 3.12 *holds for more general* C^2 *function* u *near* $\mathcal{Z}$ *which satisfies the conditions* (1) *and* (3) *in Definition* 3.9. *But just for simplicity we consider the case of squared norm. Also in this case, we have*

$$J_i = -\varepsilon_i \tilde{A}^{-2} \Delta_H^{-2}. \tag{3.21}$$

From now on we use the notation J_i *to express this operator.*
(2) *The operator* $A^4 + 4AK_{v_i}A + 4AJ_iA$ *is unitarily equivalent to the operator* $m^2 - \Delta + 4v_i - \varepsilon_i \Delta_H^{-2}$ *on* $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. *So the assumption* (3) *implies the nondegeneracy of the* L^2*-Hessian of* $U - u$ *at* h_i.

Example 3.11. *Set*

$$u_{\mathcal{Z}}(w) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n_0} \|w - h_i\|_W^2. \tag{3.22}$$

Let $R > 0$ *and* κ *be a sufficiently small positive number. Then* $\kappa \min(u_{\mathcal{Z}}, R) \in \mathcal{F}_U^W$. *This function will appear in Section* 5. *The proof of the property* (1) *in Definition* 3.9 *is similar to that of Lemma* 5.1 *in* [6].

Now we state a theorem which is stronger than Theorem 2.3 which corresponds to the case where $u = 0$.

Theorem 3.12. *Assume that* (A1) *and* (A2) *hold. Let* $u \in \mathcal{F}_U^W$ *and set* $u_\lambda(w) = \lambda u(w/\sqrt{\lambda})$. *Let* $E_1(\lambda, u) = \inf \sigma(-L_A + V_\lambda - u_\lambda)$. *Then*

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} E_1(\lambda, u) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n_0} E_i, \tag{3.23}$$

where

$$E_i = \inf \sigma(-L_A + Q_{v_i, J_i}) + \mathrm{tr} J_i. \tag{3.24}$$

By (3.17), we have

$$-L_A + Q_{v_i, J_i} + \mathrm{tr}\, J_i = -L_A + Q_{v_i} - \varepsilon_i \|w\|_W^2. \tag{3.25}$$

In order to prove LHS $\geq$ RHS in (3.23), we need a lower bound estimate for Schrödinger operators of the forms $-L_I + V$ which are perturbations of the number operator $-L_I$ by potential functions V. This lower boundedness was discovered and developed by Nelson [34], Glimm [21], Segal [36], Federbush [20] and Gross [23].

Lemma 3.13. (1) *Let $\tilde{V}$ be a bounded measurable function. Let T be a trace class self-adjoint operator on H with* $\inf\sigma(I+T)>0$. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & m\int_W \|(I+T)Df(w)\|_H^2 d\mu + \int_W \tilde{V}(w)f(w)^2 d\mu \\ & \ge -\frac{m}{2}\log\left\{\int_W \exp\left(-\frac{2}{m}\tilde{V}(w)-(Tw,w)_H-\frac{1}{2}\|Tw\|_H^2\right)d\mu(w)\right\}\|f\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2 \\ & \quad +\left(\frac{m}{2}\log\det(I+T)-\frac{m}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left(T^2\right)-m\,\mathrm{tr}T\right)\|f\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

(2) *Let $d\mu_{v,J}=\Omega_{v,J}^2 d\mu$. Let*

$$\mathcal{E}_{A,v,J}(g,g) \;=\; \int_W \|ADg(w)\|_H^2 d\mu_{v,J}, \quad g\in \mathrm{D}(\mathcal{E}_{A,v,J}), \tag{3.27}$$

be the closure of the closable form $(\mathcal{E}_{A,v,J},\mathfrak{F}C_b^\infty)$. Let $c_{v,J}=\inf\sigma(I+T_{v,J})$. Then the following logarithmic Sobolev inequality holds. For any $g\in\mathrm{D}(\mathcal{E}_{A,v,J})$,

$$\int_W g(w)^2\log\left(g(w)^2/\|g\|_{L^2(\mu_{v,J})}^2\right)d\mu_{v,J}(w) \;\le\; \frac{2}{mc_{v,J}}\int_W \|ADg(w)\|_H^2 d\mu_{v,J}(w). \tag{3.28}$$

(3) *Let $\tilde{f}=f\Omega_{v,J}^{-1}$. Then for any $f\in\mathfrak{F}C_b^\infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left((-L_A+Q_{v,J}+\tilde{V}-E_{v,J})f,f\right)_{L^2(W,d\mu)} \\ & \quad = \int_W \|D_A\tilde{f}(w)\|_H^2 d\mu_{v,J}(w)+\int_W \tilde{V}(w)\tilde{f}(w)^2 d\mu_{v,J}(w) \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left((-L_A+Q_{v,J}+\tilde{V}-E_{v,J})f,f\right)_{L^2(W,d\mu)} \\ & \quad \ge -\frac{mc_{v,J}}{2}\log\left(\int_W \exp\left(-\frac{2}{mc_{v,J}}\tilde{V}(w)\right)d\mu_{v,J}(w)\right)\|f\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

Proof. The inequality in (1) follows from Gaussian logarithmic Sobolev inequality [23, 20]. For example, see Theorem 4.3 in [5]. We prove (2). By the Bakry-Emery criterion, we obtain

$$\int_W g(w)^2\log\left(g(w)^2/\|g\|_{L^2(\mu_{v,J})}^2\right)d\mu_{v,J}(w)\le\frac{2}{c_{v,J}}\int_W \|Dg(w)\|_H^2 d\mu_{v,J}(w). \tag{3.31}$$

By combining this inequality with $m\|Dg(w)\|_H^2\le\|ADg(w)\|_H^2$, we get the desired inequality. We prove (3.29). Note that $:\langle T_{v,J}w,w\rangle:\in\mathrm{D}(\mathcal{E}_{A,v,J})$ and the sequence $\{\tilde{f}\chi(:\langle T_{v,J}w,w\rangle:/n)\}$ converges to $\tilde{f}$ in $\mathrm{D}(\mathcal{E}_{A,v,J})$, where χ is a C^∞ function with $\chi(x)=1$ for $|x|\le1$ and $\chi(x)=0$ for $|x|\ge2$. Thus $\tilde{f}\in\mathrm{D}(\mathcal{E}_{A,v,J})$. The identity (3.29) follows from $(-L_A+Q_{v,J})\Omega_{v,J}=E_{v,J}\Omega_{v,J}$ and a simple direct calculation. (3.30) follows from (3.28) and (3.29). See [20, 23]. □

In the proof of (3.23), we use Lemma 3.13, large deviation estimates and Laplace's asymptotic formula for Wiener chaos in Lemma 3.15 and Lemma 3.16. The following two lemmas are essential for the large deviation estimates. The hypercontractivity of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup is the key for the proofs. But the proofs are almost similar to those of Lemma 2.14 and Lemma 2.15 in [4] and we refer the reader for the proofs to them. Note that the large deviation estimates originally are due to [11]. See also [30, 31, 19].

Lemma 3.14. *Let w_n be the approximation function of w defined in the Section 2. For any $\delta > 0$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\limsup_{\lambda\to\infty}\frac{1}{\lambda}\log\mu\left(\left\{w \;\middle|\; \left|:V\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right):-V\left(\frac{w_n}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)\right|>\delta\right\}\right) \quad=\quad -\infty. \tag{3.32}$$

Lemma 3.15. *Let T be a trace class self-adjoint operator on H and v be a bounded continuous function on W. We write $v_\lambda(w) = \lambda v(w/\sqrt{\lambda})$. Let χ be a non-negative bounded continuous function and set*

$$F_\lambda(w) = (V_\lambda(w) - v_\lambda(w))\,\chi\left(\frac{\|w\|_W^2}{\lambda}\right) + (Tw, w)_H\,, \qquad w \in W$$

and $F(h) = (V(h) - v(h))\,\chi\left(\|h\|_W^2\right) + (Th, h)_H$ for $h \in H$.
(1) The image measure of μ by the measurable map $\frac{F_\lambda}{\lambda}$ satisfies the large deviation principle with the good rate function:

$$I_F(x) = \begin{cases}\inf\left\{\frac{1}{2}\|h\|_H^2 \;\middle|\; \text{there exists } h\in H \text{ such that } F(h)=x\right\}, \\ +\infty \qquad \text{there are no } h \in H \text{ such that } F(h) = x.\end{cases}$$

(2) Assume that $I + 2T$ is a strictly positive operator on H. Then there exists $\alpha_0 > 1$ such that for any $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\frac{1}{\lambda}\log\left(\int_W \exp\Bigl(-\alpha F_\lambda(w)\Bigr)d\mu(w)\right)\\ &\quad= -\min\left\{\frac{1}{2}\|h\|_H^2 + \alpha\,(V(h) - v(h))\,\chi(\|h\|_W^2) + \alpha(Th, h)_H \;\middle|\; h \in H\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

Further, we need Laplace asymptotic formula for Wiener chaos. We use Lemma 3.18 which will be proved later.

Lemma 3.16. *Let χ be a smooth non-negative function such that $\chi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \le 1$, $\chi(x) = 0$ for $|x| \ge 2$ and $0 \le \chi \le 1$. Set $\chi_{\lambda,\varepsilon}(w) = \chi\left(\frac{\|w\|_W^2}{\lambda\varepsilon}\right)$. Let $f_k(x)$ $(3 \le k \le 2M - 1)$ be continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}$ and $f_{2M}(x) = b_{2M}$ be a positive constant. Let*

$$G_\lambda(w) \quad=\quad \lambda\sum_{k=3}^{2M}\int_{\mathbb{R}} :\left(\frac{w(x)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)^k: f_k(x)g(x)dx. \tag{3.34}$$

Then for sufficiently small ε,

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\int_W e^{-G_\lambda(w)\chi_{\lambda,\varepsilon}(w)}d\mu(w) = 1. \tag{3.35}$$

Proof. Let

$$G(h) \quad = \quad \sum_{k=3}^{2M} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x)^k f_k(x) g(x) dx. \tag{3.36}$$

Using the following identity with sufficiently small positive δ, κ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=3}^{2M} h(x)^k f_k(x) \quad &= \quad h(x)^{2M}((b_{2M} - \delta) - (\delta + \kappa f_k(x))) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=3}^{2M-1} \left(\frac{1}{2M-3} h(x)^{2M} - \kappa^{-1} h(x)^k \right) \delta \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=3}^{2M-1} \left(\frac{1}{2M-3} h(x)^{2M} + \kappa^{-1} h(x)^k \right) (\delta + \kappa f_k(x)), \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

we have

$$\inf_{h \in H} G(h) > -\infty. \tag{3.38}$$

So for any $a > 0$

$$\lim_{\|h\|_H \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{2} \|h\|_H^2 + a G(h) \chi_{1,\varepsilon}(h) \right) = \infty.$$

By Lemma 3.18, for any $\delta > 0$ and $R > 0$, there exists $C(\delta, R)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h(x)|^k |f_k(x)| g(x) dx \le C \delta^{k-2} \|h\|_H^2 \qquad \text{for } \|h\|_W \le C(\delta, R), \ \|h\|_H \le R. \tag{3.39}$$

Thus, for sufficiently small ε,

$$\inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|h\|_H^2 + 2G(h) \chi_{1,\varepsilon}(h) \;\middle|\; h \in H \right\} > 0.$$

Also by the decomposition of the polynomial (3.37) we obtain that for any $\alpha > 0$,

$$\limsup_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \left(\int_W \exp\left(-\alpha G_\lambda(w)\right) \right) < \infty. \tag{3.40}$$

Hence, by a similar argument to the proof of Lemma 3.14, $G_\lambda(w)/\lambda$ satisfies the large deviation principle with the rate function I_G which is defined similarly to I_F. By a similar argument to the proof of Lemma 2.16 in [4], we can complete the proof. □

Remark 3.17. *In the estimate* (2.61) *in* [4]*, we used large deviation results without mentioning the above decomposition of the polynomial* (3.37)*. However, the argument above is necessary because the function* f_k *may be different for each* k *differently from the setting in Lemma* 3.15*.*

To apply Lemma 3.13 to the proof of Theorem 3.12, we need to approximate A by bounded linear operators of the form, $\sqrt{m}(I + \text{trace class operator})$. To this end, we introduce a family of projection operators which depend on a positive parameter l. We fix a complete orthonormal system $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ on $L^2([0,1], dx)$. The set $\{e_n\}$ are also a c.o.n.s of $L^2([0,1], dx)_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $l > 0$

and set $e_{n,l}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{l}} e_n(x/l)$. Then $\{e_{n,l}\}$ is a c.o.n.s of $L^2([0,l],dx)$ and $L^2([0,l],dx)_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $I_{k,l} = [kl,(k+1)l)$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let us define

$$e_{n,l,k}(x) = \begin{cases} e_{n,l}(x-kl) & (k \ge 0), \\ e_{n,l}(-x+(k+1)l) & (k < 0). \end{cases}$$

We extend $e_{n,l,k}$ to a function on $\mathbb{R}$ setting $e_{n,l,k}(x) = 0$ for $x \notin I_{k,l}$. The family of functions on $\mathbb{R}$, $\{e_{n,l,k} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a c.o.n.s. of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let us define the Fourier transform and the inverse transform on $L^2(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{C}}$:

$$\mathfrak{F}\varphi(\xi) = \hat{\varphi}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\sqrt{-1}x\xi} \varphi(x)dx, \tag{3.41}$$

$$\mathfrak{F}^{-1}\psi(x) = \check{\psi}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\sqrt{-1}x\xi} \psi(\xi)d\xi. \tag{3.42}$$

Note that $e_{n,l,k}$ satisfies the following relation:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\sqrt{-1}x\xi} e_{n,l,k}(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\sqrt{-1}x\xi} e_{n,l,-k-1}(x)dx, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.43}$$

Let K, N be natural numbers. Let $\tilde{P}_{N,K,l}$ be the projection operator onto the linear span of

$$\left\{ \left(\mathfrak{F}^{-1} e_{n,l,k}\right)(x) \;\middle|\; 1 \le n \le N, -K \le k \le K-1 \right\} \tag{3.44}$$

in $L^2(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{C}}$. More explicitly,

$$\tilde{P}_{N,K,l}\varphi(x) = \sum_{1 \le n \le N, -K \le k \le K-1} \left(\varphi, \mathfrak{F}^{-1} e_{n,l,k}\right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{C}}} \left(\mathfrak{F}^{-1} e_{n,l,k}\right)(x). \tag{3.45}$$

If φ is a real-valued function, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\overline{\left(\varphi, \mathfrak{F}^{-1} e_{n,l,k}\right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{C}}} \left(\mathfrak{F}^{-1} e_{n,l,k}\right)(x)} = \left(\varphi, \mathfrak{F}^{-1} e_{n,l,-k-1}\right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{C}}} \left(\mathfrak{F}^{-1} e_{n,l,-k-1}\right)(x) \tag{3.46}$$

where $\bar{z}$ denotes the complex conjugate of z. Hence $\tilde{P}_{N,K,l}\varphi$ is also a real valued function. This implies that $\tilde{P}_{N,K,l}$ is also a projection operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Next, we define a family of projection operators on $H = H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$. Let us consider a unitary map $\Psi : L^2(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{C}} \to H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{C}}$ which is defined by $\Psi = \mathfrak{F}^{-1} M_{\omega^{-1/2}} \mathfrak{F}$, where $M_{\omega^{-1/2}} g(\xi) = \omega(\xi)^{-1/2} g(\xi)$ and $\omega(\xi) = \left(m^2 + \xi^2\right)^{1/2}$. Clearly this unitary transformation preserves the real-valued subspaces and $\Psi|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \Phi$. We define a projection operator on $H^{1/2}_{\mathbb{C}}$ by

$$P_{N,K,l}h(x) = \Psi \circ \tilde{P}_{N,K,l} \circ \Psi^{-1} h(x). \tag{3.47}$$

Since Ψ preserves the real-valued subspace, $P_{N,K,l}$ is a projection operator on $H^{1/2}$. This operator can be defined in the following way too. Take $h \in L^2$. Then $h \in H$ is equivalent to $\mathfrak{F}h \in L^2(\omega(\xi)d\xi)$ and

$$(h,k)_H = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathfrak{F}h)(\xi) \overline{(\mathfrak{F}k)(\xi)} \omega(\xi) d\xi. \tag{3.48}$$

Therefore $\{\mathfrak{F}^{-1}\left(\omega^{-1/2}e_{n,l,k}\right) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ constitutes a c.o.n.s. of $H_{\mathbb{C}}$. The projection $P_{N,K,l}$ is nothing but a projection operator onto a linear span of $\{\mathfrak{F}^{-1}\left(\omega^{-1/2}e_{n,l,k}\right) \mid 1 \leq n \leq N, -K \leq k \leq K-1\}$. Note that $\operatorname{Im} P_{N,K,l} \subset \mathrm{D}(A^n)$ for all $n \geq 1$. Also for any l, $P_{N,K,l}$ converges to the identity operator on H strongly as $N, K \to \infty$. The following Gagliard-Nirenberg type estimate is used in the proof of Lemma 3.16 and the estimate for the weighted L^p-estimate on $P_{N,K,l}h - h$.

Lemma 3.18. *Let $p \geq 2$. Let g be a non-negative bounded measurable function such that*

$$C(p,g) = \max\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(x)|dx, \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^p g(x)dx, \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^{2p} g(x)dx\right\} < \infty.$$

Let s be a positive number such that $\frac{p-2}{2p} < s < \frac{1}{2}$. Then there exists a positive constant C which depends on $C(p,g)$, $\|g\|_\infty$ and s such that for any $h \in H^{1/2}$,

$$\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h(x)|^p g(x)dx\right\}^{1/p} \leq C\|h\|_{H^{1/2}}^{a(s)} \|h\|_W^{1-a(s)}, \tag{3.49}$$

where $a(s) = 3/(4-2s)$.

Proof. Let φ be a C^∞ function such that $\varphi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$ and $\varphi(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1$ for all x. Let $R \geq 1$. We consider the following decomposition.

$$h = \mathfrak{F}^{-1}\left(\hat{h}\varphi(\cdot/R)\right) + \mathfrak{F}^{-1}\left(\hat{h}(1-\varphi(\cdot/R))\right) = h_1 + h_2. \tag{3.50}$$

Let $\Delta_{H,\xi} = (1 + |\xi|^2 - \Delta_\xi)$. Using the integration by parts formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\sqrt{-1}x\xi} \varphi(\xi/R) \Delta_{H,\xi} \Delta_{H,\xi}^{-1} \hat{h}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\sqrt{-1}x\xi} \varphi(\xi/R)(1 + |\xi|^2 + |x|^2) \Delta_{H,\xi}^{-1} \hat{h}(\xi) d\xi \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sqrt{-1}x}{R} e^{\sqrt{-1}x\xi} \varphi'(\xi/R) \Delta_{H,\xi}^{-1} \hat{h}(\xi) d\xi \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{R^2} \varphi''(\xi/R) e^{\sqrt{-1}x\xi} \Delta_{H,\xi}^{-1} \hat{h}(\xi) d\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{3.51}$$

Using the Schwarz inequality and the commutativity of $\mathfrak{F}^{-1}$ and $\Delta_{H,\xi}$, we have

$$|h_1(x)| \leq \frac{C_\varphi}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \|h\|_W \left(\sqrt{R}|x|^2 + 2|x|R^{-1/2} + \sqrt{R}(1+4R) + R^{-3/2}\right) \tag{3.52}$$

and

$$\|h_1\|_{L^p(gdx)} \leq CR^{3/2}\|h\|_W. \tag{3.53}$$

Next we estimate h_2. By Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_2\|_{L^p} &\leq C_{p,s} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{h}(\xi)(1-\varphi(\xi/R))|^2 (m^2+\xi^2)^s d\xi\right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C_{p,s} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(m^2+\xi^2)^{1/2}}{(m^2+R^2)^{\frac{1}{2}-s}} |\hat{h}(\xi)|^2 d\xi\right)^{1/2} \\ &= C_{p,s} \left(m^2+R^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-s)} \|h\|_{H^{1/2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.54}$$

The estimates (3.53) and (3.54) imply for any $R \geq 1$,

$$\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h(x)|^p g(x)dx\right\}^{1/p} \leq \frac{C_1\|g\|_\infty}{R^{(\frac{1}{2}-s)}}\|h\|_{H^{1/2}} + C_2R^{3/2}\|h\|_W, \tag{3.55}$$

where C_1 is a constant which depends on m, p, s and C_2 is a constant which depends on $\|g\|_{L^1}$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^p g(x)dx$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^{2p}g(x)dx$. Let $C_3 = \sup_{h\neq 0} \frac{\|h\|_W}{\|h\|_H}$. Clearly $C_3 < \infty$. Putting $R = \left(C_3\frac{\|h\|_H}{\|h\|_W}\right)^{1/(2-s)}$, we get the estimate (3.49). □

Using the preliminaries above, we approximate A by bounded linear operators which are of the form $\sqrt{m}(I + \text{trace class operator})$. Let R be a positive number. Let $\psi_R(x)$ be a positive function on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\psi_R(x) = 1$ for $0 \leq x \leq \omega(R)^{1/2}$ and $\psi_R(x) = \omega(R)^{1/2}/x$ for $x \geq \omega(R)^{1/2}$. Let $A^{(R)} = A\psi_R(A)$. Then $A^{(R)}$ is a bounded linear operator and $\|A^{(R)}\|_{op} = \omega(R)^{1/2}$. In the first step, we approximate A by $A^{(R)}$ as in the following lemma. From now on, we use the following notation. For $r > 0$ and $z \in W, k \in H$, let $B_r(z) = \{w \in W \mid \|w - z\|_W < r\}$ and $B_{r,H}(k) = \{h \in H \mid \|h - k\|_H < r\}$. Also, we define $B_\varepsilon(\mathcal{Z}) = \cup_{i=1}^{n_0} B_\varepsilon(h_i)$.

Lemma 3.19. *Assume U satisfies* (A1) *and* (A2). *Let $u \in \mathcal{F}_U^W$.*
(1) *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\beta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that*

$$\inf\{U(h) - u(h) \mid h \in B_\varepsilon(\mathcal{Z})^c \cap \mathrm{D}(A)\} \geq \beta(\varepsilon). \tag{3.56}$$

(2) *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $R > 0$ and $\delta(\varepsilon, R) > 0$ such that*

$$\inf\left\{\frac{1}{4}\left\|A^{(R)}h\right\|_H^2 + V(h) - u(h) \;\middle|\; h \in B_\varepsilon(\mathcal{Z})^c \cap H\right\} \geq \delta(\varepsilon, R). \tag{3.57}$$

Proof. (1) We have $\|Ah\|_H \geq \sqrt{m}\|h\|_H$ for any h. Since for any $h \in H$

$$V(h) - u(h) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\inf_x P(x)\right)g(x)dx - \sup_h u(h) =: \kappa > -\infty, \tag{3.58}$$

$\liminf_{\|h\|_H\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{4}\|Ah\|_H^2 + V(h) - u(h)\right) = +\infty$. Hence it suffices to show that for fixed $R_0 > 0$

$$\inf\left\{\frac{1}{4}\|Ah\|_H^2 + V(h) - u(h) \;\middle|\; h \in B_\varepsilon(\mathcal{Z})^c \cap B_{R_0,H}(0) \cap \mathrm{D}(A)\right\} \geq \beta(\varepsilon) > 0. \tag{3.59}$$

Assume that there exist $\{\varphi_n\} \subset B_\varepsilon(\mathcal{Z})^c \cap B_{R_0,H}(0) \cap \mathrm{D}(A)$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty}(U(\varphi_n) - u(\varphi_n)) = 0$. By Lemma 3.3, $\sup_n |V(\varphi_n)| < \infty$. Hence $\sup_n \|\varphi_n\|_{H^1}^2 < \infty$. Therefore we may assume that φ_n converges weakly to some $\tilde{\varphi} \in H^1$ in H^1. Since the inclusion $H \hookrightarrow W$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator, $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|\varphi_n - \tilde{\varphi}\|_W = 0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} u(\varphi_n) = u(\varphi)$. By Lemma 3.18, $\lim_{n\to\infty} V(\varphi_n) = V(\tilde{\varphi})$. Combining these, we get

$$U(\tilde{\varphi}) - u(\tilde{\varphi}) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{4}\|\varphi_n\|_{H^1}^2 + V(\varphi_n) - u(\varphi_n)\right) = 0.$$

This implies $\tilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{Z}$. However, since $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|\varphi_n - \tilde{\varphi}\|_W = 0$, this contradicts the assumptions on $\{\varphi_n\}$.

(2) It suffices to show that for fixed $R_0 > 0$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist R and $\delta(\varepsilon, R) > 0$ such that for any $h \in B_\varepsilon(\mathcal{Z})^c \cap B_{R_0,H}(0)$,

$$\frac{1}{4}\left\|A^{(R)}h\right\|_H^2 + V(h) - u(h) \quad \geq \quad \delta(\varepsilon, R). \tag{3.60}$$

Pick any $h \in B_\varepsilon(\mathcal{Z})^c \cap B_{R_0,H}(0)$. There are two cases where

(i) there exists i such that $\psi_R(A)h \in B_{\varepsilon/2}(h_i)$,

(ii) it holds that $\psi_R(A)h \in B_{\varepsilon/2}(\mathcal{Z})^c \cap B_{R_0,H}(0)$.

We consider the case (i). Since $\|h - h_i\|_W \geq \varepsilon$,

$$\|\chi_{[\omega(R)^{1/2},\infty)}(A)h\|_H \geq \|h - \psi_R(A)h\|_H \geq C\|h - \psi_R(A)h\|_W \geq C\varepsilon/2.$$

Noting $A^{(R)}h = A\chi_{[0,\omega(R)^{1/2})}(A)h + \omega(R)^{1/2}\chi_{[\omega(R)^{1/2},\infty)}(A)h$, we have

$$\frac{1}{4}\|A^{(R)}h\|_H^2 + V(h) - u(h) \geq \frac{\varepsilon^2 C^2 \omega(R)}{16} + \kappa,$$

where κ is defined in (3.58). Hence, for large R, (3.60) holds. We consider the case (ii). If $\frac{1}{4}\|A^{(R)}h\|_H^2 \geq |\kappa| + \varepsilon$, then $\frac{1}{4}\|A^{(R)}h\|_H^2 + V(h) - u(h) \geq \varepsilon$. So we may assume that $\frac{1}{4}\|A^{(R)}h\|_H^2 \leq |\kappa| + \varepsilon$. In this case,

$$\|h - \psi_R(A)h\|_H^2 \leq \|\chi_{[\omega(R)^{1/2},\infty)}(A)h\|_H^2 \leq \frac{4(|\kappa| + \varepsilon)}{\omega(R)}. \tag{3.61}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |V(h) - V(\psi_R(A)h)| &\leq C(1 + \|h\|_H)^{2M-1}\|h - \psi_R(A)h\|_H \\ &\leq C(1 + R_0)^{2M-1}\left(\frac{4(|\kappa| + \varepsilon)}{\omega(R)}\right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.62}$$

$$|u(h) - u(\psi_R(A)h)| \leq C\|h - \psi_R(A)h\|_W \leq 2C\left(\frac{|\kappa| + \varepsilon}{\omega(R)}\right)^{1/2}. \tag{3.63}$$

In (3.62), we have used Lemma 3.3. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4}\left\|A^{(R)}h\right\|_H^2 + V(h) - u(h) &= \frac{1}{4}\left\|A^{(R)}h\right\|_H^2 + V(\psi_R(A)h) - u(\psi_R(A)h) \\ &\quad + V(h) - V(\psi_R(A)h) - (u(h) - u(\psi_R(A)h)) \\ &\geq \beta(\varepsilon/2) - 4C(1 + R_0)^{2M-1}\left(\frac{|\kappa| + \varepsilon}{\omega(R)}\right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.64}$$

Therefore, (3.60) holds. □

We introduce approximate operators of A. From now on, we assume that $R/l \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$A_l = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega(kl)^{1/2} 1_{[\omega(kl)^{1/2}, \omega((k+1)l)^{1/2})}(A).$$

By the assumption $R/l \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $(A^{(R)})_l = (A_l)^{(R)}$. Hence we can use the notation $A_l^{(R)}$ for this operator without ambiguity. Also we define

$$A_{N,K,l}^{(R)} = A_l^{(R)} P_{N,K,l}, \quad A_{N,l}^{(R)} = A_{N,R/l,l}^{(R)}. \tag{3.65}$$

More explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{N,l}^{(R)} h &= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{-(R/l) \le k \le -1} \omega((k+1)l)^{1/2} \left(h, \mathfrak{F}^{-1}(\omega^{-1/2} e_{n,l,k})\right)_H \mathfrak{F}^{-1}(\omega^{-1/2} e_{n,l,k}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{0 \le k \le (R/l)-1} \omega(kl)^{1/2} \left(h, \mathfrak{F}^{-1}(\omega^{-1/2} e_{n,l,k})\right)_H \mathfrak{F}^{-1}(\omega^{-1/2} e_{n,l,k}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.66}$$

Finally, we set

$$A_{N,l} = A_{N,l}^{(Nl)}, \quad P_{N,l} = P_{N,N,l}. \tag{3.67}$$

We have $\operatorname{Im} P_{N,l} \subset \operatorname{Im} P_{N+1,l}$ and $\lim_{N\to\infty} P_{N,l} = I$ strongly. Note that $\sqrt{m} P_{N,l}^{\perp} + A_{N,l}$ is an approximation operator of A for small l and large N. We have the following lemmas for these operators. The first lemma is easy and we omit the proof.

Lemma 3.20. (1) *The bounded linear operators* $P_{N,l}, P_{N,l}^{\perp}, A_l^{(Nl)}, A_{N,l}$ *commute.*
(2) *The image of the operator* $A_{N,l}$ *is a finite dimensional subspace of* H*.*

Lemma 3.21. (1) *For any* $h \in \mathrm{D}(A)$

$$\|Ah\|_H^2 \ge \|A^{(Nl)} h\|_H^2 \ge \|A_l^{(Nl)} h\|_H^2 \ge \|(\sqrt{m} P_{N,l}^{\perp} + A_{N,l}) h\|_H^2 \tag{3.68}$$

and $I - \left(P_{N,l}^{\perp} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} A_{N,l}\right)$ *is a finite dimensional operator, especially, a trace class operator.*
(2) *Assume that* U *satisfies* (A1) *and* (A2)*. Let* $u \in \mathcal{F}_U^W$*. For any* $\varepsilon > 0$*, there exist* $\delta(\varepsilon)' > 0$*,* $N \in \mathbb{N}, l > 0$ *such that*

$$\inf\left\{ \frac{1}{4} \left\| (\sqrt{m} P_{N,l}^{\perp} + A_{N,l}) h \right\|_H^2 + V(h) - u(h) \;\middle|\; h \in B_\varepsilon(\mathcal{Z})^c \cap H \right\} \ \ge \ \delta(\varepsilon)'.$$

Proof of Lemma 3.21. It is easy to check (1). We prove (2). By a similar argument to the proof of Lemma 3.19 (1), it is enough to show that for fixed large $R_0 > 0$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $\delta(\varepsilon)'$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $l > 0$ such that for any $h \in B_\varepsilon(\mathcal{Z})^c \cap B_{R_0,H}(0)$,

$$\frac{1}{4} \left\| (\sqrt{m} P_{N,l}^{\perp} + A_{N,l}) h \right\|_H^2 + V(h) - u(h) \ge \delta(\varepsilon)'. \tag{3.69}$$

Note that for $h \in H$,

$$\|A_l^{(Nl)} h\|_H^2 \ \ge \ \|A^{(Nl)} h\|_H^2 - l \|h\|_H^2. \tag{3.70}$$

Take small l and large N such that $l R_0^2 \le \delta(\varepsilon, Nl)$, where $\delta(\varepsilon, R)$ is the number in (3.57). Then by (3.57), we get

$$\inf\left\{ \frac{1}{4} \left\| A_l^{(Nl)} h \right\|_H^2 + V(h) - u(h) \;\middle|\; h \in B_\varepsilon(\mathcal{Z})^c \cap B_{R_0,H}(0) \right\} \ \ge \ \delta(\varepsilon, Nl)/2 =: \delta(\varepsilon)' \tag{3.71}$$

By using the commutativity of $A_{N,l}^{(Nl)}$ and $P_{N,l}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4}\|\sqrt{m}P_{N,l}^{\perp}h+A_{N,l}h\|_H^2+V(h)-u(h)\\
&\quad=\frac{m}{4}\|P_{N,l}^{\perp}h\|_H^2+\frac{1}{4}\|A_{N,l}h\|_H^2+V(P_{N,l}h)-u(P_{N,l}h)\\
&\qquad+V(h)-V(P_{N,l}h)-\left(u(h)-u(P_{N,l}h)\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.72}$$

By the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.19, we may assume that

$$\frac{1}{4}\|A_{N,l}h\|_H^2\le|\kappa|+\varepsilon.\tag{3.73}$$

By using the Hölder inequality and Lemma 3.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|V(h)-V(P_{N,l}h)| &\le C\sum_{k=1}^{2M}\sum_{r=0}^{k-1}\|P_{N,l}h\|_{L^k(gdx)}^r\|P_{N,l}^{\perp}h\|_{L^k(gdx)}^{k-r}\\
&\le C_g\sum_{k=1}^{2M}\sum_{r=0}^{k-1}\|h\|_H^r\|P_{N,l}^{\perp}h\|_{L^k(gdx)}^{k-r}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.74}$$

Let δ be a positive number. Note that $\lim_{N\to\infty}P_{N,l}=I$ strongly and the inclusion $H\hookrightarrow W$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. By taking N sufficiently large and using Lemma 3.18, we have for $h\in H$ with $\|h\|_H\le R_0$

$$|V(h)-V(P_{N,l}h)|\le C(1+R_0)^{2M-1}\delta,\quad |u(h)-u(P_{N,l}h)|\le C\delta R_0.$$

Let $h\in B_\varepsilon(\mathcal{Z})^c\cap B_{R_0,H}(0)$. There are two cases where (i) for some i, $P_{N,l}h\in B_{\varepsilon/2}(h_i)$, (ii) $P_{N,l}h\in B_{\varepsilon/2}(\mathcal{Z})^c$. Let us consider the case (i). We estimate the quantity on the right-hand side of (3.72).

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4}\|A_{N,l}h\|_H^2+V(P_{N,l}h)-u(P_{N,l}h)\\
&\quad=\frac{1}{4}\|A_{N,l}h\|_H^2+V(\psi_{Nl}(A)P_{N,l}h)-u(\psi_{Nl}(A)P_{N,l}h)\\
&\qquad+V(P_{N,l}h)-V(\psi_{Nl}(A)P_{N,l}h)-\left(u(P_{N,l}h)-u(\psi_{Nl}(A)P_{N,l}h)\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.75}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4}\|A_{N,l}h\|_H^2 &= \frac{1}{4}\|A_l^{(Nl)}P_{N,l}h\|^2\\
&\ge \frac{1}{4}\|A^{(Nl)}P_{N,l}h\|_H^2-\frac{l}{4}\|P_{N,l}h\|_H^2\\
&\ge \frac{1}{4}\|A\psi_{Nl}(A)P_{N,l}h\|_H^2-\frac{l}{4}R_0^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.76}$$

By (3.73) and a similar proof to (3.61), we obtain

$$\|P_{N,l}h-\psi_{Nl}(A)P_{N,l}h\|_H^2\le\frac{4(|\kappa|+\varepsilon)}{\omega(Nl)}.\tag{3.77}$$

The estimate $\|P_{N,l}h - h_i\|_W \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ implies $\|P_{N,l}^{\perp}h\|_H \ge C\|P_{N,l}^{\perp}h\|_W \ge C\varepsilon/2$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4}\|\sqrt{m}P_{N,l}^{\perp}h + A_{N,l}h\|_H^2 + V(h) - u(h) \\
&\quad \ge \frac{mC^2\varepsilon^2}{16} - \frac{l}{4}R_0^2 - C(1+R_0)^{2M-1}\delta - C\delta R_0 \\
&\qquad -C(1+R_0)^{2M-1}\left(\frac{4(|\kappa|+\varepsilon)}{\omega(Nl)}\right)^{1/2} - 2C\left(\frac{|\kappa|+\varepsilon}{\omega(Nl)}\right)^{1/2}. \qquad (3.78)
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.69). It remains to consider the case (ii). In this case,

$$\frac{1}{4}\|\sqrt{m}P_{N,l}^{\perp}h + A_{N,l}h\|_H^2 + V(h) - u(h) \ge \delta\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)' - (C+1)\delta(1+R_0)^{2M-1}.$$

This completes the proof. □

4 Proof of Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 3.12

Proof of Theorem 3.12. (1) Lower bound estimate: To prove the inequality LHS $\ge$ RHS in (3.23), we divide the estimate into two parts: (I) Neighborhood of the zero points of U, (II) Outside neighborhood of the zero points of U.

Let χ be a cut-off function as in Lemma 3.16. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\chi_i(w) = \chi\left(\frac{\|(w-\sqrt{\lambda}h_i)\|_W^2}{\varepsilon^2\lambda}\right)$ and $\chi_\infty(w) = \sqrt{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n_0}\chi_i(w)^2}$. Let $f_*(w) = f(w)\chi_*(w)$, where $* = i, \infty$ $(1 \le i \le n_0)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
((-L_A + V_\lambda - u_\lambda)f, f) &= \sum_{\{*=1,\dots,n_0,\infty\}} ((-L_A + V_\lambda - u_\lambda)f_*, f_*) \\
&\quad - \sum_{\{*=1,\dots,n_0,\infty\}} \int_W \|D_A\chi_*\|_H^2 f(w)^2 d\mu(w). \qquad (4.1)
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.8, there exists a positive constant C such that $\|D_A\chi_*(w)\|_H^2 \le \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2\lambda}$ μ-*a.s.* w for all $*$. First, we consider the case where $* = 1, \dots, n_0$.

(I) Neighborhood of the zero points of U: Let $1 \le i \le n_0$. Using the Cameron-Martin formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
&((-L_A + V_\lambda - u_\lambda)f_i, f_i) \\
&\quad = \int_W \|(D_A f_i)(w + \sqrt{\lambda}h_i)\|_H^2 \exp\left(-\sqrt{\lambda}(h_i, w)_H - \frac{\lambda}{2}\|h_i\|_H^2\right) d\mu \\
&\quad + \int_W \left(V_\lambda\left(w + \sqrt{\lambda}h_i\right) - u_\lambda\left(w + \sqrt{\lambda}h_i\right)\right) f_i(w + \sqrt{\lambda}h_i)^2 \\
&\qquad \exp\left(-\sqrt{\lambda}(h_i, w)_H - \frac{\lambda}{2}\|h_i\|_H^2\right) d\mu. \qquad (4.2)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\bar{f}_i(w) = f_i(w + \sqrt{\lambda}h_i)\exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2}(h_i, w)_H - \frac{\lambda}{4}\|h_i\|_H^2\right)$. Note that $\|\bar{f}_i\|_{L^2(\mu)} = \|f_i\|_{L^2(\mu)}$. Using the integration by parts formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_W \|(ADf_i)(w+\sqrt{\lambda}h_i)\|_H^2 \exp\left(-\sqrt{\lambda}(h_i,w)_H - \frac{\lambda}{2}\|h_i\|_H^2\right) d\mu \\
&\quad = \int_W \left\| A\left(D\bar{f}_i(w) + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2}h_i\bar{f}_i(w)\right)\right\|_H^2 d\mu \\
&\quad = \int_W \|(AD\bar{f}_i)(w)\|_H^2 d\mu + \sqrt{\lambda}\int_W \left(A^2h_i, w\right)_H \frac{\bar{f}_i(w)^2}{2} d\mu + \frac{\lambda}{4}\int_W \|Ah_i\|_H^2 \bar{f}_i(w)^2 d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Also note that

$$\begin{aligned}
V_\lambda\left(w+\sqrt{\lambda}h_i\right) &= \lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}} P(h_i(x))g(x)dx + \sqrt{\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}} P'(h_i(x))w(x)g(x)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} :w(x)^2: v_i(x)dx \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=3}^{2M} \lambda^{1-\frac{k}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} :w(x)^k: \frac{P^{(k)}(h_i(x))}{k!} g(x)dx, \qquad (4.3) \\
-u_\lambda(w+\sqrt{\lambda}h_i) &= -\varepsilon_i\|w\|_W^2 (=: \langle J_i w, w\rangle : + \mathrm{tr}\, J_i) \qquad \text{for } w \text{ with } \chi_i(w) \neq 0. \qquad (4.4)
\end{aligned}$$

By the Euler-Lagrange equation, we have $\frac{1}{2}\left(A^2h_i, w\right)_H + \int_{\mathbb{R}} P'(h_i(x))w(x)g(x)dx = 0$ μ-a.s. w. By this and $U(h_i) = \frac{1}{4}\|Ah_i\|_H^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} P(h_i(x))g(x)dx = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
((-L_A + V_\lambda - u_\lambda)f_i, f_i) &= \int_W \|AD\bar{f}_i(w)\|^2 d\mu + \int_W \left(Q_{v_i,J_i}(w) + \mathrm{tr}J_i\right)\bar{f}_i(w)^2 d\mu \\
&\quad + \int_W R_{\lambda,i}(w)\bar{f}_i(w)^2 d\mu, \qquad (4.5)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$R_{\lambda,i}(w) = \sum_{k=3}^{2M} \lambda^{1-\frac{k}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} :w(x)^k: g_{k,i}(x)dx \qquad (4.6)$$

and $g_{k,i}(x) = \frac{P^{(k)}(h_i(x))}{k!}g(x)$. By Lemma 3.13 (3), setting $\tilde{V} = R_{\lambda,i}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&((-L_A + V_\lambda - u_\lambda - E_i)f_i, f_i)_{L^2(\mu)} \\
&\quad \geq -\frac{mc_{v_i,J_i}}{2} \log\left(\int_W \exp\left(-\frac{2}{mc_{v_i,J_i}}\tilde{R}_{\lambda,i}(w)\chi_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(w)\right)\Omega_{v_i,J_i}(w)^2 d\mu(w)\right)\|\bar{f}_i\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2, \\
&\qquad (4.7)
\end{aligned}$$

were $\chi_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(w) = \chi\left(\frac{\|w\|_W^2}{3\varepsilon^2\lambda}\right)$. By Lemma 3.16 and using the same argument as in page 3363–3364 in [4], we have

$$\liminf_{\lambda\to\infty} ((-L_A + V_\lambda - u_\lambda - E_i)f_i, f_i)_{L^2(\mu)} \geq 0.$$

(II) Outside neighborhood of the zero points of U: We estimate $((-L_A + V_\lambda - u_\lambda)f_\infty, f_\infty)$. To this end, let $\bar{\chi}_i(w) = \chi\left(\frac{3\|w-\sqrt{\lambda}h_i\|_W^2}{\varepsilon^2\lambda}\right)$ and $\bar{\chi}_\infty(w) = \sqrt{1-\sum_{i=1}^{n_0}\bar{\chi}_i(w)^2}$. $\bar{\chi}_\infty$ satisfies that $\bar{\chi}_\infty(w) = 1$ for w with $\chi_\infty(w) \neq 0$ and

$$\{w \in W \mid \bar{\chi}_\infty(w) \neq 0\} \subset \left(\cup_{i=1}^{n_0} B_{\varepsilon\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{3}}}\left(\sqrt{\lambda}h_i\right)\right)^c.$$

Let $\varepsilon' < \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{3}}$. For this ε', we choose a number N, l as in Lemma 3.21 (2) and define a trace class operator on H by

$$T_{N,l} = \frac{A_{N,l}}{\sqrt{m}} - P_{N,l}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&((-L_A + V_\lambda - u_\lambda) f_\infty, f_\infty) \\
&\quad \geq m \int_W \|(I + T_{N,l}) D f_\infty(w)\|_H^2 d\mu(w) \\
&\qquad + \int_W \left(V_\lambda(w) - u_\lambda(w) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda\delta(\varepsilon') \right) \bar{\chi}_\infty(w) f_\infty(w)^2 d\mu(w) \\
&\qquad + \int_W \frac{1}{2}\lambda\delta(\varepsilon') \bar{\chi}_\infty(w) f_\infty(w)^2 d\mu(w). \qquad (4.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\int_W \frac{1}{2}\lambda\delta(\varepsilon')\bar{\chi}_\infty(w) f_\infty(w)^2 d\mu(w) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda\delta(\varepsilon')\|f_\infty\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2.$$

Let $\tilde{V}_\lambda(w) = \left(V_\lambda(w) - u_\lambda(w) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda\delta(\varepsilon')\right)\bar{\chi}_\infty(w)$. Applying Lemma 3.13 (1),

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2(\lambda) &= m \int_W \|(I + T_{N,l}) D f_\infty(w)\|_H^2 d\mu(w) + \int_W \tilde{V}_\lambda(w) f_\infty(w)^2 d\mu(w) \\
&\geq -\frac{m}{2} \log \left\{ \int_W \exp\left(-\frac{2}{m}\tilde{V}_\lambda(w) - (T_{N,l} w, w)_H - \frac{1}{2}\|T_{N,l} w\|_H^2 \right) d\mu(w) \right\} \|f_\infty\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2 \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{m}{2} \log\det(I + T_{N,l}) - \frac{m}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left(T_{N,l}^2\right) - m\ \mathrm{tr}(T_{N,l}) \right) \|f_\infty\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2. \qquad (4.9)
\end{aligned}$$

Because

$$\frac{m}{4}\|(I + T_{N,l})h\|_H^2 + \left(V(h) - u(h) - \frac{1}{2}\delta(\varepsilon') \right) \tilde{\chi}_\infty(h) \geq 0 \qquad \text{for all } h \in H, \qquad (4.10)$$

where

$$\tilde{\chi}_\infty(h) = \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \chi\left(\frac{3\|h - h_i\|_W^2}{\varepsilon^2} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}, \qquad (4.11)$$

by the large deviation estimate, we obtain for any $\varepsilon'' > 0$ it holds that

$$J_2(\lambda) \geq (-\varepsilon''\lambda + C_m)\|f_\infty\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2 \qquad \text{for large } \lambda.$$

Putting the above estimates together, we complete the proof of lower bound estimate.
(2) Upper bound estimate: In (4.5), putting $\bar{f}_i(w) = \Omega_{v_i, J_i}(w)$ and using

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \int_W R_{\lambda,i}(w) \Omega_{v_i, J_i}(w)^2 d\mu(w) = 0,$$

we obtain the upper bound estimate. □

5 Proof of Theorem 2.6

In this section, we prove Theorem 2.6. Before doing so, let us recall the result in the case of Schrödinger operator $-H_{\lambda,U} = -\Delta + \lambda U(x/\sqrt{\lambda})$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, dx)$ and we sketch an idea of the proof of Theorem 2.6. Let us put standard assumptions on the potential function U on $\mathbb{R}^d$ as in (H1), (H2), (H3) in Section 2 and

(H4) $U(x) = U(-x)$ for all x and the zero points of U consists two points.

Then the gap of the spectrum of the lowest eigenvalue and the second lowest eigenvalue is exponentially small under $\lambda \to \infty$ and the exponential decay rate is given by the Agmon distance between two zero points of U. One of the key of the proof of this result is that the operator $-\Delta$ is bounded from below which is obtained by subtracting the potential term $\lambda U(x/\sqrt{\lambda})$ from the Schrödinger operator $-H_{\lambda,U}$. In the case of $-L_A + V_\lambda$, although it is formally written as in (2.7), we cannot do the same thing. However, the bottom of spectrum of $-L_A + V_\lambda - u_\lambda$ is uniformly bounded from below for large λ if $u \in \mathcal{F}_U^W$. So we can apply the standard argument to the operator $-L_A + V_\lambda$ by replacing $-\Delta$ and U_λ by $-L_A + V_\lambda - u_\lambda$ and u_λ respectively. Therefore we will introduce distance functions using $u \in \mathcal{F}_U^W$ by which we can give estimates for the decay rate. After that, we optimize the estimates and we arrive at the desired estimate in Theorem 2.6. So, first, we introduce the following.

Definition 5.1. *Let $\varphi, \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Let $AC_{T,\varphi,\psi}\left(L^2(\mathbb{R})\right)$ be the set of all absolutely continuous functions $c : [0,T] \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with $c(0) = \varphi$ and $c(T) = \psi$. We may omit the subscript T when $T = 1$ and omit denoting φ, ψ if there are no constraint. Let u be a non-negative bounded continuous function on W. For $w_1, w_2 \in W$ with $w_2 - w_1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, define*

$$\rho_u^W(w_1, w_2) = \inf\left\{ \int_0^T \sqrt{u(w_1 + c(t))} \|c'(t)\|_{L^2} dt \;\middle|\; c \in AC_{T,0,w_2-w_1}(L^2(\mathbb{R})) \right\}. \tag{5.1}$$

If $w_1 - w_2 \notin L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we set $\rho_u^W(w_1, w_2) = \infty$.

The definition of ρ_u^W does not depend on T. Clearly, $\rho_u^W(w, w + \varphi) \le \sqrt{\|u\|_\infty} \|\varphi\|_{L^2}$ for any $w \in W, \varphi \in L^2$. We define an approximate Agmon distance.

Definition 5.2 (Approximate Agmon distance)**.** *Let $u \in \mathcal{F}_U^W$ and $w_1, w_2 \in W$. Define*

$$\underline{\rho}_u^W(w_1, w_2) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \inf \left\{ \rho_u^W(w, \eta) \;\middle|\; w \in B_\varepsilon(w_1), \eta \in B_\varepsilon(w_2) \right\}. \tag{5.2}$$

Using $\underline{\rho}_u^W$, we define

$$d_U^W(w_1, w_2) = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{F}_U^W} \underline{\rho}_u^W(w_1, w_2). \tag{5.3}$$

Remark 5.3. (1) *Assume U satisfies* (A1), (A2), (A3)*. We show that $d_U^W(h_0, -h_0) > 0$. For sufficiently small positive κ and R, $u = \kappa \min(u_{\mathcal{Z}}, R) \in \mathcal{F}_U^W$. Note that*

$$\inf\{\|w_1 - w_2\|_W \mid w_1 \in B_{(\varepsilon/\kappa)^{1/2}}(h_0), w_2 \in B_{(\varepsilon/\kappa)^{1/2}}(-h_0)\} \ge 2(\|h_0\|_W - (\varepsilon/\kappa)^{1/2}) > 0,$$

and L^2 *norm is stronger than the norm of* $\| \ \|_W$*, we have* $\underline{\rho}_u^W(h_0, -h_0) > 0$ *and* $d_U^W(h_0, -h_0) > 0$*. Also it is obvious that* $d_U^W(h_0, -h_0) \le d_U^{Ag}(h_0, -h_0)$*.*
(2) *Let us consider the case where* $U(h) = U(-h)$*. Take* $u \in \mathcal{F}_U^W$*. Then* $v(w) = \max(u(w), u(-w))$ *also belongs to* $\mathcal{F}_U^W$*. So, the value of* $d_U^{Ag}(w_1, w_2)$ *in* (5.3) *does not change by restricting the domain* $\mathcal{F}_U^W$ *to the proper subset consisting of such symmetric functions.*

Note that for any $\eta \in W$,

$$\rho_u^W(\eta, w) = +\infty \qquad \mu\text{-}a.s.\ w$$

So, still, we cannot argue as finite dimensional cases and we need some preliminaries to prove main theorem. For a non-empty open set O of W, let

$$\rho_u^W(w, O) = \inf\{\rho_u^W(w, \phi) \mid \phi \in O\}.$$

Lemma 5.4. *Let* u *be a bounded continuous function on* W*. Let* O *be a non-empty open set. We have* $\rho_u^W(w, O) < \infty$ *for all* $w \in W$ *and the function* $w \mapsto \rho_u^W(w, O)$ *is a Borel measurable function. Let* $\lambda > 0$*. Let us write* $\rho_{\lambda,O}(w) = \rho_u^W(w/\sqrt{\lambda}, O)$ *for simplicity. Then the function* $\rho_{\lambda,O}$ *belongs to* $\mathrm{D}(\mathcal{E}_A)$ *and*

$$\|D_A\rho_{\lambda,O}(w)\|_H^2 \le \frac{u(w/\sqrt{\lambda})}{\lambda}, \qquad \mu\text{-}a.s.\ w. \tag{5.4}$$

The proof of this lemma is a suitable modification of that of Lemma 3.2 in [7].

Proof. For any $w \in W$, there exists $h \in H$ such that $w + h \in O$ which implies $\rho_u^W(w, O) < \infty$. The measurability follows from the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [7]. We prove the latter half of the statement. We prove the estimate in the case where $\lambda = 1$. The proof of other cases is similar to it. Let $C = \|u\|_\infty$. Let $h \in H$. By the definition of ρ_u^W,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_u^W(w + h, O) &\le \rho_u^W(w, O) + \int_0^1 \sqrt{u(w + th)}\|h\|_{L^2}dt \\ &\le \rho_u^W(w, O) + \sqrt{C}\|h\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

This shows $\rho_u^W(w, O)$ is almost surely H-Lipschitz continuous function on W. By 5.4.10. Example in [10], ρ_u^W belongs to $\mathrm{D}(\mathcal{E}_I)$ and $\|D\rho_u^W(w, O)\|_H \le \sqrt{C}$ for μ-almost all w. Actually, (5.5) shows for any $h \in H$,

$$\left(D\rho_u^W(w, O), h\right)_H \le \sqrt{u(w)}\|A^{-1}h\|_H. \tag{5.6}$$

This shows $\|D_A\rho_u^W(w, O)\|_H \le \sqrt{u(w)}$ μ-almost all w. □

Remark 5.5. *Let* $d_H(w, \eta) = \|w - \eta\|_H$*. This function* d_H *is so-called an* H*-distance on* W *and* $\rho^H(O, w) = \inf\{d_H(w, \eta) \mid \eta \in O\}$ *belongs to* $\mathrm{D}(\mathcal{E}_I)$ *for any non-empty open set* O *in* W*. The definition of* $\mathrm{D}(\mathcal{E}_I)$ *was given in Definition* 3.1*. The topology defined by the Agmon distance* d_U^{Ag} *on* $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})(= H)$ *is nothing but the topology of the Sobolev space* $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$*. See Theorem* 7.6*. Approximate Agmon distance* d_U^W *may be viewed as an extension of* d_U^{Ag} *on* W *similarly to* d_H *in view of Lemma* 5.9*. However I think* $d_U^W(w, \eta) = +\infty$ *if* $w \notin H^{1/2}$ *or* $\eta \notin H^{1/2}$ *differently from* d_H*.*

It is known that $E_1(\lambda)$ is a simple eigenvalue and there exists an associated strictly positive normalized eigenfunction $\Omega_{0,\lambda}(w)$. Intuitively, the ground state measure $\Omega_{0,\lambda}(w)^2 d\mu(w)$ concentrates on a certain neighborhood of $\sqrt{\lambda}h_0, -\sqrt{\lambda}h_0$ when λ is large. We need such an estimate to obtain our second main theorem.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $0 < q < 1$. Let ξ be a globally Lipschitz continuous function such that the support of the first derivative of ξ is compact. Let $u \in \mathcal{F}_U^W$ and set*

$$\eta(w) = \xi(\rho_u^W(w, B_\varepsilon(\mathcal{Z}))), \quad \rho_u(w) = \rho_u^W(w, B_\varepsilon(\mathcal{Z})). \tag{5.7}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_W \left\{\lambda(1-q^2)u(w/\sqrt{\lambda}) - (C_u + E_1(\lambda))\right\} e^{2\lambda q \rho_u(w/\sqrt{\lambda})}\eta(w/\sqrt{\lambda})^2 \Omega_{0,\lambda}(w)^2 d\mu(w) \\
&\quad \le \frac{1}{\lambda}\int_W e^{2\lambda q \rho_u(w/\sqrt{\lambda})}\xi'\left(\rho_u(w/\sqrt{\lambda})\right)^2 u(w/\sqrt{\lambda})\Omega_{0,\lambda}(w)^2 d\mu(w) \\
&\quad\quad + 2q\int_W e^{2\lambda q \rho_u(w/\sqrt{\lambda})}\xi'\left(\rho_u(w/\sqrt{\lambda})\right)\eta(w/\sqrt{\lambda})u(w/\sqrt{\lambda})\Omega_{0,\lambda}(w)^2 d\mu(w).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

Proof. Let F and G be bounded C^∞ functions on W. We use the notation $F_\lambda(w) = \lambda F(w/\sqrt{\lambda})$. Using the lower bound

$$\inf \sigma(-L_A + V_\lambda - u_\lambda) \ge -C_u \quad \text{for sufficiently large}\lambda,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(e^{F_\lambda}G, (-L_A + V_\lambda - E_1(\lambda))\left(e^{-F_\lambda}G\right)\right)_{L^2(\mu)} \\
&\quad = ((-L_A + V_\lambda - u_\lambda) - E_1(\lambda))G, G)_{L^2(\mu)} + \left((u_\lambda - \|D_A F_\lambda\|_H^2)G, G\right)_{L^2(\mu)} \\
&\quad \ge \left(\left\{\lambda\left(u\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right) - \left\|(D_A F)\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)\right\|_H^2\right) - (C_u + E_1(\lambda))\right\} G, G\right)_{L^2(\mu)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

Let η be another smooth function and set

$$G = \Omega_{0,\lambda} e^{F_\lambda}\eta_\lambda. \tag{5.10}$$

Using $(-L_A + V_\lambda)\Omega_{0,\lambda} = E_1(\lambda)\Omega_{0,\lambda}$, the left-hand side of (5.9) reads

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(e^{2F_\lambda}\Omega_{0,\lambda}\eta_\lambda, (-L_A + V_\lambda - E_1(\lambda))(\Omega_{0,\lambda}\eta_\lambda)\right) \\
&\quad = -\left(e^{2F_\lambda}\Omega_{0,\lambda}^2\eta_\lambda, L_A\eta_\lambda\right) - \left(D_A(\Omega_{0,\lambda}^2), e^{2F_\lambda}\eta_\lambda D_A\eta_\lambda\right) \\
&\quad = \int_W e^{2F_\lambda}\|D_A\eta_\lambda\|_H^2 \Omega_{0,\lambda}^2 d\mu + 2\int_W e^{2F_\lambda}(D_A F_\lambda, D_A\eta_\lambda)_H \eta_\lambda \Omega_{0,\lambda}^2 d\mu.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_W \left\{\lambda\left(u\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right) - \left\|(D_A F)\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)\right\|_H^2\right) - (C_u + E_1(\lambda))\right\} e^{2F_\lambda(w)}\eta_\lambda(w)^2\Omega_{0,\lambda}(w)^2 d\mu(w) \\
&\qquad \le \int_W e^{2F_\lambda}\|D_A\eta_\lambda\|_H^2 \Omega_{0,\lambda}^2 d\mu + 2\int_W e^{2F_\lambda}(D_A F_\lambda, D_A\eta_\lambda)_H \eta_\lambda \Omega_{0,\lambda}^2 d\mu.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

We apply this estimate in the case where

$$\begin{aligned} F(w) &= q\rho_u^W(w, B_\varepsilon(\mathcal{Z})), && (5.13)\\ \eta(w) &= \xi(\rho_u^W(w, B_\varepsilon(\mathcal{Z}))). && (5.14)\end{aligned}$$

These functions does not satisfy the assumptions we assume so far. But standard approximation argument works and we complete the proof. $\square$

Let κ be a positive number and $\xi(t)$ be the piecewise linear function such that $\xi(t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$ and $\xi(t) = 1$ for $t \geq \kappa$. Then we obtain an exponential decay estimate of the ground state measure.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $r > \kappa$ and $0 < q < 1$. For large λ, we have*

$$\int_{\rho_u^W(w/\sqrt{\lambda}, B_\varepsilon(\mathcal{Z}))\geq r} \Omega_{0,\lambda}(w)^2 d\mu(w) \;\leq\; C_1 \frac{e^{-2q\lambda(r-\kappa)}}{\kappa^2(\lambda(1-q^2)\varepsilon^2 - C_2)}\|u\|_\infty, \qquad (5.15)$$

where C_i are positive constants independent of λ.

We write $\mu_{\lambda,U} = \Omega_{0,\lambda}(w)^2 d\mu(w)$. Let $S_\lambda : w \mapsto w/\sqrt{\lambda}$ be the scaling map. Then the above lemma shows that the image measure $(S_\lambda)_*\mu_{\lambda,U}$ concentrates on a neighborhood of zero points $\{h_0, -h_0\}$ of the potential function U. Now we are going to prove second main theorem. As the first step, we prove the following.

Lemma 5.8. *Assume the same assumptions as in Theorem 2.6. Then we have*

$$\limsup_{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{\log\left(E_2(\lambda) - E_1(\lambda)\right)}{\lambda} \leq -d_U^W(h_0, -h_0). \qquad (5.16)$$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &E_2(\lambda) - E_1(\lambda)\\ &\quad = \inf\left\{ \frac{\int_W \|D_A f(w)\|_H^2 d\mu_{\lambda,U}}{\mathrm{Var}_{\mu_{\lambda,U}}(f)} \;\middle|\; f \not\equiv \text{const},\ f \in \mathrm{D}(\mathcal{E}_A)\cap L^\infty(W,\mu) \text{ with}\right.\\ &\qquad\qquad \left. \int_W \|D_A f(w)\|_H^2 d\mu_{\lambda,U} < \infty \right\}, \qquad (5.17)\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{Var}_{\mu_{\lambda,U}}$ stands for the variance with respect to the ground state measure $\mu_{\lambda,U}$. To prove this result, we need to identify the domain of the Dirichlet form which is obtained by the ground state transformation by $\Omega_{0,\lambda}$. We refer the reader to [8] for this problem in a setting of hyperbounded semi-group. By taking a trial function f which satisfies the assumption of the right-hand side of the above, we prove (5.16). Let $u \in \mathcal{F}_U^W$ which satisfies $\underline{\rho}_u^W(h_0, -h_0) > 0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $u(w) = u(-w)$ for all w because of Remark 5.3 (2). Take $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < \delta < \frac{\underline{\rho}_u^W(h_0,-h_0)}{4}$. Let ψ_δ be the piecewise linear function such that $\psi_\delta(t) = 1$ for $t \leq \frac{\underline{\rho}_u^W(h_0,-h_0)}{2} - 2\delta$ and $\psi_\delta(t) = 0$ for $t \geq \frac{\underline{\rho}_u^W(h_0,-h_0)}{2} - \delta$. Let

$$f_\delta(w) = \psi_\delta\left(\rho_u^W\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{\lambda}}, B_\varepsilon(h_0)\right)\right) - \psi_\delta\left(\rho_u^W\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{\lambda}}, B_\varepsilon(-h_0)\right)\right). \qquad (5.18)$$

Let ε be a sufficiently small positive number such that

$$\rho_u^W(w, B_\varepsilon(h_0)) + \rho_u^W(w, B_\varepsilon(-h_0)) \quad > \quad \underline{\rho}_u^W(h_0, -h_0) - 2\delta \qquad \text{for all } w \in W. \tag{5.19}$$

We can choose such a number because of the definition of $\underline{\rho}_u^W$ and the triangle inequality for ρ_u^W. Let κ be a positive number such that

$$\frac{\underline{\rho}_u^W(h_0, -h_0)}{2} - 2\delta > \kappa. \tag{5.20}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Var}_{\mu_{\lambda,U}}(f_\delta) &\geq 2\mu_{\lambda,U}(f_\delta = 1)\mu_{\lambda,U}(f_\delta = -1) \\ &= 2\mu_{\lambda,U}\left(\rho_u^W\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{\lambda}}, B_\varepsilon(h_0)\right) \leq \frac{\underline{\rho}_u^W(h_0, -h_0)}{2} - 2\delta\right)^2 \qquad (5.21) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mu_{\lambda,U}\left(\rho_u^W\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{\lambda}}, B_\varepsilon(\mathcal{Z})\right) \leq \frac{\underline{\rho}_u^W(h_0, -h_0)}{2} - 2\delta\right)^2, \qquad (5.22) \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\liminf_{\lambda \to \infty} \mathrm{Var}_{\mu_{\lambda,U}}(f_\delta) > 0. \tag{5.23}$$

We have used (5.19) and the symmetry, *i.e.*, $u(w) = u(-w)$, $\Omega_{0,\lambda}(w) = \Omega_{0,\lambda}(-w)$ for all $w \in W$ in (5.21). Also we have used (5.19) in (5.22). On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_W \|D_A f_\delta(w)\|_H^2 d\mu_{\lambda,U}(w) &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda\delta^2}\int_{D_\delta} u\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right) d\mu_{\lambda,U}(w) \\ &\leq \frac{C_1\|u\|_\infty}{\lambda\delta^2\kappa^2(\lambda(1-q^2)\varepsilon^2 - C_2)} e^{-q\lambda\left(\underline{\rho}_u^W(h_0,-h_0)-4\delta-2\kappa\right)}, \qquad (5.24) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_\delta \quad = \quad \left\{ w \in W \;\middle|\; \rho_u^W\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{\lambda}}, B_\varepsilon(\mathcal{Z})\right) \in \left[\frac{\underline{\rho}_u^W(h_0, -h_0)}{2} - 2\delta,\ \frac{\underline{\rho}_u^W(h_0, -h_0)}{2} - \delta\right]\right\}. \tag{5.25}$$

Thus by optimizing $\underline{\rho}_u^W(h_0, -h_0)$, this completes the proof. □

Now we complete the proof of Theorem 2.6. It suffices to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5.9. *Let us consider the situation in Theorem 2.6. Then we have*

$$d_U^{Ag}(h_0, -h_0) = d_U^W(h_0, -h_0). \tag{5.26}$$

From now on, until the end of this section, we assume that U satisfies (A1), (A2) and (A3). We need preparations for the proof of this lemma. Let $I = [-L/2, L/2]$. Let $\Delta_D = \frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ be the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $L^2(I, dx)$ with Dirichlet boundary condition, where dx denotes the Lebesgue measure. Set $e_{2k}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}}\sin\left(\frac{2k\pi}{L}x\right)$ $(k = 1, 2, \ldots)$, $e_{2k+1}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}}\cos\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{L}x\right)$

$(k = 0, 1, \cdots)$. Then $\{e_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is a complete orthonormal system of $L^2(I, dx)$. We define Sobolev spaces:

$$H_0^s(I, dx) = \left\{ h \in \mathcal{D}'(I) \;\Big|\; h = \sum_{n\geq 0} a_n e_n, \text{ and } \|h\|^2_{H_0^s(I)} := \sum_{n\geq 0} \omega(n)^{2s}|a_n|^2 < \infty \right\},$$

where $\omega(n) = \left(m^2 + \left(\frac{n\pi}{L}\right)^2\right)^{1/2}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Clearly $\|\varphi\|_{H_0^s} = \|(m^2 - \Delta_D)^{s/2}\varphi\|_{L^2}$. We consider projection operators $P_N h = \sum_{0\leq n\leq N} a_n e_n$ on H_0^s. Below, we denote the set of C^∞ functions with compact support on $\mathbb{R}$ by $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 5.10. *Let* $I = [-L/2, L/2]$.
(1) *Let* $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *and assume the support of* χ *is included in the open interval* $(-L/2, L/2)$. *Let* M_χ *be the multiplication operator defined by* $M_\chi h = \chi \cdot h \in C^\infty(I)$, *where* $h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. *Then* M_χ *can be extended to a bounded linear operator from* W *to* $H_0^{-2}(I)$.
(2) *Let* $h \in H_0^1(I, dx)$. *Define* $\tilde{h}(x) = h(x)$ $(x \in I)$ *and* $\tilde{h}(x) = 0$ $(x \in I^c)$. *Then the zero extension* $\tilde{h}$ *belongs to* $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ *and* $\|\tilde{h}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} = \|h\|_{H_0^1(I)}$.

Proof. (1) Let $h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\varphi \in L^2(I)$. We write $(m^2 - \Delta_D)^{-1}\varphi = \psi \in H_0^2(I)$. Then (the zero extension of) $\psi \cdot \chi$ belongs to $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$(1 - \Delta)(\psi \cdot \chi) = \varphi \cdot \chi + (1 - m^2)\psi \cdot \chi - \Delta\chi \cdot \psi - 2\psi'\chi'. \tag{5.27}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I (m^2 - \Delta_D)^{-1}(h\chi)(x)\varphi(x)dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x)\chi(x)(m^2 - \Delta_D)^{-1}\varphi(x)dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + x^2 - \Delta)^{-1}h(x)(1 + x^2 - \Delta)(\chi\psi)(x)dx \\ &\leq \|h\|_W \|(1 + x^2 - \Delta)(\chi\psi)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

By (5.27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_I (m^2 - \Delta_D)^{-1}(h\chi)(x)\varphi(x)dx \right| &\leq \|h\|_W \left(\|\varphi\|_{L^2(I)} + \|\psi\|_{L^2(I)} + \|\psi'\|_{L^2(I)} \right) \\ &\leq C\|h\|_W \|\varphi\|_{L^2(I)} \end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

which proves the statement (1). The result (2) is an elementary subject. □

Also we have

Lemma 5.11. *Let* $p \geq 2$ *and* $0 < \tau < 1$. *Then we have the following estimates.*
(1) $\|h\|_{L^p(I)} \leq C(L)\|h\|_{H_0^{1/2}(I)}$.
(2) $\|h\|_{H_0^{1/2}(I)} \leq \|h\|^\tau_{H_0^1(I)} \|h\|^{1-\tau}_{H_0^{(1-2\tau)/(2-2\tau)}(I)}$.

Proof. The statement (1) can be proved by using an interpolation argument and the proof is well-known. We prove (2). Let $h = \sum_n a_n e_n \in H_0^s$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\varphi\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 &= \sum_n \omega(n)|a_n|^2 \\ &= \sum_n \omega(n)^{2\tau}|a_n|^{2\tau} \cdot \omega(n)^{1-2\tau}|a_n|^{2-2\tau} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_n \omega(n)^2|a_n|^2\right)^{\tau} \left(\sum_n \omega(n)^{(1-2\tau)/(1-\tau)}|a_n|^2\right)^{1-\tau}\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

Lemma 5.12. *Let L be a positive number such that the support of g is included in $[-L/8, L/8]$. Let χ be a smooth non-negative function such that $\chi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1/4$ and $\chi(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 1/3$. Let $\chi_L(x) = \chi(x/L)$. Let $-C(P) = \inf_x P(x)$. Then for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, by taking L large enough, we have*

$$U(h) \geq (1-\varepsilon)U(h\chi_L) - \varepsilon^2 C(P)\|g\|_{L^1} \qquad \text{for all } h \in H^1(\mathbb{R}). \tag{5.30}$$

Proof. Let $h \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$. By using the integration by parts and a simple calculation,

$$\begin{aligned}U(h) &= U(\chi_L h + (1-\chi_L)h) \\ &= U(\chi_L h) + \frac{1}{4}\|(1-\chi_L)h\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_L(x)(1-\chi_L(x))h'(x)^2 dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}} (2\chi_L(x)-1)\,\chi_L''(x)h(x)^2 dx \\ &\geq U(\chi_L h) - \frac{1}{4L^2}\|\chi''\|_\infty \|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2.\end{aligned} \tag{5.31}$$

By the definition of $C(P)$, $V(h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} P(h(x))g(x) \geq -C(P)\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x)dx = -C(P)\|g\|_{L^1}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}&U(h) - (1-\varepsilon)U(\chi_L h) \\ &\quad \geq \varepsilon U(h) - (1-\varepsilon)\frac{1}{4L^2}\|\chi''\|_\infty\|h\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad = \varepsilon\left(U(h) - (1-\varepsilon)\frac{1}{4L^2\varepsilon}\|\chi''\|_\infty\|h\|_{L^2}^2\right) \\ &\quad = \varepsilon\left((1-\varepsilon)U(h) + \varepsilon U(h) - \frac{1-\varepsilon}{4\varepsilon L^2}\|\chi''\|_\infty\|h\|_{L^2}^2\right) \\ &\quad = \varepsilon\left\{(1-\varepsilon)U(h) + \left(\frac{m^2\varepsilon}{4} - \frac{1-\varepsilon}{4\varepsilon L^2}\|\chi''\|_\infty\right)\|h\|_{L^2}^2 - \varepsilon C(P)\|g\|_{L^1}\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.32}$$

Therefore, setting

$$L = \frac{\sqrt{(1-\varepsilon)\|\chi''\|_\infty}}{\varepsilon m} \tag{5.33}$$

we obtain the estimate (5.30). □

Proof of Lemma 5.9. Let ε, L be the positive number in Lemma 5.12 and set $I = [-L/2, L/2]$. Here we take L large enough so that

$$\|h_i \chi_L - h_i\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq \delta/8, \tag{5.34}$$

where $h_1 = h_0, h_2 = -h_0$. In this proof, we set $s_0 > 2$ and let $\tau_0 = \frac{2s_0+1}{2s_0+2}$. That is, the estimate $\|h\|_{L^p} \leq C(p, L)\|h\|_{H_0^1}^{\tau_0} \|h\|_{H^{-s_0}}^{1-\tau_0}$ holds. Let us use the function $u_{\mathcal{Z}}(w) = \min_{i=1,2} \|w - h_i\|_W^2$. Note that there exists $0 < \varepsilon_0 < 1$ such that

$$U(h) \geq \varepsilon_0 u_{\mathcal{Z}}(h) \quad \text{for all } h \in H^1. \tag{5.35}$$

For $w \in W$, we write $w_L = \chi_L \cdot w$ for simplicity. This multiplication is well-defined by Lemma 5.10 and $w_L \in H_0^{-2}(I)$. Let R be a positive number and N be a natural number. Let us define a subset of W by

$$\begin{aligned} &W_{R,N,L,\delta} \\ &\quad = \left\{ w \in W \;\middle|\; \|P_N w_L\|_{H_0^{1/2}(I)} \leq R, \|P_N^\perp w_L\|_{H_0^{-2}(I)} \leq R, \min_{i=1,2} \|P_N w_L - h_i\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \geq \delta \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.36}$$

Here we identify $P_N w_L \in H_0^1(I)$ as an element of $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ by the zero extension. Let $h \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap W_{R,N,L,\delta}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} U(h_L) &= \frac{1}{4}\|h_L\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 + V(h_L) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\|P_N h_L\|_{H_0^1(I)}^2 + V(P_N h_L) + \frac{1}{4}\|P_N^\perp h_L\|_{H_0^1(I)}^2 + V(h_L) - V(P_N h_L) \\ &= U(P_N h_L) + \frac{1}{4}\|P_N^\perp h\|_{H_0^1(I)}^2 + V(h_L) - V(P_N h_L). \end{aligned} \tag{5.37}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &V(h_L) - V(P_N h_L) \\ &\quad = a_{2M}\|P_N^\perp h_L\|_{L^{2M}(I,gdx)}^{2M} + a_{2M} \sum_{r=1}^{2M-1} \int_I \binom{2M}{r} (P_N h_L)^{2M-r}(x) \left(P_N^\perp h_L(x)\right)^r g(x)dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{2M-1} a_k \sum_{r=1}^{k} \int_I \binom{k}{r} (P_N h_L)^{k-r}(x) \left(P_N^\perp h_L(x)\right)^r g(x)dx. \end{aligned} \tag{5.38}$$

Let $1 \leq r \leq 2M-1,\ r \leq k \leq 2M$. For sufficiently small $\sigma > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_I (P_N h_L)^{k-r}(x)(P_N^\perp h_L)^r(x) g(x)dx \right| \\ &\quad \leq \|P_N h_L\|_{L^k(I,gdx)}^{k-r} \|P_N^\perp h_L\|_{L^k(I,gdx)}^r \\ &\quad \leq C(L)\|P_N h_L\|_{L^k(I,gdx)}^{k-r} \|P_N^\perp h_L\|_{L^k(I,gdx)}^{r-\sigma} \|P_N^\perp h_L\|_{H_0^1}^{\sigma\tau_0} \|P_N^\perp h_L\|_{H_0^{-s_0}}^{\sigma(1-\tau_0)}. \\ &\quad \leq C(L)\|P_N h_L\|_{L^k(I,gdx)}^{k-r} \|P_N^\perp h_L\|_{H_0^{-s_0}}^{\sigma(1-\tau_0)} \left((1 - \frac{\sigma\tau_0}{2})\|P_N^\perp h_L\|_{L^k(I,gdx)}^{(r-\sigma)/(1-(\sigma\tau_0/2))} + \frac{\sigma\tau_0}{2}\|P_N^\perp h_L\|_{H_0^1}^2 \right) \\ &\quad \leq C(L)(1+R)^{2M-1} \left(\omega(N+1)^{2-s_0} R\right)^{\sigma(1-\tau_0)} \left(1 + \|P_N^\perp h_L\|_{L^k(I,gdx)}^{2M} + \|P_N^\perp h_L\|_{H_0^1}^2\right) \end{aligned} \tag{5.39}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&V(h_L) - V(P_N h_L) \\
&\qquad \geq \left(a_{2M} - C(L)(1+R)^{2M} b_N\right) \|P_N^{\perp} h_L\|^{2M}_{L^{2M}(I,gdx)} - C(L)(1+R)^{2M} b_N (1 + \|P_N^{\perp} h_L\|^2_{H_0^1}).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.40}$$

where $b_N = \omega(N+1)^{(2-s_0)(1-\tau_0)\sigma}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4}\|P_N^{\perp} h_L\|^2_{H_0^1} + V(h_L) - V(P_N h_L) \\
&\qquad \geq \left(\frac{1}{4} - C(L)(1+R)^{2M} b_N\right) \|P_N^{\perp} h_L\|^2_{H_0^1} + \left(a_{2M} - C(L)(1+R)^{2M} b_N\right) \|P_N^{\perp} h_L\|^{2M}_{L^{2M}(I,gdx)} \\
&\qquad\qquad - C(L)(1+R)^{2M} b_N.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.41}$$

Let $\theta(\delta) = \inf\{U(h) \mid \min_{1\leq i\leq 2} \|h - h_i\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \geq \delta\}$. Clearly $\theta(\delta) > 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
U(h) &= \varepsilon U(h) + (1-\varepsilon) U(h) \\
&\geq \varepsilon\varepsilon_0 u_{\mathcal{Z}}(h) + (1-\varepsilon)^2 U(h_L) - \varepsilon^2 C(P)\|g\|_{L^1} \\
&\geq \varepsilon\varepsilon_0 u_{\mathcal{Z}}(h) + (1-\varepsilon)^3 U(P_N h_L) + (1-\varepsilon)^2 \varepsilon\theta(\delta) - C(L)(1+R)^{2M} b_N - \varepsilon^2 C(P)\|g\|_{L^1} \\
&\quad + (1-\varepsilon)^2 \left(\min\left(\frac{1}{4}, a_{2M}\right) - C(L)(1+R)^{2M} b_N\right)\left(\|P_N^{\perp} h_L\|^2_{H_0^1} + \|P_N^{\perp}\|^{2M}_{L^{2M}(I,gdx)}\right) \\
&\qquad\qquad \text{for any } h \in W_{R,N,L,\delta} \cap H^1(\mathbb{R}).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.42}$$

Thus, for fixed $\delta > 0$, take ε sufficiently small so that

$$(1-\varepsilon)^2 \varepsilon\theta(\delta) - \varepsilon^2 C(P)\|g\|_{L^1} \geq (1-\varepsilon)^2 \varepsilon^2 \theta(\delta). \tag{5.43}$$

Next, take L large enough as in Lemma 5.12 and finally, taking N sufficiently large, we get

$$U(h) \geq \varepsilon\varepsilon_0 u_{\mathcal{Z}}(h) + (1-\varepsilon)^3 U(P_N h_L) + \frac{1}{2}(1-\varepsilon)^3 \varepsilon^2 \theta(\delta) \qquad \text{for } h \in W_{R,N,L,\delta} \cap H^1(\mathbb{R}). \tag{5.44}$$

Let us consider a set $\overline{W^c_{R,N,L,\delta}}$. The closure is taken with respect to the topology of $\| \ \|_W$. It is equal to the union of

$$\left\{w \mid \|P_N w_L\|_{H_0^{1/2}(I)} \geq R\right\},\ \{w \mid \|P_N^{\perp} w_L\|_{H_0^{-2}(I)} \geq R\},\ \{w \mid \min_{i=1,2} \|P_N w_L - h_i\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq \delta\}. \tag{5.45}$$

For a closed subset F in W in the topology which is defined by the norm $\| \ \|_W$, let $d_W(w, F) = \inf\{\|w - \eta\|_W \mid \eta \in F\}$. Then $d_W(w,F) = 0$ is equivalent to $w \in F$ and $w \mapsto d_W(w,F)$ is a Lipschitz continuous function whose Lipschitz constant is less than or equal to 1. Let

$$\psi(w) = \frac{d_W(w, \overline{W^c_{R,N,L,\delta}})}{d_W(w, W_{R/2,N,L,2\delta}) + d_W(w, \overline{W^c_{R,N,L,\delta}})}.$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
&u_{R,\varepsilon,N,L,\delta}(w) \\
&\qquad = \left(\varepsilon\varepsilon_0 \min\left(u_{\mathcal{Z}}(w), 2R^2\right) + (1-\varepsilon)^3 U(P_N w_L)\right)\psi(w) + \min\left(\varepsilon_0 u_{\mathcal{Z}}(w), 2R^2\right)(1 - \psi(w)).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.46}$$

Note that L is a large positive number which depends on ε, δ and N is a large positive natural number which depends on $\varepsilon, \delta, L, R$. From now on, we set

$$\delta < \frac{1}{8} \min\left(\|h_1\|_W, \|h_1\|_{H^1}\right), \quad R > 8 \max\left(\|h_1\|_W, \|h_1\|_{H^1}\right).$$

Also we take N sufficiently large so that

$$\|P_N(h_i \chi_L) - h_i\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \le \delta/4. \tag{5.47}$$

Since $w(\in W) \mapsto P_N w_L \in H^1(I)$ is a continuous map and $h_i \in H^1$, for sufficiently small ε', we have

$$\|P_N w_L - h_1\|_{H^1} \le \delta/2 \quad \text{for } w \in B_{\varepsilon'}(h_1), \quad \|P_N w_L - h_2\|_{H^1} \le \delta/2 \quad \text{for } w \in B_{\varepsilon'}(h_2). \tag{5.48}$$

Hence $u_{R,\varepsilon,N,L,\delta}(w) = \varepsilon_0 u_{\mathcal{Z}}(w)$ in a neighborhood of h_1, h_2 in the topology of W. Also it is easy to see

$$\inf_w \left(d_W(w, W_{R/2,N,L,2\delta}) + d_W(w, \overline{W^c_{R,N,L,\delta}}) \right) > 0.$$

Hence $u_{R,\varepsilon,N,L,\delta} \in \mathcal{F}^W_U$. Also we note that

$$u_{R,\varepsilon,N,L,\delta}(w) = u_{R,\varepsilon,N,L,\delta}(-w) \qquad \text{for all } w \in W. \tag{5.49}$$

We prove

$$\sup_{R,N,L,\delta,\varepsilon} \rho^W_{u_{R,\varepsilon,N,L,\delta}}(h_1, h_2) = d^{Ag}_U(h_1, h_2). \tag{5.50}$$

For simplicity, we denote $u_{R,N,L,\varepsilon,\delta}$ by u. Take a path c on W such that $c(0) \in B_{\varepsilon'}(h_1)$, $c(1) \in B_{\varepsilon'}(h_2)$ and $\{c(t) - c(0) \mid 0 \le t \le 1\} \in AC(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$, where ε' is the positive number in (5.48). We give lower bound estimates for the length of c. First we consider the case where $\sup_{0 \le t \le 1} \|c(t)\|_W \ge R$. Since $\|c(0)\|_W \le \varepsilon' + \|h_1\|_W \le \varepsilon' + R/8$, there exist times $0 < s_1 < s_2 \le 1$ such that $\|c(s_1)\|_W = R/2$, $R/2 \le \inf_{s_1 \le t \le s_2} \|c(t)\|_W \le \sup_{s_1 \le t \le s_2} \|c(t)\|_W \le R$ and $\|c(s_2)\|_W = R$. By the definition of u, we have for $s_1 \le t \le s_2$,

$$u(c(t)) \ge \varepsilon\varepsilon_0 u_{\mathcal{Z}}(c(t)) \ge \varepsilon\varepsilon_0 \left(\|c(t)\|_W - \frac{R}{8} \right)^2 \ge \varepsilon\varepsilon_0 \left(\|c(t)\|_W - \frac{\|c(t)\|_W}{4} \right)^2 \ge \frac{9\varepsilon\varepsilon_0}{16} \|c(t)\|_W^2.$$

Noting $C\|w\|_W \le \|w\|_{L^2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \sqrt{u(c(t))} \|c'(t)\|_{L^2} dt &\ge \frac{3C}{4} \sqrt{\varepsilon\varepsilon_0} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \|c(t)\|_W \|c'(t)\|_W dt \\ &\ge \frac{3C}{8} \sqrt{\varepsilon\varepsilon_0} \left(\|c(s_2)\|_W^2 - \|c(s_1)\|_W^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{3C}{32} \sqrt{\varepsilon\varepsilon_0} R^2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.51}$$

Next, we consider the case where $\sup_{0 \le t \le 1} \|c(t)\|_W \le R$. Let

$$t_1 = \sup\left\{ t \mid \|P_N(c(t)_L) - h_1\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \le 2\delta \right\} \tag{5.52}$$

$$t_2 = \inf\left\{ t \mid \|P_N(c(t)_L) - h_2\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \le 2\delta \right\}. \tag{5.53}$$

Then $0 < t_1 < t_2 < 1$. There are three cases where

(a) $c(t) \in W_{R/2,N,L,2\delta}$ for all $t_1 \le t \le t_2$,

(b) there exists a minimum time $t_1 < t_* < t_2$ such that $\|P_N(c(t_*))_L\|_{H_0^{1/2}(I)} = R/2$ and $\sup_{t_1 \le t \le t_*} \|P_N^{\perp}(c(t)_L)\|_{H_0^{-2}(I)} \le R/2$,

(c) there exists a time $t_1 \le t_* \le t_2$ such that $\|P_N^{\perp}(c(t_*)_L)\|_{H_0^{-2}(I)} = R/2$ and $\sup_{t_1 \le t \le t_*} \|P_N(c(t)_L)\|_{H_0^{1/2}(I)} \le R/2$

We consider the case (a). We have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 \sqrt{u(c(t))}\|c'(t)\|_{L^2}dt &\ge \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sqrt{u(c(t))}\|c'(t)\|_{L^2}dt \\ &\ge \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sqrt{(1-\varepsilon)^3 U\left(P_N(c(t)_L)\right)}\|c'(t)\|_{L^2}dt \\ &\ge (1-\varepsilon)^{3/2}\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sqrt{U\left(P_N(c(t)_L)\right)}\|\left(P_N(c(t)_L)\right)'\|_{L^2}dt. \qquad (5.54)\end{aligned}$$

Now we define a curve $\tilde{c}$ by

$$\tilde{c}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{t_1}P_N(c(t_1)_L) + \frac{t_1 - t}{t_1}h_1 & 0 \le t \le t_1 \\ P_N(c(t)_L) & t_1 \le t \le t_2 \\ \frac{1-t}{1-t_2}P_N(c(t_2)_L) + \frac{t-t_2}{1-t_2}h_2 & t_2 \le t \le 1. \end{cases}$$

Then $\tilde{c} \in AC_{h_1,h_2}(H^1(\mathbb{R}))$. Let $\gamma(\delta) = \sup\{U(h) \mid \min_{i=1,2}\|h - h_i\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \le \delta\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 \sqrt{u(c(t))}\|c'(t)\|_{L^2}dt &\ge (1-\varepsilon)^{3/2}\int_0^1 \sqrt{U(\tilde{c}(t))}\|\tilde{c}'(t)\|_{L^2}dt - 4\frac{\delta}{m}\sqrt{\gamma(2\delta)} \\ &\ge (1-\varepsilon)^{3/2}d_U^{Ag}(h_1,h_2) - 4\frac{\delta}{m}\sqrt{\gamma(2\delta)}. \qquad (5.55)\end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider the case (b). In this case, there exist times $t_1 < s_* < t_* < t_2$ such that $\|P_N(c(s_*)_L)\|_{H_0^{1/2}(I)} = R/4$ and $\|P_N(c(t)_L)\|_{H_0^{1/2}(I)} \ge R/4$ for $s_* \le t \le t_*$. Since V is a function bounded from below, by taking R sufficiently large, $U(P_N(c(t)_L)) \ge \frac{1}{4}U_0(P_N(c(t)_L))$ for $s_* \le t \le t_*$, where $U_0(h) = \frac{1}{4}\|h\|^2_{H_0^1(I)}$. Since $\psi(c(t)) = 1$ for $s_* \le t \le t_*$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 \sqrt{u(c(t))}\|c'(t)\|_{L^2}dt &\ge \int_{s_*}^{t_*} \sqrt{(1-\varepsilon)^3 U(P_N(c(t)_L)}\|P_N(c(t)_L))'\|_{L^2}dt \\ &\ge \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^{3/2}}{2}\int_{s_*}^{t_*} \sqrt{U_0(P_N(c(t)_L)}\|P_N(c(t)_L)'\|_{L^2}dt \\ &\ge \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^{3/2}}{4}\int_{s_*}^{t_*} \frac{d}{dt}\Big\{\left(P_N(c(t)_L), P_N(c(t)_L)\right)_{H_0^{1/2}(I)}\Big\}dt \\ &= \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^{3/2}}{4}\left(\|P_N\left(c(t_*)_L\right)\|^2_{H_0^{1/2}(I)} - \|P_N\left(c(s_*)_L\right)\|^2_{H_0^{1/2}(I)}\right) \\ &= \frac{3(1-\varepsilon)^{3/2}R^2}{64}. \qquad (5.56)\end{aligned}$$

We consider the case (c). Using the estimate $\|h\|_{H_0^{-2}(I)} \le m^{-5/2}\|h\|_{H_0^{1/2}(I)}$, if $\|P_N(c(t_*)_L)\|_{H_0^{-2}(I)} \ge R/3$, then $\|P_N(c(t_*)_L)\|_{H_0^{1/2}(I)} \ge m^{5/2}R/3$. Hence we can argue similarly to (b). So we assume $\|P_N(c(t_*)_L)\|_{H_0^{-2}(I)} \le R/3$. By the continuity of the map $w(\in W) \mapsto \chi_L w \in H_0^{-2}(I)$, there exists $C'(L) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\|c(t_*)\|_W \ge C'(L)\|c(t_*)\chi_L\|_{H_0^{-2}(I)} &\ge C'(L)\left(\|P_N^{\perp}(c(t_*)_L)\|_{H_0^{-2}(I)} - \|P_N(c(t_*)_L)\|_{H_0^{-2}(I)}\right)\\ &\ge C'(L)R/6. \end{aligned}\tag{5.57}$$

Again, there exist times $0 < s_* < t_*$ such that $\|c(s_*)\|_W = C'(L)R/7$ and $\inf_{s_* \le t \le t_*}\|c(t)\|_W \ge C'(L)R/7$. Now we take R sufficiently large so that $\|h_i\|_W \le \frac{C'(L)R}{14}$. Then we have

$$u_{\mathcal{Z}}(c(t)) \ge \left(\|c(t)\|_W - \frac{C'(L)R}{14}\right)^2 \ge \left(\|c(t)\|_W - \frac{1}{2}\|c(t)\|_W\right)^2 = \frac{1}{4}\|c(t)\|_W^2 \qquad \text{for } s_* \le t \le t_*. \tag{5.58}$$

By the assumption $\sup_{0\le t\le 1}\|c(t)\|_W \le R$, $u(c(t)) \ge \varepsilon\varepsilon_0 u_{\mathcal{Z}}(c(t))$ holds for all t. As before, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 \sqrt{u(c(t))}\|c'(t)\|_{L^2}dt &\ge \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon\varepsilon_0}}{2}\int_{s_*}^{t_*}\|c(t)\|_W\|c'(t)\|_W dt\\ &\ge \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon\varepsilon_0}}{4}\left(\|c(t_*)\|_W^2 - \|c(s_*)\|_W^2\right)\\ &= \frac{13}{4\cdot 36\cdot 49}\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon\varepsilon_0}{2}}C'(L)^2R^2. \end{aligned}\tag{5.59}$$

By the estimates (5.51), (5.55), (5.56), (5.59), we are going to finish the proof. First, we take δ and ε sufficiently small taking the estimates (5.43) and (5.55) into account. For these ε, δ, we choose L in Lemma 5.12, (5.34). Next, we take R sufficiently large so that the lower bounds in (5.51), (5.56), (5.59) are large. After that, we choose large N for which (5.44) and (5.47) hold. Finally, by taking ε' sufficiently small in (5.48), all the above estimates prove the desired result. ☐

6 Example

We present an example which satisfies assumptions (A1), (A2), (A3). Let $P(x) = p(x^2)$ where $p(x) = (x-1)^{2M_0}$ and M_0 is a natural number. Let us take two positive numbers a, R. Let g_R be a smooth non-negative function with supp $g_R \subset [-R, R]$ and $\|g_R\|_\infty \le 1$. We consider a potential function

$$V_{a,R}(h) = a\int_{\mathbb{R}} P(h(x))g_R(x)dx.$$

Recall that our potential function for the corresponding classical motion is

$$U_{a,R}(h) = \frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}} h'(x)^2dx + \frac{m^2}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x)^2dx + V_{a,R}(h).$$

We have

Proposition 6.1. *For large a, there exist two minimizers $\{h_0, -h_0\}$ of $U_{a,R}$. Here h_0 is a strictly positive C^2 function. Moreover the Hessians of $U_{a,R}$ are strictly positive at $\pm h_0$.*

By this proposition, for large a, the polynomial function

$$P_a(x) = aP(x) - \frac{\min U_{a,R}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_R(x)dx} \tag{6.1}$$

satisfies assumptions (A1), (A2), (A3).

Proof of Proposition 6.1. The proof of this proposition is essentially similar to the proof of Theorem 7.2 in [6]. We give the proof for the sake of completeness. By Lemma 3.3 and a standard argument, we see that $U_{a,R}$ has a minimizer h_0. Since $U_{a,R}(|h_0|) \le U_{a,R}(h_0)$, we may assume that h_0 is non-negative. We show $h_0 \not\equiv 0$. To this end, let ψ_R be a piecewise linear function with $\psi_R(x) = 1$ for $-R \le x \le R$ and $\psi_R(x) = 0$ for $|x| \le R+1$. Then for large a,

$$U_{a,R}(\psi_R) < U_{a,R}(0)$$

which implies $h_0 \not\equiv 0$. For simplicity, we denote $aP(x)$ by $P(x)$ and $g_R(x)$ by $g(x)$. Since h_0 satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation,

$$(m^2 - \Delta)h_0(x) + 2P'(h_0(x))g(x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{6.2}$$

we see that $h_0 \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$. Also $h_0(x) > 0$ for all x by the maximum principle. Thus, the set of minimizers consists of two functions $h_0, -h_0$ at least. We need to prove that there are no minimizers other than $\{h_0, -h_0\}$. Let

$$q(x) = \frac{2P'(x)}{x} = 4p'(x^2).$$

Then h_0 is the ground state of the Schrödinger operator $-H_{h_0} = -\Delta + m^2 + q(h_0(x)))g(x)$ with the simple lowest eigenvalue 0. Also since the essential spectrum of $-H_{h_0}$ is included in $[m^2, \infty)$,

$$\inf(\sigma(-H_{h_0}) \setminus \{0\}) > 0 \tag{6.3}$$

holds. We write $U_0(h) = \frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}} h'(x)^2dx + \frac{m^2}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x)^2dx$ and $\tilde{V}(h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} P(h(x))g(x)dx$. Using the derivative ∇ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&U(h) - U(h_0) \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 U(h_0)(h-h_0, h-h_0) \\
&\qquad + U(h) - U(h_0) - \nabla U(h_0)(h-h_0) - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 U(h_0)(h-h_0, h-h_0) \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 U_0(h_0)(h-h_0, h-h_0) + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 \tilde{V}(h_0)(h-h_0, h-h_0) \\
&\qquad + \tilde{V}(h) - \tilde{V}(h_0) - \nabla\tilde{V}(h_0)(h-h_0) - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2\tilde{V}(h_0)(h-h_0, h-h_0).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
&U(h) - U(h_0) \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 U_0(h_0)(h-h_0, h-h_0) + \frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}} q(h_0(x))(h(x)-h_0(x))^2 g(x)dx \\
&\qquad + \tilde{V}(h) - \tilde{V}(h_0) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} P'(h_0(x))(h(x)-h_0(x))g(x)dx \\
&\qquad - \frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}} q(h_0(x))(h(x)-h_0(x))^2 g(x)dx. \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{4}\left(-H_{h_0}(h-h_0), (h-h_0)\right)_{L^2} \\
&\qquad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(p(h(x)^2) - p(h_0(x)^2) - p'(h_0(x)^2)(h(x)^2 - h_0(x)^2)\right) g(x)dx \\
&\quad = \left(-H_{h_0}(h-h_0), (h-h_0)\right)_{L^2} \\
&\qquad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\theta p''(h_0(x)^2 + \tau(h(x)^2 - h_0(x)^2))d\tau\right) d\theta\right\} (h(x)^2 - h_0(x)^2)^2 g(x)dx.
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the formula above and (6.3), we see that the minimizers of U are $\{\pm h_0\}$ only. Finally, we prove that the bottom of the spectrum of $m^2 - \Delta + 2P''(h_0(x))g(x)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is strictly positive. Noting

$$2P''(h_0(x))g(x) = q(h_0(x))g(x) + 8h_0(x)^2 p''(h_0(x)^2)g(x),$$

(6.3) and the fact that h_0 is the ground state of $-H_{h_0}$, we obtain

$$\inf \sigma\left(m^2 - \Delta + 2P''(h_0(x))g(x)\right) > 0$$

which completes the proof. □

7 Appendix

7.1 Proof of Lemma 3.5, Lemma 3.6, Lemma 3.7

We prove Lemma 3.5, Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.7. Some parts of the proofs are similar to that of Lemma 2.8 in [4].

Proof of Lemma 3.5. Let $a > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$. Then we have the following estimate:

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t-\frac{a^2}{t}}}{t^\alpha} dt \le \frac{C}{\alpha - 1} e^{-a/2}\left(a^{2(1-\alpha)} + a^{1-\alpha}\right). \tag{7.1}$$

Therefore by the functional calculus, we have an estimate on the integral kernel,

$$0 \le \tilde{A}^{-1}(x,y) \le C\frac{e^{-C|x-y|}}{\sqrt{|x-y|}}. \tag{7.2}$$

By this estimate, if v is a non-negative function with compact support, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\tilde{A}^{-1} M_v \tilde{A}^{-1}\right)(x,y) &\le C\|v\|_\infty \int_{\operatorname{supp} v} \frac{e^{-C|x-z|}}{\sqrt{|x-z|}}\frac{e^{-C|z-y|}}{\sqrt{|z-y|}} dz \\
&\le Ce^{-C(|x|+|y|)}\left(1 + \log\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|} \vee 1\right)\right). \qquad (7.3)
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies the Hilbert-Schmidt property of $\tilde{A}^{-1}M_v\tilde{A}^{-1}$. Other statements are clear. We prove (2). Let $\tilde{S}_n$ be the bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $(\tilde{S}_n\varphi)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_n(x-y)\varphi(y)dy$, where $p_n(x) = \left(\frac{n}{4\pi}\right)^{1/2}\exp\left(-\frac{nx^2}{4}\right)$. Also recall that we denote by $w_n(x) = {}_{\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})}\langle p_n(x-\cdot), w\rangle_{\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})'}$ for $w \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})'$. Clearly, $\varphi_n(x) = (\tilde{S}_n\varphi)(x)$ for any $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Let $S_n = \Phi\circ\tilde{S}_n\circ\Phi^{-1}$. We also have $S_nh(x) = \tilde{S}_nh(x)$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} S_n = I$ strongly. We approximate K_v by $S_nK_vS_n$. By the definition, we obtain

$$S_nK_vS_nh \quad = \quad \Phi\left(\tilde{S}_n\tilde{A}^{-1}M_v\tilde{A}^{-1}\tilde{S}_n\right)\Phi^{-1}h. \tag{7.4}$$

Using the commutativity of $\tilde{S}_n$ and $\tilde{A}$ and the fact that $\tilde{S}_nM_v$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator, we can conclude that $S_nK_vS_n$ is a trace class operator. Also we have

$$\begin{aligned}(S_nK_vS_nh, h)_H &= \left(\tilde{A}\tilde{S}_n\tilde{A}^{-2}M_v\tilde{S}_nh, \tilde{A}h\right)_{L^2}\\ &= \left(\tilde{S}_nM_v\tilde{S}_nh, h\right)_{L^2}\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}}\tilde{S}_nh(x)^2v(x)dx\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}}h_n(x)^2v(x)dx.\end{aligned} \tag{7.5}$$

By the continuity $h(\in H)\mapsto\int_{\mathbb{R}}h_n(x)^2v(x)dx$ in the topology of W and the trace class property of $S_nK_vS_n$, we obtain that

$$:\langle S_nK_vS_nw, w\rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}}w_n(x)^2v(x)dx - \mathrm{tr}(S_nK_vS_n). \tag{7.6}$$

Since $E_\mu[:\langle S_nK_vS_nw, w\rangle:] = 0$, we have $\mathrm{tr}(S_nK_vS_n) = c_n^2\int_{\mathbb{R}}v(x)dx$, where $c_n^2 = E_\mu[w_n(x)^2]$. Letting $n\to\infty$, we complete the proof of the statement (2). □

Proof of Lemma 3.6. (1) follows from the definition of K_v. We prove (2) (i). Let $P_t^{(v)} = e^{-t(m^2+4v-\Delta)}$. By the functional calculus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{A}_v^2 - \tilde{A}^2 &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_0^\infty\frac{P_t - P_t^{(v)}}{t^{3/2}}dt\\ &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_0^1\frac{P_t - P_t^{(v)}}{t^{3/2}}dt + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_1^\infty\frac{P_t - P_t^{(v)}}{t^{3/2}}dt =: I_1(x,y) + I_2(x,y).\end{aligned} \tag{7.7}$$

Here $I_i(x,y)$ are the kernel functions. By the Feynman-Kac formula,

$$\frac{|P_t(x,y) - P_t^{(v)}(x,y)|}{t^{3/2}} \quad\le\quad \frac{4}{t}\exp\left(-m^2t + 4\|v\|_\infty t - \frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}\right)J(t,x,y), \tag{7.8}$$

where

$$J(t,x,y) = E[\max_{0\le s\le t}|v(x+\sqrt{2}B(s))| \mid \sqrt{2}B(t) = y] \tag{7.9}$$

and $B(t)$ is the 1-dimensional standard Brownian motion starting at 0. We give an estimate for $J(t,x,y)$. Suppose that the support of v is included in $[-L,L]$. Let $r=\min\{|x|,|y|\}$. We have

$$J(t,x,y)\le \|v\|_\infty P\left(\max_{0\le s\le t}|B(s)|\ge \frac{r}{2\sqrt{2}}\mid B(t)=0\right)\le C\|v\|_\infty \exp\left(-C\frac{r^2}{t}\right)$$
$$\text{for } x,y\ge 2L \ \text{ or } \ x,y\le -2L. \tag{7.10}$$

Noting

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\exp(-\frac{a^2}{t})}{t}dt\le C\left(1+\log(\max(\frac{1}{a},1))\right)\exp\left(-\frac{a^2}{2}\right), \tag{7.11}$$

we obtain

$$I_1(x,y)\le C(L)\left(|\log(x^2+y^2)|+1\right)\exp\left(-C(x^2+y^2)\right)\qquad \text{for } x,y\ge 2L \ \text{ or } \ x,y\le -2L. \tag{7.12}$$

We have similar estimates for other cases. Consequently, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} I_1(x,y)^2dxdy<\infty$. Next, we show $I_2\in L^2$. By (7.10), we get an estimate for the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of $P_t^{(v)}-P_t$:

$$\|P_t^{(v)}-P_t\|_{L_{(2)}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))}\le C\sqrt{t^{3/2}+4L^2t^2\|v\|_\infty^2}e^{4\|v\|_\infty t-m^2t}. \tag{7.13}$$

By using the method in page 3349, 3350 in [4], we obtain the following estimate: There exists a positive number $C(n)$ which depends only on the natural number n such that

$$\|P_{nt}^{(v)}-P_{nt}\|_{L_{(2)}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))}\le C(n)e^{-cnt}\|P_t^{(v)}-P_t\|_{L_{(2)}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))}, \tag{7.14}$$

where $c=\min\left(\inf\sigma(m^2-\Delta+4v),m^2\right)>0$. Thus we get $I_2\in L^2$. We prove (ii). Since $A_v^2-A^2$ is unitarily equivalent to $\tilde{A}_v^2-\tilde{A}^2$, it suffices to prove $\inf\sigma(T_v)>-1$. Let $h\in \mathrm{D}(A)$. Then $A^{-1}h\in \mathrm{D}(A)=\mathrm{D}(A_v)$ and

$$(T_vh,h)_H=\left(A^{-1}A_v^2A^{-1}h,h\right)_H-\|h\|_H^2\ge\left(\inf\sigma(A^{-1}A_v^2A^{-1})-1\right)\|h\|_H^2.$$

Since there exists $C>0$ such that $(A_v^2h,h)_H\ge C(A^2h,h)_H$ for any $h\in \mathrm{D}(A^2)$, we get $\inf\sigma(A^{-1}A_v^2A^{-1})>0$ which implies (ii). We prove (iii). Let S_n be the mollifier operator in the proof of Lemma 3.5. Note that S_n and A commute. Let $T_{v,n}=S_nT_vS_n$. Let us define

$$\Omega_{v,n}=\det{}_{(2)}(I+T_{v,n})^{1/4}\exp\left[-\frac{1}{4}:\langle T_{v,n}w,w\rangle_H:\right]. \tag{7.15}$$

By a simple calculation, we obtain

$$\left(-L_A+\frac{1}{4}:\langle (T_{v,n}A^2+A^2T_{v,n}+T_{v,n}A^2T_{v,n})w,w\rangle:\right)\Omega_{v,n}\ =\ -\frac{1}{4}\mathrm{tr}\left(T_{v,n}A^2T_{v,n}\right)\Omega_{v,n}. \tag{7.16}$$

Note that $\mathrm{D}(A_v^2)=\mathrm{D}(A^2)$, $\mathrm{D}(A_v^4)=\mathrm{D}(A^4)$ and

$$A_v^2(\mathrm{D}(A^2))\subset H,\ \ A_v^2(\mathrm{D}(A^4))\subset \mathrm{D}(A^2). \tag{7.17}$$

By the definition of T_v, we have

$$A^2 + AT_vA = (A^4 + 4AK_vA)^{1/2} (= A_v^2). \tag{7.18}$$

Hence $(AT_vA)(\mathrm{D}(A^4)) \subset \mathrm{D}(A^2)$ and $(AT_vA)(\mathrm{D}(A^2)) \subset H$. Therefore for any $h \in \mathrm{D}(A^4)$,

$$A^3T_vAh + AT_vA^3h + (AT_vA)(AT_vA)h = 4AK_vAh \in H. \tag{7.19}$$

Hence for any $h \in \mathrm{D}(A^3)$,

$$A^2T_vh + T_vA^2h + T_vA^2T_vh = 4K_vh \in \mathrm{D}(A). \tag{7.20}$$

This implies

$$\frac{1}{4}\left(T_{v,n}A^2 + A^2T_{v,n} + T_{v,n}A^2T_{v,n}\right) = S_nK_vS_n + \frac{1}{4}S_nT_vA^2(S_{2n} - I)T_vS_n. \tag{7.21}$$

By combining this with (7.16) ,

$$\begin{aligned}&(-L_A + : \langle K_vw, w\rangle :)\,\Omega_{v,n}\\ &\quad = (: \langle (K_v - S_nK_vS_n)w, w\rangle : + : \langle R_{v,n}w, w\rangle :)\,\Omega_{v,n} - \frac{1}{4}\|S_n(A^2 - A_v^2)A^{-1}S_n\|^2_{L_{(2)}(H)}\Omega_{v,n},\end{aligned} \tag{7.22}$$

where $R_{v,n} = \frac{1}{4}S_nT_v(I - S_{2n})A^2T_vS_n$. Letting $n \to \infty$, we see that Ω_v is a positive eigenfunction of $-L_A + : \langle K_vw, w\rangle :$ with the eigenvalue $-\frac{1}{4}\|(A^2 - A_v^2)A^{-1}\|^2_{L_{(2)}(H)}$ which implies (2). (3) can be proved by a linear transformation formula of Gaussian measures. □

To prove Lemma 3.7, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 7.1. *Let A be a strictly positive self-adjoint operator and K be a Hilbert-Schmidt self-adjoint operator on H. Assume that $A^4 + K$ is also a strictly positive operator. Then* $\mathrm{D}((A^4 + K)^{1/2}) = \mathrm{D}(A^2)$. *Moreover $(A^4 + K)^{1/2} - A^2$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator and*

$$\left\|(A^4 + K)^{1/2} - A^2\right\|_{L_{(2)}(H)} \le \left(\left\{\inf\sigma(\sqrt{A^4 + K})\right\}^{-1/2} + \left\{\inf\sigma(A^2)\right\}^{-1/2}\right)\|K\|_{L_{(2)}(H)}$$

Proof. We can prove this result using the Löwner's theorem: For any strictly positive self-adjoint operator T, it holds that

$$T^{1/2}\varphi = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\infty u^{-1/2}T(T + u)^{-1}\varphi du. \tag{7.23}$$

Let T_1 and T_2 be strictly positive self-adjoint operators such that $T_1 - T_2$ is a bounded linear operator. Applying the above representation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\sqrt{T_1} - \sqrt{T_2}\\ &\quad = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{u}}(T_1 - T_2)(T_1 + u)^{-1}du + \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{u}}T_1(T_1 + u)^{-1}(T_2 - T_1)(T_2 + u)^{-1}du.\end{aligned}$$

This shows $\mathrm{D}(\sqrt{T_1}) = \mathrm{D}(\sqrt{T_2})$. Applying this and the identity above to the case where $T_1 = A^4 + K$ and A^4, we get the desired result. □

Proof of Lemma 3.7. (1) Note that $A_{v,J}^2 - A^2 = A_{v,J}^2 - A_v^2 + A_v^2 - A^2$. By Lemma 7.1, $A_{v,J}^2 - A_v^2$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Since $A_v^2 - A^2$ is also a Hilbert-Schmidt operator, $A_{v,J}^2 - A^2$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. The proof of (2) and (3) are similar to that of Lemma 3.6. So we omit the proof. □

7.2 Properties of Agmon distance

In Section 2, we defined the length of a curve and the Agmon distance on $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. However the distance can be extended to a distance on $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$. In this subsection, we define the length and the energy of a curve on $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ which is an extension of the previous one. Through out this subsection, we assume that U satisfies (A1) and (A2). Of course we include the case where $\mathcal{Z} = \emptyset$. We consider the case where the space is $\mathbb{R}$. However, all statements together with those in next subsection hold true for the finite interval cases by similar arguments.

Definition 7.2. (1) *Let $h, k \in H^{1/2}$. Let U be a non-negative potential function as in* (2.9). *Let $\mathcal{P}_{T,h,k,U}$ be all continuous paths $c = c(t)$ $(0 \le t \le T)$ on $H^{1/2}$ such that $c(0) = h, c(T) = k$ and*

(i) *$c \in AC_{T,h,k}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$,*

(ii) *$c(t) \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ for $\|c'(t)\|dt$ -a.e. $t \in [0, T]$ and*

$$\int_0^T \sqrt{U(c(t))}\|c'(t)\|_{L^2}dt < \infty. \tag{7.24}$$

We define the length $\ell_U(c)$ of $c \in \mathcal{P}_{T,h,k,U}$ by the integral value of (7.24). *Also we define the energy of c by*

$$e_U(c) = \int_0^T U(c(t))\|c'(t)\|_{L^2}^2 dt. \tag{7.25}$$

(2) *Let $0 < T < \infty$. We define the Agmon distance between $h, k \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ by*

$$\widetilde{d_U^{Ag}}(h, k) \quad = \quad \inf\left\{\ell_U(c) \mid c \in \mathcal{P}_{T,h,k,U}\right\}. \tag{7.26}$$

We may omit writing T, U, h, k in the notations $\mathcal{P}_{T,h,k,U}, \ell_U, e_U$ if there are no confusion. By using natural reparametrization of path, we see that the definition of $\widetilde{d_U^{Ag}}$ does not depend on T. If $h, k \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, $AC_{T,h,k}(H^1(\mathbb{R})) \subset \mathcal{P}_{T,h,k,U}$ holds. When $h, k \notin H^1$, it is not obvious but elementary to see $\mathcal{P}_{T,h,k,U}$ is not empty. Let $H^1_{T,h,k}(\mathbb{R})$ be the set of functions $u = u(t, x)$ $(t \in (0, T), x \in \mathbb{R})$ in H^1-Sobolev space on $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}$ and $u(0, \cdot) = h, u(T, \cdot) = k$ in the sense of trace. Then $H^1_{T,h,k}(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{P}_{T,h,k}$. To check $u \in H^1_{T,h,k}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies (7.24), we consider a functional

$$\begin{aligned} I_{T,P}(u) \quad &= \quad \frac{1}{4}\iint_{(0,T)\times\mathbb{R}} \left(\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, x)\right|^2 + \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(t, x)\right|^2\right) dtdx \\ &\qquad + \iint_{(0,T)\times\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{m^2}{4}u(t, x)^2 + P(u(t, x))g(x)\right) dtdx. \end{aligned} \tag{7.27}$$

By Sobolev's theorem, $I_{T,P}(u) < \infty$ for any $u \in H^1((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})$. Since

$$\int_0^T \sqrt{U(u(t))}\|\partial_t u(t)\|_{L^2}dt \le \int_0^T U(u(t))dt + \frac{1}{4}\int_0^T \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 dt = I_{T,P}(u), \tag{7.28}$$

the boundedness (7.24) holds. Let $h, k \in H^1$ and take $c \in AC_{T,h,k}(H^1(\mathbb{R}))$. It is evident that the definition of the length $\ell_U(c)$ above coincides with the previous one in Definition 2.4. Actually the distance above on H^1 coincides with the previous one in Definition 2.4.

Lemma 7.3. *For any $h, k \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$\widetilde{d_U^{Ag}}(h,k) = d_U^{Ag}(h,k). \tag{7.29}$$

Proof. Let $T = 1$. We need only to prove $d_U^{Ag}(h,k) \le \widetilde{d_U^{Ag}}(h,k)$. To this end, take $c \in \mathcal{P}_{1,h,k}$. Let $c_\varepsilon = c_\varepsilon(t,x) = P_\varepsilon c(t)$, where $P_\varepsilon = e^{\varepsilon \Delta_x}$. Then $c_\varepsilon \in AC(H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ and $c_\varepsilon(0) = P_\varepsilon h$ and $c_\varepsilon(1) = P_\varepsilon k$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} U(c_\varepsilon(t)) &= U(c(t)) \quad \text{for } t \text{ such that } c(t) \in H^1, & (7.30)\\ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \|c_\varepsilon'(t)\|_{L^2} &= \|c'(t)\|_{L^2} \quad a.e. t \in [0,1]. & (7.31) \end{aligned}$$

The convergence (7.30) is a consequence of strong continuity of P_ε in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $L^p(\mathbb{R})$. The convergence (7.31) follows from the fact that $c_\varepsilon'(t) = P_\varepsilon(c'(t))$ holds at the differentiable point t of $c = c(t)$. By the contraction property of P_ε on $H^1(\mathbb{R})$, $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\sup_t \|c(t)\|_H < \infty$, we have $U(c_\varepsilon(t)) \le U(c(t)) + C$. Thus for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$

$$\sqrt{U(c_\varepsilon(t))}\|c_\varepsilon'(t)\|_{L^2} \le \left(\sqrt{U(c(t))} + C\right) \|c'(t)\|_{L^2} \tag{7.32}$$

Note that the function on the right-hand side of (7.32) is integrable on $[0,1]$. Let $\delta > 0$. Noting $P_\varepsilon h$ and $P_\varepsilon k$ converge to h and k in H^1 respectively and using the path c_ε and the line segments connecting h and $P_\varepsilon h$, k and $P_\varepsilon k$, we can construct a path $\tilde{c}_\varepsilon \in AC_{h,k}(H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ such that $\ell_U(\tilde{c}_\varepsilon) \le \ell_U(c) + \delta$ which completes the proof. □

Remark 7.4. *In Definition* 7.2, *we assume c satisfies $\int_0^T \|c'(t)\|_{L^2} dt < \infty$. However, for curves which pass through the zero points of U, it may be natural to consider the case where the L^2 length of the curves themselves are infinite near zero points. In view of this observation, we introduce a larger set of paths $\mathcal{P}_{T,h,k,U}^{loc}$ which includes $\mathcal{P}_{T,h,k,U}$. We say that a continuous path c on $H^{1/2}$ starting at h and ending at k belongs to $\mathcal{P}_{T,h,k,U}^{loc}$ if and only if the following two conditions hold:*

(i) *there exist a finitely many times $0 = t_0 < \cdots < t_n = T$ such that for any closed interval $I \subset (t_i, t_{i+1})$ $(0 \le i \le n-1)$, the restricted path $c|_I$ is an absolutely continuous path.*

(ii) *The same condition as in Definition* 7.2 (ii) *holds.*

Clearly, if $\int_I \|c'(t)\|_{L^2} dt = \infty$ for some interval I including t_i, then the finiteness of $\ell(c)$ implies that there exists a sequence of times $s_n \to t_i$ such that $U(c(s_n)) \to 0$ and this implies $c(t_i) \in \mathcal{Z}$. By this observation, the value of Agmon distance $\widetilde{d_U^{Ag}}$ does not change even if including all paths in $\mathcal{P}_{T,h,k,U}^{loc}$ in the definition of the distance by a simple argument. However, probably, minimal geodesics in $H^{1/2}$ belong to $\mathcal{P}_{T,h,k,U}$.

The same as finite dimensional cases, it is useful to consider the reparametrization of path by the length.

Lemma 7.5. *Let $c \in \mathcal{P}_{1,h,k,U}^{loc}$.*
(1) *It holds that $\ell(c) \le \sqrt{e(c)}$.*

(2) *Let* $c \in \mathcal{P}^{loc}_{1,h,k,U}$ *and assume that* $J_{\mathcal{Z}} = \{t \in [0,T] \mid c(t) \in \mathcal{Z}\}$ *is a finite set. Let*

$$\tau(t) = \frac{1}{\ell(c)} \int_0^t \sqrt{U(c(t))}\|c'(t)\|_{L^2} dt \qquad (0 \le t \le 1).$$

Then there exists $c_* \in \mathcal{P}^{loc}_{1,h,k,U}$ *such that* $c_*(\tau(t)) = c(t)$ $(0 \le t \le 1)$*. Moreover,* $\ell(c) = \ell(c_*)$ *and*

$$\sqrt{U(c_*(t))}\|c_*'(t)\|_{L^2} = \ell(c_*) = \sqrt{e(c_*)} \le \sqrt{e(c)} \quad a.e.\ t \in [0,1]. \tag{7.33}$$

Proof. The estimate (1) follows from the Schwarz inequality. We prove (2). Let $\sigma(t) = \inf\{s \mid \tau(s) > t\}$ and set $c_*(t) := c(\sigma(t))$. Then c_* is a continuous curve on H and $c_*(\tau(t)) = c(t)$. These follow from that $\tau(t_1) = \tau(t_2)$ $(t_1 < t_2)$ is equivalent to $c'(s) = 0$ for a.e. $t_1 \le t \le t_2$. Moreover the image measure of the Lebesgue measure by σ is given by $\sigma_\sharp dt = \tau'(t)dt$. So $\sigma_\sharp dt$ is absolutely continuous to $\|c'(t)\|_{L^2}dt$. Let $J_{\mathcal{Z}} = \{t_1 < \cdots < t_n\}$. Then $\{t \mid U(c_*(t)) = 0\} = \{\tau(t_1), \ldots, \tau(t_n)\}$. Let $\tau(t_i) < s < t < \tau(t_{i+1})$. By using a change of variable formula, we obtain

$$c_*(t) - c_*(s) = -\ell(c) \int_s^t \frac{v(\sigma(u))}{\sqrt{U(c_*(u))}} du, \tag{7.34}$$

where $v(t) = \frac{c'(t)}{\|c'(t)\|_{L^2}} 1_{c'(t) \neq 0}$. This implies $c_* \in \mathcal{P}^{loc}_{1,h,k,U}$ and

$$\sqrt{U(c_*(t))}\|c_*'(t)\|_{L^2} = \ell(c_*) = \sqrt{e(c_*)} = \ell(c) \qquad a.e.\ t \in [0,1].$$

□

In this subsection, we prove the following properties of Agmon distance.

Theorem 7.6. (1) *The function* d^{Ag}_U *is a distance function on* H*. Moreover the topology defined by* d^{Ag}_U *on* H *is the same as the one defined by the Sobolev norm of* $H^{1/2}$*.*
(2) *Let us consider the case where* $U(h) = U_0(h) = \frac{1}{4}\|Ah\|_H^2$*. In this case, we have* $d^{Ag}_{U_0}(0,h) = \frac{1}{4}\|h\|_H^2$*.*

Theorem 7.7. *Assume* $\mathcal{Z}$ *consists of two points* $\{h, k\}$*. There exists a curve* $c_\star \in \mathcal{P}^{loc}_{1,h,k}$ *such that* $\ell(c_\star) = d^{Ag}_U(h,k)$*. This* $c_\star$ *has the following properties.*
(1) $c_\star(t) \notin \mathcal{Z}$ *for* $0 < t < 1$*.*
(2) $c_\star = c_\star(t,x)$ *is a* C^∞ *function of* $(t,x) \in (0,1) \times \mathbb{R}$ *and* $c_\star \in H^1(\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R})$ *for all* $0 < \varepsilon < 1$*.*
(3) $\int_0^\varepsilon \|c_\star'(t)\|_{L^2}^2 dt = \int_{1-\varepsilon}^1 \|c_\star'(t)\|_{L^2}^2 dt = +\infty$ *for any* $\varepsilon > 0$*.*

We prepare a lemma for the proof of Theorem 7.6.

Lemma 7.8. *Let* $S_t = e^{-t\sqrt{m^2-\Delta}}$ *be the Cauchy semigroup on* $L^2(\mathbb{R})$*. Let* $T > 0$*. For* $h, k \in H^{1/2}$*, define a function* $f = f(t,x)$ $(0 < t < T, x \in \mathbb{R})$ *by*

$$f(t) = S_{T-t}(I - S_{2T})^{-1}(k - S_T h) + S_t(I - S_{2T})^{-1}(h - S_T k). \tag{7.35}$$

Then the following hold.

(1) *We have* $\lim_{t\to 0}\|f(t)-h\|_{H^{1/2}}=\lim_{t\to 1}\|f(t)-k\|_{H^{1/2}}=0$. *Let* $I_{T,0}$ *be the functional in the case where* $P=0$. *Then it holds that*

$$I_{T,0}(f) = \frac{1}{4}\Big\{2\left(\left((I-S_{2T})^{-1}-(I+S_T)^{-1}\right)(h-k),h-k\right)_H+\left((I-S_T)(I+S_T)^{-1}h,h\right)_H + \left((I-S_T)(I+S_T)^{-1}k,k\right)_H\Big\}. \tag{7.36}$$

In particular, $f\in H^1_{T,h,k}(\mathbb{R})$.
(2) *It holds that* $\sup_{0<t<T}\|f(t)\|_{H^{1/2}}\le 3\left(\|h\|_{H^{1/2}}+\|k\|_{H^{1/2}}\right)$ *and* $f(t)\in H^n(\mathbb{R})$ *for all* $0<t<T$ *and* $n\in\mathbb{N}$.
(3) *Let* $0<\varepsilon<1$ *and fix* $h\in H$. *Then there exists* $0<\delta(\varepsilon)\le 1$ *such that for any* $k\in H$ *with* $\|h-k\|_H\le\delta(\varepsilon)$, $d_U^{Ag}(h,k)\le\varepsilon$ *holds.*
(4) *If* $\lim_{n\to\infty}U(h_n)=0$, *then* $\lim_{n\to\infty}\min\{\|h_n-h\|_{H^1}\mid h\in\mathcal{Z}\}=0$.
(5) *Let* $h\in H$. *If* $\lim_{n\to\infty}d_U^{Ag}(h_n,h)=0$, *then* $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|h_n-h\|_{L^2}=0$ *holds.*
(6) *Let* $U_0(h)=\frac{1}{4}\|Ah\|_H^2$. *Then for any* $h,k\in H$,

$$d_{U_0}^{Ag}(h,k)\ge\frac{1}{4}\left\{\max(\|h\|_H^2,\|k\|_H^2)-\min(\|h\|_H^2,\|k\|_H^2)\right\}. \tag{7.37}$$

(7) *Assume* $\mathcal{Z}=\{h,k\}$ *is a two point set. Let* $c\in\mathcal{P}_{1,h,k,U}$. *Let*

$$\delta(\varepsilon)=\inf\Big\{U(\varphi)\;\Big|\;\min\{\|\varphi-h\|_{L^2},\|\varphi-k\|_{L^2}\}\ge\varepsilon/2\Big\}. \tag{7.38}$$

Let $\varepsilon<\frac{\|h-k\|_{L^2}}{4}$. *Then for any* t *such that*

$$\max\{t,1-t\}\le\frac{\delta(\varepsilon)\varepsilon^2}{4e_U(c)}, \tag{7.39}$$

it holds that

$$\max\{\|h-c(t)\|_{L^2},\|k-c(1-t)\|_{L^2}\}\le\varepsilon. \tag{7.40}$$

Remark 7.9. *Since the function* f *in* (7.35) *depends on* T, *we denote it by* f^T. *We note that* f^T *in* (7.35) *is the unique minimizer of the functional* $I_{T,0}$ *on* $H^1_{T,h,k}(\mathbb{R})$ *since* f^T *satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} &m^2 f^T(t,x)-\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\right)f^T(t,x)=0 \qquad (t,x)\in(0,T)\times\mathbb{R},\\ &\qquad f^T(0,x)=h(x),\quad f^T(T,x)=k(x) \qquad\qquad x\in\mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Also it is easy to show that if $h\ne 0, h\ne k$ *and* $h-k$ *is small enough, then*

(i) $\partial_T\left(I_{T,0}(f^T)\right)>0$ *for large* T,

(ii) $\lim_{T\to 0}I_{T,0}(f^T)=+\infty$.

Hence there exists $T_*>0$ *such that* $I_{T_*,0}(f^{T_*})=\min_T I_{T,0}(f^T)$. *We could obtain the geodesic between* h *and* k *under the Agmon distance* $d_{U_0}^{Ag}$ *by the reparametrization of* f^{T_*}. *Of course, this kind of calculation is related with the another representation of the Agmon distance which is given by Carmona and Simon* [12].

Proof. (1) Because S_t is a C_0-contraction semigroup on $H^{1/2}$, we have $\lim_{t\to 0}\|f(t)-h\|_{H^{1/2}}=0$ and $\lim_{t\to T}\|f(t)-k\|_{H^{1/2}}=0$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t f(t) &= \sqrt{m^2-\Delta}\left(S_{T-t}(I-S_{2T})^{-1}(k-S_Th)-S_t(I-S_{2T})^{-1}(h-S_Tk)\right)\\ \sqrt{m^2-\Delta}f(t,\cdot) &= \sqrt{m^2-\Delta}\left(S_{T-t}(I-S_{2T})^{-1}(k-S_Th)+S_t(I-S_{2T})^{-1}(h-S_Tk)\right)\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}4I_{T,0}(f) &= 2\int_0^T\left(\tilde{A}^4S_{2(T-t)}(I-S_{2T})^{-2}(k-S_Th),(k-S_Th)\right)_{L^2}dt\\ &\quad +2\int_0^T\left(\tilde{A}^4S_{2t}(I-S_{2T})^{-2}(h-S_Tk),(h-S_Tk)\right)_{L^2}dt\\ &= \left((I-S_{2T})^{-1}(k-S_Th),(k-S_Th)\right)_H\\ &\quad +\left((I-S_{2T})^{-1}(h-S_Tk),h-S_Tk\right)_H\\ &= 2\left((I-S_{2T})^{-1}(h-k),h-k\right)_H+\left((I-S_T)(I+S_T)^{-1}h,h\right)_H\\ &\quad +\left((I-S_T)(I+S_T)^{-1}k,k\right)_H-2\left((I+S_T)^{-1}(h-k),h-k\right)_H \qquad (7.41)\end{aligned}$$

These imply $f\in H^1_{T,h,k}(\mathbb{R})$.
(2) We rewrite (7.35).

$$f(t) = (S_{T-t}-S_t)(I-S_{2T})^{-1}(k-h)+S_{T-t}(I+S_T)^{-1}h+S_t(I+S_T)^{-1}k. \qquad (7.42)$$

Since $\|(S_{T-t}-S_t)(I-S_{2T})^{-1}\|_{L(L^2,L^2)}\le 2$, we obtain the desired result. It is obvious that $f(t)\in H^n(\mathbb{R})$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$ because the image of L^2 by S_t ($t>0$) belongs to H^n.
(3) It suffices to consider k such that $\|k\|_H\le\|h\|_H+1$. We estimate the distance using the upper bound by $I_{T,P}(f)$ and the function f in (7.35) choosing T appropriately small. First, we consider the nonlinear term containing P. Since g is a continuous function with compact support, using the estimate in Lemma 7.8 (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\iint_{(0,T)\times\mathbb{R}}P(f(t,x))g(x)dtdx\right| &\le \int_0^T C_g\left(1+\sum_{k=2}^{2M}\|f(t)\|^k_{L^k(\mathbb{R})}\right)dt\\ &\le \int_0^T C_g\left(1+\sum_{k=2}^{2M}\|f(t)\|^k_{H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})}\right)dt\\ &\le C_g\left(1+\|h\|_H+\|k\|_H\right)^{2M}T. \qquad (7.43)\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by setting $T\le T(\varepsilon,h):=\left(2^{2M}C_g\right)^{-1}(1+\|h\|_H))^{-2M}\varepsilon/3$, we get

$$\left|\iint_{(0,T)\times\mathbb{R}}P(f(t,x))g(x)dtdx\right|\le\frac{\varepsilon}{3}. \qquad (7.44)$$

Next, we estimate $I_{T,0}(f)$. Because $h\in H$, there exists $T\in(0,1)$ such that $T\le T(\varepsilon,h)$ and $|((I-S_T)(I+S_T)^{-1})h,h)_H|\le\varepsilon$. By the identity (7.36), we have

$$I_{T,0}(f) \le \frac{1}{2(1-e^{-2Tm})}\|h-k\|_H^2+\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon+\frac{1}{4}\|h-k\|_H^2+\frac{1}{2}\|h-k\|_H\|h\|_H. \qquad (7.45)$$

Therefore, taking $\|h-k\|_H$ sufficiently small, we obtain $I_{T,0}(f) \le 2\varepsilon/3$. All the estimates above imply the desired result.
(4) We have $\sup_n \|h_n\|_{H^1} < \infty$. Hence there exists a subsequence $\{h_{n(k)}\}$ which converges weakly to some $h_* \in H^1$. By Lemma 3.18, $\lim_{n\to\infty} V(h_{n(k)}) = V(h_*)$. On the other hand, $U_0(h_*) \le \liminf_{n\to\infty} U_0(h_{n(k)})$. Since U is non-negative, we have $h_* \in \mathcal{Z}$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|h_n\|_{H^1} = \|h_*\|_{H^1}$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|h_n\|_{L^2} = \|h_*\|_{L^2}$. Again by Lemma 3.18, if necessary, by taking a subsequence, $h_{n(k)}(x) \to h_*(x)$ a.e.x. These imply $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|h_n - h_*\|_{H^1} = 0$.
(5) Let $\delta > 0$. There exist $0 < \delta_1 < \delta_2 < \delta$ such that $D \cap \mathcal{Z} = \emptyset$, where $D = \{k \mid \delta_1 \le \|k-h\|_{L^2} \le \delta_2\}$. By the result in (4), $\delta_3 := \inf\{U(\varphi) \mid \varphi \in D\} > 0$. Let us choose $k \in H$ such that $\|h-k\|_{L^2} \ge \delta$ and $c \in \mathcal{P}_{1,k,h,U}$. Then there exist times $0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < 1$ such that $c(t) \in D$ for $\tau_1 \le t \le \tau_2$ and $\|c(\tau_1) - h\|_{L^2} = \delta_1$, $\|c(\tau_2) - k\|_{L^2} = \delta_2$. Hence $\ell(c) \ge \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \sqrt{U(c(t))}\|c'(t)\|_{L^2}dt \ge \sqrt{\delta_3}(\delta_2 - \delta_1)$. This completes the proof.
(6) Let $c \in \mathcal{P}_{1,h,k,U_0}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 \sqrt{U_0(c(t))}\|c'(t)\|_{L^2}dt &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 \|c(t)\|_{H^1}\|c'(t)\|_{L^2}dt \\ &\ge \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 \left(Ac(t), A^{-1}c'(t)\right)_H dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 \left(c(t), c'(t)\right)_H dt \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\left(\|k\|_H^2 - \|h\|_H^2\right) \qquad (7.46)\end{aligned}$$

which implies (7.37). The expression of the second and third equation on the right-hand side may be rough but the final estimate is true by an approximation argument.
(7) We need only to prove $\|h - c(t)\|_{L^2} \le \varepsilon$. If $\|c(t) - h\|_{L^2} > \varepsilon$, then there exists $0 < s_* < t_* < t$ such that $\|c(s_*) - h\|_{L^2} = \varepsilon/2$, $\inf_{s_* \le s \le t_*} \|c(s) - h\|_{L^2} \ge \varepsilon/2$, $\inf_{s_* \le t \le t_*} \|c(s) - k\|_{L^2} \ge \varepsilon$ and $\|c(t_*) - h\|_{L^2} = \varepsilon$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{s_*}^{t_*} U(c(s))\|c'(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds &\ge \int_{s_*}^{t_*} \delta(\varepsilon)\|c'(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ &> \delta(\varepsilon)\left(\int_{s_*}^{t_*} \|c'(s)\|_{L^2}ds\right)^2 \frac{1}{t_* - s_*} \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon^2\delta(\varepsilon)}{4(t_* - s_*)}. \qquad (7.47)\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $t > \frac{\varepsilon^2\delta(\varepsilon)}{4e_U(c)}$. This implies the desired estimate. □

Proof of Theorem 7.6. First we prove $d_U^{Ag}(h,k) = 0$ is equivalent to $h = k$. Assume $h = k$. Let $f = f(t,x)$ be the function in (7.35). Then

$$\begin{aligned}d_U^{Ag}(h,h) &\le I_{T,0}(f) + \iint_{(0,T)\times\mathbb{R}} P(f(t,x))g(x)dtdx \\ &\le \frac{1}{2}\left((I - S_T)(I + S_T)^{-1}h, h\right)_H + \iint_{(0,T)\times\mathbb{R}} P(f(t,x))g(x)dtdx. \qquad (7.48)\end{aligned}$$

By the same calculation as before, we have

$$\left|\iint_{(0,T)\times\mathbb{R}} P(f(t,x))g(x)dtdx\right| \;\leq\; C_g T\left(1+\|h\|_H+\|k\|_H\right)^{2M}. \tag{7.49}$$

Letting $T\to 0$, we get $d_U^{Ag}(h,h)=0$. Next, we show $d_U^{Ag}(h,k)>0$ if $h\neq k$. Let $\mathcal{Z}'$ be the union of $\mathcal{Z}$ and h,k. For any $\varepsilon>0$, we have

$$\delta(\varepsilon):=\inf\left\{U(\varphi)\mid \min_{\phi\in\mathcal{Z}'}\|\varphi-\phi\|_{L^2}>\varepsilon\right\}>0. \tag{7.50}$$

Let $r_0=\min\left\{\|\varphi-\phi\|_{L^2}\mid \varphi,\phi\in\mathcal{Z}',\varphi\neq\phi\right\}$ and set $\varepsilon<\frac{1}{3}r_0$. Let $c\in\mathcal{P}_{T,h,k}$. Since $c=c(t)$ is a continuous curve on L^2, there exist times $0<\tau_1<\tau_2<T$ and distinct $\psi_1,\psi_2\in\mathcal{Z}'$ such that

$$\min_{\varphi\in\mathcal{Z}'}\|c(t)-\varphi\|_{L^2}\geq\varepsilon\quad(\tau_1\leq t\leq\tau_2),\qquad \|c(\tau_1)-\psi_1\|_{L^2}=\|c(\tau_2)-\psi_2\|_{L^2}=\varepsilon.$$

We have

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2}\sqrt{U(c(t))}\|c'(t)\|_{L^2}dt \;\geq\; \sqrt{\delta(\varepsilon)}\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2}\|c'(t)\|_{L^2}dt\geq\sqrt{\delta(\varepsilon)}\|c(\tau_1)-c(\tau_2)\|_{L^2}\geq\frac{\sqrt{\delta(\varepsilon)}r_0}{3}$$

which implies $d_U^{Ag}(h,k)>0$. The relation $d_U^{Ag}(h,k)=d_U^{Ag}(k,h)$ is trivial. We prove the triangle inequality $d_U^{Ag}(h,l)\leq d_U^{Ag}(h,k)+d_U^{Ag}(k,l)$ for any $h,k,l\in H$. Let $c_1\in\mathcal{P}_{1,h,k}$ and $c_2\in\mathcal{P}_{1,k,l}$. Define an path $\eta=\eta(t)$ by $\eta(t)=c_1(t)$ $(0\leq t\leq 1)$, $\eta(t)=c_2(t-1)$ $(1\leq t\leq 2)$. Then $\eta\in\mathcal{P}_{2,h,l}$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_U^{Ag}(h,l) &\leq \int_0^2\sqrt{U(\eta(t))}\|\eta'(t)\|_{L^2}dt \\ &= \int_0^1\sqrt{U(c_1(t))}\|c_1'(t)\|_{L^2}dt+\int_0^1\sqrt{U(c_2(t))}\|c_2'(t)\|_{L^2}dt \end{aligned} \tag{7.51}$$

which implies the triangle inequality. Let us fix $h\in H$. By Lemma 7.8 (3), it suffices to prove that if $\lim_{n\to\infty}d_U^{Ag}(h,h_n)=0$, then $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|h_n-h\|_H=0$. First consider the case $h\notin\mathcal{Z}$. Then there exist $c_n\in\mathcal{P}_{1,h_n,h,U}$ and $\delta>0$ such that $U(c_n(t))\geq\delta$ for all $t\in[0,1]$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty}\ell(c_n)=0$. This follows from Lemma 7.8 (4) and $d_U^{Ag}(h,k)>0$ for all $k\in\mathcal{Z}$. Let $\inf_{h\in H^1}V(h)=:-R$. We have $U(h)+R\geq U_0(h)$ for all $h\in H^1$. Hence if $U(h)\geq\delta>0$, then $U(h)\geq\frac{\delta}{R+\delta}U_0(h)$. Using this, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(c_n) &= \int_0^1\sqrt{U(c_n(t))}\|c_n'(t)\|_{L^2}dt \\ &\geq \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{R+\delta}}\int_0^1\sqrt{U_0(c_n(t))}\|c_n'(t)\|_{L^2}dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{\delta}{R+\delta}}\left|\|c_n(1)\|_H^2-\|c_n(0)\|_H^2\right| \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{\delta}{R+\delta}}\left|\|h_n\|_H^2-\|h\|_H^2\right|. \end{aligned} \tag{7.52}$$

This and Lemma 7.8 (5) imply $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|h_n-h\|_H=0$. Let us consider the case where $h\in\mathcal{Z}$. Take h_n such that $\lim_{n\to\infty}d_U^{Ag}(h_n,h)=0$. Then $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|h_n-h\|_{L^2}=0$. Let us choose a sufficiently small positive number ε. Then by the nondegeneracy of the second derivative of U at h, there exists a positive number $\delta(\varepsilon)$ such that $U(\varphi)\ge\delta(\varepsilon)\|\varphi-h\|_{H^1}^2$ for any $\varphi\in H^1(\mathbb{R})\cap\{\varphi\mid\|\varphi-h\|_{L^2}<\varepsilon\}$. We find a curve $c_n\in\mathcal{P}_{1,h_n,h,U}$ such that $\sup_{n,t}\|c_n(t)-h\|_{L^2}<\varepsilon$ and $\ell(c_n)\to0$ as $n\to\infty$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\ell(c_n) &= \int_0^1\sqrt{U(c_n(t))}\|c_n'(t)\|_{L^2}dt\\ &\ge \frac{\sqrt{\delta(\varepsilon)}}{2}\int_0^1\|c_n(t)-h\|_{H^1}\|c_n'(t)\|_{L^2}dt\\ &\ge \frac{\sqrt{\delta(\varepsilon)}}{2}\|h_n-h\|_H^2\to0\quad\text{as } n\to\infty \qquad (7.53)\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.
(2) Let S_t be the Cauchy semigroup as in Lemma 7.8. Let $c(t)=S_{T-t}h$. Then we have $U_0(c(t))=\frac14\|c'(t)\|_{L^2}^2$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}d_{U_0}^{Ag}(S_Th,h) &\le \int_0^T\frac12\|c'(t)\|_{L^2}^2dt\\ &= \frac12\int_0^T\left(\tilde{A}^4e^{2(T-t)\tilde{A}^2}h,h\right)_{L^2}dt=\frac14\left(\|h\|_H^2-\|S_Th\|_H^2\right).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{T\to\infty}\|S_Th\|_H=0$, $\lim_{T\to\infty}d_{U_0}^{Ag}(S_Th,h)=d_{U_0}^{Ag}(0,h)$. Consequently, we get $d_{U_0}^{Ag}(0,h)\le\frac14\|h\|_H^2$. Combining this estimate and (7.37), we obtain the desired result. $\square$

Next we prove Theorem 7.7.

Lemma 7.10. *Under the same assumption as in Theorem 7.7, there exists $c_\star\in\mathcal{P}_{1,h,k}^{loc}$ such that $c_\star(t)\notin\mathcal{Z}$ for all $0<t<1$ and*

$$U(c_\star(t))\|c_\star'(t)\|_{L^2}^2=d_U^{Ag}(h,k)^2=\ell(c_\star)^2=e(c_\star).\qquad a.e.\ t. \qquad (7.54)$$

This lemma shows the existence of the minimizer $c_\star$ which attains $d_U^{Ag}(h,k)$ and the result of (1) in Theorem 7.7. We prove the other properties in Theorem 7.7 in the next subsection because they are related with instanton.

Proof. Since $h,k\in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, by Lemma 7.3, there exist $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty\subset AC_{1,h,k}(H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ such that $\ell(c_n)^2\le d_U^{Ag}(h,k)^2+\frac1n$. We may assume that $c_n(t)\notin\mathcal{Z}$ for $0<t<1$. By reparametrizing of the paths, we see that there exist $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty\subset\mathcal{P}_{1,h,k}^{loc}$ such that $c_n(t)\notin\mathcal{Z}$, c_n is a continuous path in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\sqrt{U(c_n(t))}\|c_n'(t)\|_{L^2}=\ell(c_n)=\sqrt{e(c_n)}\le\sqrt{d_U^{Ag}(h,k)^2+\frac1n}\qquad a.e.\ t. \qquad (7.55)$$

Also we may assume that

(i) $\sup_{n,t}\|c_n(t)\|_H<\infty$

(ii) Let τ_n be the maximum time such that $\|c(\tau_n) - h\|_{H^1} \leq \varepsilon$ and $\tilde{\tau}_n$ be the minimum time such that $\|c_n(t) - k\|_{H^1} \leq \varepsilon$. Then there exists a constant $C_i > 0$ such that

$$\max\{\tau_n, 1 - \tilde{\tau}_n\} \leq C_1\varepsilon^2 \qquad \text{for all } n \geq C_2\varepsilon^{-2}. \tag{7.56}$$

The boundedness in (i) follows from the result that $\lim_{\|\varphi\|_H \to \infty} d_U^{Ag}(h, \varphi) = +\infty$. This result can be shown by a similar argument in (7.46). We prove $\tau_n = O(\varepsilon^2)$ for $n \geq C_2\varepsilon^{-2}$. The proof for $\tilde{\tau}_n$ is similar to it. Let us define a curve $\tilde{c}_n = \tilde{c}_n(t)$ by $\tilde{c}_n(t) = h + \frac{3t}{\tau_n}(c_n(\tau_n) - h)$ for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{\tau_n}{3}$ and $\tilde{c}_n(t) = c_n(\chi_n(t))$ for $\frac{\tau_n}{3} \leq t \leq 1$, where

$$\chi_n(t) = \left(\frac{3 - 3\tau_n}{3 - \tau_n}t + \frac{2\tau_n}{3 - \tau_n}\right).$$

Since $e(\tilde{c}_n) \geq e(c_n) - \frac{1}{n}$, we get

$$\frac{1}{3}e(c_n)\tau_n \leq \frac{1}{n} + \frac{C\varepsilon^4}{\tau_n}. \tag{7.57}$$

Hence we have

$$\frac{1}{3}e(c_n)\tau_n \leq \frac{2}{n} \qquad \text{or} \qquad \frac{1}{3}e(c_n)\tau_n \leq \frac{2C\varepsilon^4}{\tau_n}$$

which implies (ii). By (ii), for any $\delta > 0$, there exists a natural number $N(\delta)$ and large positive number $R(\delta)$ such that for any $n \geq N(\delta)$ it holds that

$$\|c_n'(t)\|_{L^2} \leq R(\delta) \qquad \text{for } \delta < t < 1 - \delta.$$

Hence there exists a bounded measurable path $c : [0, 1] \to H$ such that

(i) If necessary, by taking a subsequence, $c_n(t) \to c(t)$ weakly in H for any t (7.58)

(ii) c is a locally Lipschitz path on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that
$\|c'(t)\|_{L^2} \leq R(\delta)$ for almost every $t \in [\delta, 1 - \delta]$. (7.59)

(iii) It holds that $c(t) \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ for a.e. $t \in S$, where $S = \{t \in [0, 1] \mid c'(t) \neq 0\}$. (7.60)

We prove the above properties. The item (i) follows from the locally uniform Lipschitz continuity of c_n in L^2 and the uniform boundedness of c_n in H. We prove (ii) and (iii). Let $0 < a < b < 1$. Let $\varphi = \varphi(t)$ $(0 \leq t \leq 1)$ be a C^1 path on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with $\varphi(t) = 0$ for $t \in (a, b)^c$. Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_a^b (c_n'(t), \varphi(t))_{L^2}\, dt = \int_a^b (c'(t), \varphi(t))_{L^2}\, dt$. This implies c_n' converges to c' weakly in $L^2((a, b) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}), dt)$ for any a, b. Hence by reverse Fatou's lemma, we get

$$\int_a^b \|c'(t)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_a^b \|c_n'(t)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq \int_a^b \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|c_n'(t)\|_{L^2}^2 dt$$

which implies (ii). Also we have $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|c_n'(t)\|_{L^2} \geq \|c'(t)\|_{L^2}$ for almost every $t \in [0, 1]$. Therefore for almost every $t \in S$, $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|c_n'(t)\|_{L^2} > 0$ holds. This and (7.55) implies (iii). In view of (i) and Lemma 7.8 (7), we obtain

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \|c(t) - h\|_{L^2} = \lim_{t\to 1} \|c(t) - k\|_{L^2} = 0. \tag{7.61}$$

We estimate $U(c(t))\|c'(t)\|_{L^2}$. For any $0 \le a \le b \le 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_a^b U(c(t))\|c'(t)\|_{L^2}^2 dt &\le \int_a^b \left(\liminf_{n\to\infty} U(c_n(t))\right)\left(\limsup_{n\to\infty}\|c_n'(t)\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right) dt \\ &\le \int_a^b \limsup_{n\to\infty}\left(U(c_n(t))\|c_n'(t)\|^2\right) dt = d_U^{Ag}(h,k)^2(b-a). \quad (7.62)\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain $U(c(t))\|c'(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \le d_U^{Ag}(h,k)^2$ for $a.e.\, t \in [0,1]$. We prove the following:

(iv) $c = c(t)$ $(0 < t < 1)$ is a continuous path on H. (7.63)

(v) $\lim_{t\to 0}\|c(t) - h\|_H = 0$ and $\lim_{t\to 1}\|c(t) - k\|_H = 0$. (7.64)

(vi) $c(t) \notin \mathcal{Z}$ for all $t \in (0,1)$ (7.65)

Let $0 < \delta \le s < t \le 1-\delta < 1$. By an argument similar to (7.46), we have $\left|\|c(t)\|_H^2 - \|c(s)\|_H^2\right| \le C(\delta)|t-s|$. This and the continuity of c in L^2 implies (iv). We prove (v). It suffices to consider the case where t converges to 0. If $\int_0^\varepsilon \|c'(t)\|_{L^2}dt < \infty$, again by an similar argument to (7.46), we obtain the convergence $\lim_{t\to 0}\|c(t)\|_H = \|h\|_H$ which implies the assertion. If it is not the case, there exists a decreasing sequence $t_n \downarrow 0$ such that $U(c(t_n)) \to 0$. By (7.61), this implies $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|c(t_n) - h\|_{H^1} = 0$. Noting $d_U^{Ag}(c(t), c(s)) \le d_U^{Ag}(h,k)|t-s|$ for any $0 < s < t < 1$, we obtain

$$d_U^{Ag}(h, c(t)) \le d_U^{Ag}(h, c(t_n)) + d_U^{Ag}(c(t_n), c(t)) \le d_U^{Ag}(h, c(t_n)) + d_U^{Ag}(h,k)|t - t_n|. \quad (7.66)$$

This shows $\lim_{t\to 0} d_U^{Ag}(h, c(t)) = 0$ and we complete the proof of (v). Consequently, we have $c \in \mathcal{P}_{1,h,k}^{loc}$ and by the definition of d_U^{Ag}, $U(c(t))\|c'(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = d_U^{Ag}(h,k)^2$ $a.e.\ t$. We prove (vi). If there exists a time $0 < t < 1$ such that $c(t) \in \mathcal{Z}$, we can construct a path belonging to $\mathcal{P}_{1,h,k}^{loc}$ whose length is smaller than that of c. This is a contradiction and we see that the above c is a desired path $c_\star$. □

7.3 Instanton

In this subsection, we assume U satisfies the assumptions (A1), (A2) and $\mathcal{Z}$ consists of two points $\{h, k\}$. We do not assume (A3). So far, we consider paths on function spaces defined on the time interval $[0,T]$. However, it is convenient to consider paths defined on $[-T,T]$ to discuss instanton. In this subsection, we denote by $H_{T,h,k}^1(\mathbb{R})$ the set of functions $u = u(t,x)$ $((t,x) \in (-T,T)\times\mathbb{R})$ which belongs to $H^1((-T,T)\times\mathbb{R})$ with $u(-T,\cdot) = h(\cdot)$ and $u(T,\cdot) = k(\cdot)$. Accordingly, we define $I_{T,P}(u)$ for $u \in H_{T,h,k}^1(\mathbb{R})$ similarly. We note that the Agmon distance has another equivalent form which is due to Carmona and Simon [12] in the case of finite dimensional Schrödinger operators. The functional $I_{T,P}(u)$ is the action integral of the classical dynamics given by

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(t,x) = 2(\nabla U)(u(t,x)) \quad (7.67)$$

which is obtained by changing the time t to the imaginary time $\sqrt{-1}t$ in the Klein-Gordon equation (2.8). For $u = u(t,x)$, we define

$$I_{\infty,P}(u) = \frac{1}{4}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\|\partial_t u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 dt + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U(u(t))dt. \quad (7.68)$$

The Agmon distance $d_U^{Ag}(h,k)$ is related with the minimizer of the action integral $I_{\infty,P}$ with the condition $u(-\infty,\cdot)=h, u(+\infty,\cdot)=k$. The minimizer is called an instanton. Simon [40] used a path integral approach in tunneling estimate in which the relation between the Agmon distance and the instanton is used. The equation (7.67) reads

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(t,x)+\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(t,x)=m^2u(t,x)+2P'(u(t,x))g(x) \tag{7.69}$$

Let $T>0$. We write

$$\mathcal{I}(T)=\inf\left\{I_{T,P}(u)\mid u\in H^1_{T,h,k}(\mathbb{R})\right\}. \tag{7.70}$$

Note that the critical point u of the functional $I_{T,P}$ on $H^1_{T,h,k}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies the equation (7.69) on $(-T,T)\times\mathbb{R}$. We prove the existence of an instanton and the action integral is equal to the Agmon distance between h and k.

Theorem 7.11. *There exists a solution* $u_\star=u_\star(t,x)$ $(t,x)\in\mathbb{R}^2$ *to the equation* (7.69) *which satisfies the following properties.*
(1) *It holds that* $u_\star|_{(-T,T)\times\mathbb{R}}\in H^1((-T,T)\times\mathbb{R})\cap C^\infty((-T,T)\times\mathbb{R})$ *for any* $T>0$ *and* $I_{T,P}(u_\star|_{(-T,T)\times\mathbb{R}})=\inf\{I_{T,P}(u)\mid u\in H^1_{T,u_\star(-T),u_\star(T)}(\mathbb{R})\}$.
(2) *We have* $I_{\infty,P}(u_\star)=d_U^{Ag}(h,k)$ *and* $u_\star$ *is a minimizer of the functional* $I_{\infty,P}$ *in the set of functions* u *satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) $u|_{(-T,T)\times\mathbb{R}}\in H^1((-T,T),\mathbb{R})$ *for all* $T>0$,
- (ii) $\lim_{t\to-\infty}\|u(t)-h\|_H=0$ *and* $\lim_{t\to\infty}\|u(t)-k\|_H=0$.

(3) $\lim_{T\to\infty}\mathcal{I}(T)=d_U^{Ag}(h,k)$.

We need a lemma.

Lemma 7.12. *Let us consider the functional* $\mathcal{I}(T)$.
(1) *There exists a minimizer* $u^T\in H^1_{T,h,k}(\mathbb{R})$ *such that* $\mathcal{I}(T)=I_{T,P}(u^T)$
(2) $\mathcal{I}$ *is a strictly decreasing function of* T.

Proof of Lemma 7.12. (1) This can be proved by a standard method and we omit the proof.

(2) Let $T'>T>0$ and suppose $\mathcal{I}(T')=\mathcal{I}(T)$. Let u^T be a minimizer of the minimizing problem (7.70). Let $\tilde{u}^{T'}$ be a function in $H^1_{T',h,k}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\tilde{u}^{T'}(t)=h$ $(-T'\le t\le -T)$, $\tilde{u}^{T'}(t)=u^T(t)$ $(-T<t<T)$, $\tilde{u}^{T'}(t)=k$ $(T\le t\le T')$. Then $\tilde{u}^{T'}$ is a minimizer for (7.70) replacing T by T'. Let us define $\tilde{u}_0^{T'}(t,x)=h(x)$ $(t,x)\in(-T',T')\times\mathbb{R}$. Then both functions $\tilde{u}^{T'},\tilde{u}_0^{T'}$ are solutions to (7.69) on $(-T',T')\times\mathbb{R}$ with $u(-T',x)=h(x)$. The difference $v^{T'}=\tilde{u}^{T'}-\tilde{u}_0^{T'}$ is an eigenfunction of a Schrödinger operator satisfying the boundary condition $v^{T'}(-T')=0$ and $v^{T'}(T')=k-h$. Also $v^{T'}(t,x)\equiv 0$ for all $(t,x)\in(-T',T)\times\mathbb{R}$. By the unique continuation theorem for the solution, we obtain $v^{T'}\equiv 0$ which is a contradiction. This shows that $\mathcal{I}$ is a strictly decreasing function.

□

Proof of Theorem 7.11 *and Theorem* 7.7 (2), (3). Let $c=c(t,x)$ be the geodesic path $c_\star$ in Lemma 7.10. We construct $u_\star$ by reparametrizing the time parameter of c. Let

$$\rho(t)=\int_{1/2}^t\frac{\|c'(s)\|_{L^2}}{2\sqrt{U(c(s))}}=\frac{1}{2d_U^{Ag}(h,k)}\int_{1/2}^t\|c'(s)\|^2_{L^2}ds \qquad 0<t<1.$$

Then ρ is a strictly increasing absolutely continuous function. Define $\sigma(t) = \rho^{-1}(t)$ ($\rho(0+) < t < \rho(1-)$). We prove $\rho(0+) = -\infty$ and $\rho(1-) = +\infty$. To this end, we set $u(t) = c(\sigma(t))$ which will turn out to be the desired $u_\star$. We have $\|u'(t)\|_{L^2} = 2\sqrt{U(u(t))}$. Therefore for any $\rho(+0) < t_1 < t_2 < \rho(1-)$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\sigma(t_1)}^{\sigma(t_2)} \sqrt{U(c(s))}\|c'(s)\|_{L^2}ds &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sqrt{U(u(t))}\|u'(t)\|_{L^2}dt \\ &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(\frac{1}{4}\|u'(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + U(u(t))\right) dt. \qquad (7.71)\end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\rho(+0) > -\infty$. Then we can set $t_1 = \rho(+0)$ and $u(t_1) = h$. Then by an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 7.12 (2), for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find $\tilde{u} = \tilde{u}(t,x)$ ($t_1 - \varepsilon < t < t_2$) such that $\tilde{u}(t_1 - \varepsilon) = h$, $\tilde{u}(t_2) = u(t_2)$ and

$$\int_{t_1-\varepsilon}^{t_2} \sqrt{U(\tilde{u}(t))}\|\tilde{u}'(t)\|_{L^2}dt \le \int_{t_1-\varepsilon}^{t_2} \left(\frac{1}{4}\|\tilde{u}'(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + U(\tilde{u}(t))\right) dt < \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sqrt{U(u(t))}\|u'(t)\|_{L^2}dt.$$

Since $\tilde{u}(t_2) = u(t_2) = c(\sigma(t_2))$, by connecting the two paths at $u(t_2)$, we obtain the shorter path between h and k than c. This is a contradiction. Therefore we get $\rho(+0) = -\infty$ and $\rho(1-) = +\infty$ similarly. This proves Theorem 7.7 (3) and $I_{\infty,P}(u) = d_U^{Ag}(h,k)$. Clearly, this u satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) in (2) in Theorem 7.11. Also if u satisfies (i) and (ii), then $I_{\infty,P}(u) \ge I_{T,P}(u) \ge d_U^{Ag}(u(-T),u(T)) \to d_U^{Ag}(h,k)$. Hence u is the minimizer in the sense of Theorem 7.11 (2). Note that $u|_{(-T,T)\times\mathbb{R}}$ is the minimizer of $I_{T,P}$ on $H^1_{T,u(-T),u(T)}(\mathbb{R})$ because of the identity (7.71) and the fact that the length of c is shortest. This proves Theorem 7.11 (1). Now we prove Theorem 7.11 (3). Let ε be a small positive number. Take a large T such that $\|h - u(-T)\|_H$ and $\|k - u(T)\|_H$ are sufficiently small. Then there exist $f_1 = f_1(t,x)$ ($0 < t < \varepsilon_1$) and $f_2 = f_2(t,x)$ ($0 < t < \varepsilon_2$) which are defined by the Cauchy semigroup as in Lemma 7.8 such that

(i) $f_1(0) = h$, $f_1(\varepsilon_1) = u(-T)$, $f_2(0) = u(T)$, $f_2(\varepsilon_2) = k$,

(ii) $I_{\varepsilon_1,P}(f_1) < \varepsilon$, $I_{\varepsilon_2,P}(f_2) < \varepsilon$.

Hence there exists $v \in H^1_{T+(\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2)/2,h,k}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$I_{T+(\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2)/2,h,k}(v) \le d_U^{Ag}(h,k) + 2\varepsilon \qquad (7.72)$$

which implies the assertion. It remains to prove the statement (2) in Theorem 7.7. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-\infty}^{t} \|u'(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds &= \int_{-\infty}^{t} 2\sqrt{U(u(s))}\|u'(s)\|_{L^2}ds \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{t} 2\sqrt{U(c(\sigma(s)))}\|c'(\sigma(s))\|_{L^2}\sigma'(s)ds \\ &= \int_{0}^{\sigma(t)} 2\sqrt{U(c(s))}\|c'(s)\|_{L^2}ds = 2d_U^{Ag}(h,k)\sigma(t). \qquad (7.73)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $2d_U^{Ag}(h,k)\sigma'(t) = \|u'(t)\|_{L^2}^2$. Since $\|u'(t)\|_{L^2} = 2\sqrt{U(u(t))} > 0$ for all t, $\sigma'(t) > 0$ for all t. The function $t(\in \mathbb{R}) \mapsto \|u'(t)\|_{L^2}^2$ is a C^∞ function and so σ and ρ are. Since $u(\rho(t),x) = c(t,x)$, we complete the proof. $\square$

Let us consider a simple example in the case where the space is the finite interval $I = [-l/2, l/2]$. Let a and x_0 be positive numbers. We consider the case where

$$U(h) = \frac{1}{4}\int_I h'(x)^2 dx + a\int_I \left(h(x)^2 - x_0^2\right)^2 dx.$$

For example, setting $b^2 = x_0^2 + \frac{m^2}{8a}$ and

$$P(x) = a(x^2 - b^2)^2 - a\left(b^4 - \left(b^2 - \frac{m^2}{8a}\right)^2\right)^2,$$

we obtain the potential function above. Note $\mathcal{Z} = \{h_0, -h_0\}$, where $h_0(x) \equiv x_0$ is a constant function. $\pm x_0$ are the zero points also of the potential function

$$Q(x) = a(x^2 - x_0^2)^2 \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let

$$d^{Ag}_{1dim}(-x_0, x_0) \;=\; \inf\Big\{\int_{-T}^{T} \sqrt{Q(x(t))}|x'(t)|dt \;\Big|\; x(-T) = -x_0, \;\; x(T) = x_0\Big\}.$$

This is the Agmon distance which corresponds to 1-dimensional Schrödinger operator $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + Q(x)$ defined in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ and

$$d^{Ag}_{1dim}(-x_0, x_0) = \int_{-x_0}^{x_0} \sqrt{Q(x)}dx = \frac{4\sqrt{a}x_0^3}{3}. \tag{7.74}$$

We can prove the following.

Proposition 7.13. *Assume* $2ax_0^2l^2 \le \pi^2$. *Let* $u_0(t) = x_0\tanh\left(2\sqrt{a}x_0 t\right)$. *Then* $u_0(t)$ *is the solution to*

$$u''(t) \;=\; 2Q'(u(t)) \qquad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{7.75}$$

$$\lim_{t\to-\infty} u(t) = -x_0, \qquad \lim_{t\to\infty} u(t) = x_0 \tag{7.76}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\infty,P}(u_0) &= \left(\frac{1}{4}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_0'(t)^2 dt + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Q(u_0(t))dt\right) l, && (7.77)\\ &= d^{Ag}_{1dim}(-x_0, x_0)l && (7.78)\\ &= d^{Ag}_U(-h_0, h_0). && (7.79) \end{aligned}$$

The proposition above claims that u_0 is the instanton for both operators: 1-dimensional Schrödinger operator $-\dfrac{d^2}{dx^2} + \lambda Q(\cdot/\sqrt{\lambda})$ and $-L_A + V_\lambda$.

Proof of Proposition 7.13. We consider a projection operator P on $L^2(I)$ onto the subset of constant functions. Let $h \in H^1(I)$. For simplicity, we write $c = Ph$ and $\tilde{h} = h - Ph$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} U(h) &= \frac{1}{4}\int_I \tilde{h}'(x)^2 dx + 2a(c^2 - x_0^2)\int_I \tilde{h}(x)^2 dx \\ &\quad + a\int_I \left(2c\tilde{h}(x) + \tilde{h}(x)^2\right)^2 dx + a\int_I \left(c^2 - x_0^2\right)^2 dx. \end{aligned} \tag{7.80}$$

By the Poincare inequality

$$\left(\frac{2\pi}{l}\right)^2 \int_I \tilde{h}(x)^2 dx \le \int_I \tilde{h}'(x)^2 dx \tag{7.81}$$

and the assumption on a, x_0, we obtain

$$U(h) \ge a\int_I (c^2 - x_0^2)^2 dx = U(Ph). \tag{7.82}$$

Therefore for any $u \in H^1_{T,h_1,h_2}$

$$\int_{-T}^{T} \sqrt{U(u(t,\cdot))}\|u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2} dt \quad \ge \quad \int_{-T}^{T} \sqrt{Q(Pu(t))}\|Pu(t)\|_{L^2} dt. \tag{7.83}$$

Since the set $\{Pu \mid u \in H^1_{T,-h_0,h_0}\}$ coincides with the set of all paths $v = v(t)$ in $H^1\left((-T,T) \to \mathbb{R}\right)$ with the constraint $v(-T) = -x_0, v(T) = x_0$, we get $d_U^{Ag}(-h_0, h_0) = l d_{1dim}^{Ag}(x_0, -x_0)$. It is an elementary calculation to check that u_0 satisfies (7.75) and (7.76). Finally, we prove the identity (7.77), (7.78), (7.79). Since $u_0'(t) = 2\sqrt{Q(u_0(t))}$ holds, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_0'(t)^2 dt + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Q(u_0(t)) dt &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sqrt{Q(u_0(t))}u_0'(t) dt \\ &= \int_{-x_0}^{x_0} \sqrt{Q(x)} dx \\ &= \frac{4\sqrt{a}}{3} x_0^3 = d_{1dim}^{Ag}(-x_0, x_0) \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

Acknowledgments The author would like to thank Professors Christian Gérard, Tetsuya Hattori, Keiichi Ito, Izumi Ojima for their comments on the first version of this paper. Also the author is grateful to referees for their valuable comments which improved the paper.

References

[1] R. Adams and J. Fournier, Sobolev spaces, Second edition. Pure and Applied Mathematics, 140. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2003.

[2] S. Agmon, Lectures on Exponential decay of solutions of second order elliptic equations. Bounds on eigenfunctions of N-body Schrödinger operators, Mathematical Notes, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1982.

[3] S. Aida, Semi-classical limit of the lowest eigenvalue of a Schrödinger operator on a Wiener space : I. Unbounded one particle Hamiltonians, Asterisque No. 327 (2009), 1-16.

[4] S. Aida, Semi-classical limit of the lowest eigenvalue of a Schrödinger operator on a Wiener space : II. $P(\phi)_2$-model on a finite volume, J. Funct. Anal. 256 (2009), no. 10, 3342-3367.

[5] S. Aida, Semi-classical limit of the bottom of spectrum of a Schrödinger operator on a path space over a compact Riemannian manifold, J.Funct.Anal. 251 (2007), no. 1, 59–121.

[6] S. Aida, Semiclassical limit of the lowest eigenvalue of a Schrödinger operator on a Wiener space, J. Funct. Anal. 203 (2003), no.2, 401–424.

[7] S. Aida, On a certain semiclassical problem on Wiener spaces. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 39 (2003), no. 2, 365–392.

[8] S. Aida, An estimate of the gap of spectrum of Schrödinger operators which generate hyperbounded semigroups, J. Funct. Anal. 185 (2001), 474–526.

[9] A. Arai, Trace formulas, a Golden-Thompson inequality and classical limit in boson Fock space, J. Funct. Anal. **136**, (1996), 510–547.

[10] V.I. Bogachev, Gaussian measures, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **62**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.

[11] C. Borell, Tail probabilities in Gauss space. Vector space measures and applications. I, Lecture Notes in Math. **644**, 71–82 (1978), Springer.

[12] R. Carmona and B. Simon, Pointwise bounds on eigenfunctions and wave packets in N-body quantum systems, V. Lower bounds and path integrals, Comm. Math. Phys. **80** (1981), 59–98.

[13] J. Dereziński and C. Gérard, Spectral scattering theory of spatially cut-off $P(\phi)_2$ Hamiltonians, Commun. Math. Phys. **213**, 39–125, (2000).

[14] J. Diestel and J. Uhl, Vector measures, Mathematical Surveys, no.15, American Mathematical Society, 1977.

[15] M. Dimassi and J. Sjöstrand, Spectral asymptotics in the semi-classical limit, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, **268**, Cambridge University Press, 1999.

[16] S. Y. Dobrokhotov and V. N. Kolokol'tsov, The double-well splitting of low energy levels for the Schrödinger operator of discrete ϕ^4-models on tori, J. Math. Phys. **36** (3), 1038–1053, (1995).

[17] W.J. Eachus and L. Streit, Exact solution of the quadratic interaction Hamiltonian, Reports on Mathematical Physics **4**, No. 3, 161–182, 1973.

[18] J. P. Eckmann, Remarks on the classical limit of quantum field theories, Lett. Math. Phys. **1** (1975/1977), no. 5, 387–394.

[19] S. Fang and J.G. Ren, Sur le squelette et les dérivées de Malliavin des fonctions holomorphes sur un espace de Wiener complexe, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **33** (1993), no. 3, 749–764.

[20] P. Federbush, Partially Alternate Derivation of a Result of Nelson, J. Math. Phys.Vol. 10, No. 1 January, 1969

[21] J. Glimm, Boson fields with non-linear self-interaction in two dimensions, Comm. Math. Phys. **8** (1968), 12–25.

[22] L. Gross, Abstract Wiener spaces. 1967 Proc. Fifth Berkeley Sympos. Math. Statist. and Probability(Berkeley, Calif., 1965/66), Vol. II: Contributions to Probability Theory, Part 1 pp. 31–42 Univ. California Press, Berkeley, Calif.

[23] L. Gross, Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities, Amer. J. Math. **97** (1975), 1061-1083.

[24] B. Helffer, Splitting in large dimension and infrared estimates. II. Moment inequalities, J. Math. Phys. Vol. 39, Number 2, (1998), 760–776.

[25] B. Helffer, Semiclassical analysis, Witten Laplacians, and Statistical Mechanics, Series on partial differential equations and applications, Vol. 1, World Scientific, 2002.

[26] B. Helffer and F. Nier, Hypoelliptic estimates and spectral theory for Fokker-Planck operators and Witten Laplacians, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1862**, Springer, 2005.

[27] B. Helffer and J. Sjöstrand, Multiple wells in the semiclassical limit. I. Comm. Partial Diffrential Equation **9** (1984), no.4, 337–408.

[28] B. Helffer and J. Sjöstrand, Puits multiples en limite semi-classique. II. Interaction mol'eculaire. Symétries. Pertuabation. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor. **42**, no. 2 (1985), 127–212.

[29] H-H, Kuo, Gaussian measures in Banach spaces. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **463**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1975.

[30] M. Ledoux, Isoperimetry and Gaussian analysis, Lectures on probability theory and statistics, (Saint-Flour, 1994), 165–294, Lecture Notes in Math., **1648**, Springer, Berlin, 1996.

[31] M. Ledoux, A note on large deviations for Wiener chaos, Séminaire de Probabilités, XXXIV, 1988/89, 1–14. Lecture Notes in Math., **1426**, Springer, Berlin, 1990.

[32] L. Lithner, A theorem of the Phragmn-Lindelf type for second-order elliptic operators. Ark. Mat. 5 1964 281285 (1964).

[33] O. Matte and J. S. Møller, On the spectrum of semi-classical Witten-Laplacians and Schrödinger operators in large dimension, J. Funct. Anal., **220** (2005), no. 2, 243–264.

[34] E. Nelson, A quartic interaction in two dimensions. 1966 Mathematical Theory of Elementary Particles (Proc. Conf., Dedham, Mass., 1965) 69–73 M.I.T. Press, Cambridge, Mass.

[35] L. Rosen, Renormalization of the Hilbert space in the mass shift model, J. Mathematical Phys. **13** (1972), 918–927.

[36] I. Segal, Construction of nonlinear local quantum processes. I, Ann. Math. (2), **92** (1970), 462–481.

[37] B. Simon, Continuum embedded eigenvalues in a spatially cutoff $P(\phi)_2$ field theory, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **35** (1972), 223–226.

[38] B. Simon, The $P(\phi)_2$ Euclidean (quantum) field theory, Princeton University Press, New Jersey, (1974).

[39] B. Simon, Semiclassical Analysis of Low Lying Eigenvalues I. Nondegenerate Minima: Asymptotic Expansions, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Section A, Vol. XXXVIII, no. 4, (1983), 295–308.

[40] B. Simon, Semiclassical analysis of low lying eigenvalues, II. Tunneling, Annals of Math. **120**, (1984), 89–118.

[41] B. Simon and R. Hoegh-Krohn, Hypercontractive Semigroups and Two Dimensional Self-Coupled Bose Fields, J. Funct. Anal., Vol. 9, (1972), 121–180.

[42] J. Sjöstrand, Potential wells in high dimensions I, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Physique théorique, Vol. 58, no. 1, (1993), 1–41.

[43] J. Sjöstrand, Potential wells in high dimensions II, more about the one well case, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Physique théorique, Vol. 58, no. 1, (1993), 43–53.